Asthma attack severity and urinary concentration of Eosinophil X protein in children

Wassim Khalil Kalaajieha, and Rémi Hoilatb

^aPublic Health Faculty - Lebanese University. ^bKing Khaled Hospital - Najran - Saudi Arabia.

SUMMARY

Objective: Determination of the urinary concentration of eosinophil protein X (U-EPX) may objectively predict the severity and activity of asthma in children.

Methods: Concentrations of U-EPX in 80 non- atopic asthmatic children were compared with those in 25 healthy control children. The patients were studied during attacks and two weeks later. The severity of asthma attacks was determined according to a pre-existing score. U-EPX was measured by the specific radioimmunoassay technique (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). This measurement was correlated with the clinical and radiological investigations as well as with other variables such as blood oxygen saturation, peak expiratory rate and eosinophil count.

Results: U-EPX concentrations were significantly higher in all asthmatic children during attacks $(139.6 \pm 11.7 \mu g/mmol of creatinine)$ than those in the control group $(35.3 \pm 6.2 \mu g/mmol of creatinine)$ (p < 0.001). Two weeks after resolution of the exacerbation, U-EPX significantly decreased (66.5 \pm 9.3 μ g/mmol of creatinine) (p < 0.001). U-EPX concentrations were highest in patients with severe attacks $(191.5 \pm 11.3 \ \mu g/mmol of creatinine) (p < 0.001)$. No statistically significant differences were found between mild (88.2 \pm 7.2 μ g/mmol of creatinine) and moderate attacks (119.6 \pm 8.5 μ g/mmol of creatinine). At the two-week follow-up, U-EPX concentrations in patients with mild or moderate attacks was similar to those in controls but were persistently elevated in the subgroup with severe attacks $(103.8 \pm 9.4 \ \mu g/mmol of creatinine) (p < 0.001)$. No significant correlation was found between U-EPX concentrations and blood oxygen saturation, peak expiratory rate or eosinophil count.

Conclusion: A statistically significant correlation was found between U-EPX concentrations and the severity of attacks in asthmatic children. This substance could be useful in quantifying bronchial inflammation. This result could further be used as a marker of severity of disease exacerbation and would not only facilitate early diagnosis and staging of inflammatory and allergic disorders but would also allow therapy and interventions to be monitored.

Key words: Asthma. Eosinophil. Children. Eosinophil protein X. Bronchial inflammation.

INTRODUCTION

At present no single diagnostic test exists for bronchial asthma. The evaluation of asthma severity relies on multiple observations including lung symptoms and function measurement. Other measures of disease activity may be of great value but are more time-consuming and cannot be performed in routine clinical practice at the moment, especially in young children. Such measurements include enumeration of sputum eosinophils, inhalation challenge, bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage, and bronchial biopsies. Knowledge about the pathophysiological processes in asthma gives the possibility to analyze and quantitate cells or products involved in the pathology and follow changes as a reflection of bronchial disease process.

During recent years, the importance of eosinophil inflammation in the pathogenesis of asthma has been clearly established (1, 2). Activated eosinophils release granule proteins: eosinophil protein X (EPX) and the eosinophil cationic protein (ECP), which is cytotoxic to the airway epithelium (2). Serum ECP and EPX has been measured to monitor disease activity in bronchopulmonary obstruction (3-7), and recently urinary eosinophil protein X (U-EPX) has been suggested as a marker of inflammation in children with asthma (8-14). EPX is the only basic eosinophil granule protein that can be accurately measured in the urine (15), and U-EPX has been shown to correlate both with blood and bronchoalveolar eosinophil cells counts (16). Urine sampling is sample, non-invasive, and pain-less, and measurements of U-EPX may therefore be of particular value for supporting the diagnosis and monitoring disease activity in children.

The aim of this work is to evaluate the importance of eosinophil inflammation in asthmatic children and to study the value of the U-EPX in the prediction of the severity and the activity of asthma in children.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Data collection

96 non-atopic asthmatic children with an acute exacerbation requiring hospital admission were recruited from the outpatient and the emergency department between January 1996 and July 1998. The diagnosis of asthma was based on a history of recurrent episodes of wheezing and on the physical examination. Patients who has received drugs that might affect the U-EPX concentration (e. g. systemic or inhaled corticosteroids, cromolyn sodium or any oral antihistaminic drugs) during the 2 weeks prior to enrolment in the study were excluded (17, 18). 80 patients completed the study, 6 were discharged at their request before the complete recovery of the acute attack and 10 were lost to follow-up.

Control group

Twenty-five healthy children of matching age and sex referred to our out-patient clinic and who had no history of wheezing or any other recurrent or chronic airway disease were recruited as a control group. These children had neither a parenteral history of atopy or asthma nor a history of atopic dermatitis, food allergy or allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. Informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from the parent or guardian of each child.

Clinical assessment

A detailed medical history was recorded and complete clinical examination was conducted for all the

patients. Before any therapeutic intervention was given, the asthmatic patients were classified as having mild (21 cases), moderate (35 cases) or severe (24 cases) attack of asthma according to an asthma score (19, 20). The asthma score used included both clinical parameters (respiratory rate, alertness, dyspnea, accessory muscle use, skin color, auscultatory findings) and physiological parameters (peak expiratory flow rate, oxygen saturation) (19-21). The asthmatic patients had plain X-ray of the chest done to exclude other potential causes of wheezing. Oxygen saturation was determined using a pulse oximeter and peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) was measured using a Wright flowmeter and was expressed as the percentage of the predicted normal value for the sex and the height of the patient using a previously reported standard (22).

Management and follow-up

All the asthmatic children were managed according to the asthma protocol used in the hospital, i.e. 2 cc of nebulized salbutamol solution (0.01 %) for mild cases and nebulized salbutamol plus systemic corticosteroids (Solumedrol 2 mg/kg/dose every 8 hours) for moderate and severe cases. Patients were discharged from the hospital when they fulfilled the following improvement criteria: no respiratory distress, no tachypnea, oxygen saturation greater than 95 % and PEFR greater than 80 % of expected.

We hypothesized that for a test to be clinically useful, it must distinguish between symptomatic and asymptomatic asthma and must normalize as the patient's condition comes under control. Accordingly, we repeated the investigations 2 weeks after the patient's acute attack resolved. At follow-up, none of the patients had any asthma symptoms, their oxygen saturation and PEFR as a percentage of predicted were comparable to normal. No patient, either before or after enrolment in the study, was receiving maintenance therapy.

U-EPX DETERMINATION

Urine from the children with asthma was collected within 4h of admission and stored in a refrigerator until aliquoting and freezing (–20 °C) within 10 h of sampling; controls had a urine sample taken in the morning, which was frozen within 8 h of sampling (14). U-EPX was analyzed by a specific radioimmunoassay (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden).The detection limit of the assay was < $3\mu g/l$, the within-assay coefficient of

226

Table I

Characteristics of Control group and asthmatic patients

Characteristics	Control group	Asthmatic patients	Test of significance	
Characteristics	(n = 25)	(n = 80)		
Age (years) Range Means ± s	5-12 8.2 ± 2.16	5-14 8.43 ± 2.36	t = 0.26; P = 0.80	
Sex Male Female	14 (56 %) 11 (44 %)	57 (71 %) 23 (29 %)	x ² = 2.18; P = 0.326	
Height (cm) Range Means ± s	112-145 129.5 ± 12.8	98-162 126.3 ± 16.35	t = 0.40; P = 0.62	

variation was < 5 %, and the between-assay coefficient 250 system (Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Rochester, USA). All measurements were carried out in duplicate. Considering the children's renal function, the EPX concentrations were referred to the urine creatinine concentration and expressed as μ g/mmol creatinine.

Counting of blood Eosinophils

Blood samples from every child were carefully obtained (vacutainer system) before any therapeutic intervention. The number of eosinophils in blood samples with EDTA was determined with (Max M Coulter STKS; Coulter Corp., Miami, USA) hematology analyzer.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined using the student t-test, F-test (ANOVA), Chi-squared test (χ^2) and correlation coefficient (r). Statistical significance was attained when the p-value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

No statistically significant difference was found between the asthmatic patients and the control group with regard to age, sex, and height (table I). The mean eosinophil counts were significantly higher for the asthmatic patients, both during and 2 weeks after their attack, when compared to the control children. However, no statistically significant difference was found within the asthmatic patients group between the counts during and after the attacks (table II).

The mean U-EPX concentration was significantly higher in asthmatic patients, both during and after their attacks when compared with the control group. Moreover, the mean EPX concentration in asthmatic patients was significantly higher during the asthma attacks than after (table II).

Eosinophil counts and serum levels of eosinophil protein X in control group and asthmatic patients during and after the attacks

		Asthmatic	Test of significance		
Variable	uble (n = 25) Control group		After attacks (n = 80)	t-test	P-value
Eosinophil count (cells/cm²)					
Range	50-600	100-2120	50-2260	$t_1 = 2.99$	P**
Mean + s	241.5 ± 182.7	635.2 ± 561.1	571.1 ± 534.5	$t_2 = 2.68$	P*
				$t_3 = 0.58$	NS
Eosinophil protein X (µg/mmol of Creatinine)					
Range	25.9-47.2	80-230	51-135	$t_1 = 4.92$	P**
Mean ± s	35.3 ± 6.2	139.6 ± 11.7	66.5 ± 9.3	$t_2 = 4.81$	P**
				$t_3 = 4.65$	P**

NS, Not significant; P*, < 0.05; P**, < 0.001.

t₁, t-test comparing asthmatic patients during attacks and control groups; t₂, t-test comparing asthmatic patients after attacks and control groups;

t₃, t-test comparing asthmatic patients during and after the attacks.

Asthmatic subgroups during asthma attacks

Mean oxygen saturation and PEFR were significantly different between the asthmatic children and the control group, as well as within the asthmatic group itself (F = 194.74, P < 0.001; F = 224.78, P < 0.001). As expected, the lowest values were recorded in the severe attack subgroup and were normal in the control group.

The mean eosinophil count was significantly higher only in patients having severe attacks of asthma as compared with the control group (P < 0.05). No statistically significant difference was found between the eosinophil counts of patients with mild or moderate attack when compared with the control group.

The mean U-EPX concentration was significantly higher in each of the asthmatic subgroups when compared with the control group. Furthermore, the level was significantly higher in asthmatic patients with severe asthma attacks when compared with those with mild and moderate attacks (P < 0.01). However, no significant difference was found between mean U-EPX concentrations in patients with mild attacks when compared with those with moderate attacks.

Asthmatic subgroups after asthma attacks

Oxygen saturation and PEFR increased significantly for all asthmatic subgroups 2 weeks after resolution of the attack. No statistically significant difference was found between patients after resolution of the asthma attack and the control group with regard to oxygen saturation and PEFR (table III). At follow-up, the mean eosinophil count was significantly higher in asthmatic patients who had a severe attack when compared with the control group (P < 0.01) but no statistically significant difference was found for the other 2 subgroups. Furthermore, the eosinophil counts for each subgroup of patients did not differ during the attack and at the follow-up visit.

The U-EPX concentrations showed no statistically significant difference between the control group and the asthmatic patients after resolution of the mild or moderate attack. There was also a significant difference in levels in the patients with the severe attack at the follow-up when compared both to normal patients (p < 0.001) and to the patients who had mild (P < 0.001) and moderate (P < 0.05) attacks. The EPX concentrations decreased significantly in all asthmatic subgroups after resolution of the attack (table III).

Table III Physiologic parameters, eosinophil counts and eosinophil protein X levels in asthmatic subgroups during and after the attacks

Variable	Control group (n = 21)	Asthmatic subgroups during the attacks		Asthmatic subgroups after the attacks			Test of significance		
		Mild (n = 21)	Moderate (n = 35)	Severe (n = 24)	Mild (n = 21)	Moderate (n = 35)	Severe (n = 24)	t-test	P-value
O ₂ saturation (%)	99.82 ± 0.75	95.75±0.88	92.33±1.8	87.75 ± 1.54	98.75±0.7	99.25 ± 0.85	98.25 ± 1.48	$t_1 = 6.48$ $t_2 = 11.27$ $t_3 = 13.48$	P** P** P**
Peak expiratory flow rate (%)	96.2 ± 5.6	80.32 ± 5.8	60.7 ± 5.1	44.15 ± 3.95	95.71 ± 6.91	94.2 ± 10.91	86.15 ± 5.75	$t_1 = 4.33$ $t_2 = 6.88$ $t_3 = 4.85$	P** P** P**
Eosinophil count (cells/cm)	241.5±182.7	487.5 ± 355.3	493.85 ± 625.28	943.75 ± 604.42	393.75 ± 326.7	566.8±671.75	762.5 ± 560.45	$t_1 = 0.62$ $t_2 = 0.20$ $t_3 = 0.89$	NS NS NS
Eosinophil protein X (μg/mmol of creatinine)	35.3 ± 6.2	88.2 ± 7.2	119.6±8.5	191.5±11.3	54.7 ± 4.6	76.2 ± 6.1	103.8±9.4	$t_1 = 2.26$ $t_2 = 2.7$ $t_3 = 3.73$	P* P* P**

NS, Not significant; P*, < 0.05; P**, < 0.001.

t₁, t-test comparing asthmatic patients before and after mild attacks; t₂, t-test comparing asthmatic patients before and after moderate attacks; t₃, t-test comparing asthmatic patients before and after severe attacks.

Correlation between U-EPX concentrations and other parameters

No statistically significant correlation could be found between U-EPX concentrations, oxygen saturation (r = 0.311; P = 0.094), PEFR (r = -0.390; P = 0.09), eosinophil count (r = -0.065, P = 0.790) or age (r = 0.198; P = 0.365). In addition, no statistically significant differences were found between U-EPX concentrations in males when compared to females, both in the control group (t = 0.7, P = 0.6) and in the asthmatic group (t = 0.21; P = 0.78).

DISCUSSION

Bronchial asthma is a chronic inflammatory disease of the airway, and eosinophils are the main cell responsible for causing this inflammation (23-25). Eosinophil airway inflammation is one of the hallmarks of asthma (26), and markers of eosinophil activation have therefore been studied as possible predictors of asthma in children (27, 28). EPX is easily measured in the urine (15), and the concentration of U-EPX is thought to reflect eosinophil activation in the airways of asthmatic children (9, 10, 29).

The present study demonstrated higher levels of U-EPX in children with nonatopic asthma than in controls, consistent with other studies (9, 29). In a recent study, U-EPX concentrations are higher in atopic than in nonatopic asthmatic children (29). High concentrations of U-EPX have also been demonstrated in children with atopic dermatitis (30, 31). However, in the present study the children with asthma had no clinical signs of atopic dermatitis or any other allergic disease at the time of inclusion, suggesting that high concentrations of U-EPX reflect eosinophil activation in the airways of these children.

Our study showed that the U-EPX concentration was significantly elevated for all the asthmatic children, both during and 2 weeks after the acute attack. Moreover, the U-EPX concentration for all the asthmatic children during the acute exacerbation was significantly higher than after the resolution of the attack 2 weeks later, as reported in previous studies (12, 32).

These results suggest that in severe asthma cases, despite clinical improvement, the inflammatory process is ongoing, supporting the current recommendations for prolonged, intensive anti-inflammatory treatment of severe asthmatics (33). Furthermore, other investigators have reported a decrease in U-EPX after treatment of asthmatic children with inhaled corticosteroids suggesting that the concentration of U-EPX at least partly reflects bronchial airway inflammation (10, 33). Therefore, U-EPX concentrations would not only help determines the severity of the ongoing inflammation but could also allow the monitoring of therapy adequacy (10).

In accordance with other studies, our results showed no age or sex-related differences in U-EPX concentrations (9, 34). No significant correlation was found between the U-EPX concentration and the physiological parameters used in our assessment of asthma severity, namely oxygen saturation and PEFR.

Contradictory results have been reported regarding the relationship between pulmonary function tests, such as FEV_1 and PEFR, and U-EPX contrations. A negative correlation between U-EPX concentrations and pulmonary function has been reported in asthmatic children by Reichenberg (34). Our results, however, agree with data from Niggemann et al (35) and Oymar and Bjerknes (29) who could not find a significant correlation between U-EPX and either airway hypersensitivity or pulmonary function. The lack of a correlation may be explained by the fact that the kinetics of changes in lung function may differ from those of changes in inflammatory parameters.

Blood eosinophil counts have been viewed for several decades as a valuable tool for indicating disease severity, possibly because they reflect the degree and extent of inflammation in the asthmatic lung (23). Studies have reported a correlation between the number of blood eosinophils and the severity of asthma (36-38). In the clinical management of patients with asthma, elevated blood eosinophil counts was considered a risk factor, indicating exacerbation of the disease (36, 39-41).

In our study, the mean eosinophil count was significantly higher for asthmatic patients both during and after the acute attacks when compared to a control group. Nevertheless, when the asthmatic subgroups were analyzed, there was no difference between the eosinophil counts of patients with mild or moderate attacks when compared to the control group. The difference reached statistical significance only when the attack was severe. Furthermore, no significant difference was found between counts during the attack and at the follow-up visit. The eosinophil count thus cannot be used as a blood marker of disease activity in childhood bronchial asthma.

A more refined way of measuring the disease activity is thus not measuring the absolute number of cells participating in the inflammatory process, but the degree of activation of these cells by using the U-EPX concentrations (39).

CONCLUSION

This study showed high concentration of U-EPX in asthmatic children, especially during acute exacerbation. In addition, our data suggests that the measurement of U-EPX concentration may be useful in quantifying bronchial inflammation. This result can further be used as a marker of severity of the disease exacerbation and it would not only facilitate early diagnosis and staging of inflammatory and allergic disorders but it would also allow the monitoring of therapy and intervention.

RESUMEN

Objetivo: La determinación de la concentración urinaria de proteína X de los eosinófilos (U-EPX) puede predecir de manera objetiva la intensidad y la actividad del asma infantil.

Métodos: Se compararon las concentraciones de U-EPX de 80 niños asmáticos no atópicos con las de 25 niños de control sanos. Se estudió a los pacientes durante las crisis y dos semanas más tarde. La intensidad de las crisis asmáticas se determinó con arreglo a una puntuación previa. La U-EPX se midió mediante una técnica específica de radioinmunoanálisis (Pharamacia, Uppsala, Suecia). Esta medición se correlacionó con los estudios clínicos y radiológicos y con otras variables, como la saturación sanguínea de oxígeno, la frecuencia espiratoria máxima y el recuento de eosinófilos.

Resultados: Las concentraciones de U-EPX fueron significativamente mayores en todos los niños asmáticos durante las crisis (139,6 \pm 11,7 μ g por mmol de creatinina) que en el grupo de control $(35,3 \pm 6,2 \mu g/mmol de creatinina)$ (p < 0,001). Dos semanas después de la resolución de la reagudización, la U-EPX disminuyó de modo significativo $(66,5 \pm 9,3 \mu g/mmol de creatinina) (p < 0,001)$. Las concentraciones de U-EPX fueron máximas en los pacientes con crisis graves (191,5 \pm 11,3 μ g/mmol de creatinina) (p < 0,001). No se observaron diferencias estadísticamente significativas entre las crisis leves (88,2 \pm 7,2 μ g/mmol de creatinina) y las moderadas (119,6 \pm 8,5 μ g/mmol de creatinina). A las dos semanas de seguimiento, las concentraciones de U-EPX de los pacientes con crisis leves o moderadas eran parecidas a las de los testigos, pero se mantenían persistentemente elevadas en el subgrupo con crisis graves (103,8 \pm 9,4 μ g/mmol de creatinina) (p < 0,001). No hubo una correlación significativa entre las concentraciones de U-EPX y la saturación sanguínea de oxígeno, la frecuencia espiratoria máxima o el recuento de eosinófilos.

Conclusión: Se observó una correlación estadísticamente significativa entre las concentraciones de U-EPX y la intensidad de las crisis de niños asmáticos. Esta sustancia podría ser útil para cuantificar la inflamación bronquial. Este resultado se podría emplear también como marcador del grado de reagudización de la enfermedad y no solo facilitaría un diagnóstico y una estadificación precoces de los trastornos alérgicos e inflamatorios, sino que permitiría también controlar las intervenciones y el tratamiento.

Palabras clave: Asma. Eosinófilo. Niños. Proteína X de los eosinófilos. Inflamación bronquial.

Correspondence:

Dr. Wassim Kalaajieh Tripoli – Lebanon P.O.BOX.: 1528 Tel: + 961 -3- 645295 Fax: + 961 -6- 447042 E-mail: wkalajie@ul.edu.lb

REFERENCES

- 1. Kay AB. Asthma and inflammation. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1991;87:893-907.
- Bousquet J, Chanez P, Lacoste JY, Barneon G, Ghavanian N, Enander I et al. Eosinophil inflammation in asthma. N Eng J Med 1990;323:1033-9.
- Roa R, Frederick JM, Enander I, Gregson RK, Warner JA, Warner JO. Airway function correlates with circulating eosinophil, but not mast cell, markers of inflammation in childhood asthma. Clin Exp Allergy 1996;26:789-93.
- Venge P. Eosinophil activity in bronchial asthma. Allergy Proc 1994;15:139-41.
- Remes S, Korppin M, Remes K, Savolainen K, Mononen I, Pekkanen J. Serum eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) and eosinophil protein X (EPX) in childhood asthma: the influence of atopy. Pediatr Pulmonol 1998;25:167-74.
- Carlsen KH, Halvorsen R, Pettersen M, Carlsen KC. Inflammation markers and symptom activity in children with bronchial asthma. Influence of atopy and eczema. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 1997;8:112-20.
- Oymar K, Elsayed S, Bjerknes R. Serum eosinophil cationic protein and interleukin-5 in children with bronchial asthma and bronchiolitis. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 1996;7:180-6.
- O'Sullivan S, Kumlin M. Eosinophil markers in childhood asthma. Clin Exp Allergy 1999;29:1454-6.
- Lugosi E, Halmerbauer G, Frischer T, Koller DY.Urinary eosinophil protein X in relation to disease activity in childhood asthma. Allergy 1997;52:584-8.
- Kristjensson S, Strannegard I, Strannegard O, Peterson C, Enander I, Wennergren G. Urinary eosinophil protein X in chil-

dren with atopic asthma: A useful marker of anti-inflammatory treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;97;1179-87.

- Hoekstra MO, Grol MH, Hovenga H et al. Eosinophil and must cell parameters in children with stable moderate asthma. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 1998;9:143-9.
- Shirkawa S, Iwasaki E, Miyabayashi Y, Baba M. Serum eosinophil cationic protein X and urinary N-metylhistamine during acute asthma in children. Arerrugi 1998;47:1240-7.
- Koller DY, Halmerbaeaur G, Frischer T, Roithner B. Assessment of eosinophil granule proteins in various body fluids: is there a relation to clinical variables in childhood asthma? Clin Exp Allergy 1999;29:786-93.
- 14. Storm Van's Gravesande K, Mattes J, Gruntjens T et al. Circadian variation of urinary eosinophil protein X in asthmatic and healthy children. Clin Exp Allergy 1999;29:1497-501.
- Reimert CM, Minuva U, Kharazmi A, Bendtzen k. Eosinophil protein X/eosinophil derived protein (EPX/EDN). Detection by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and purification from normal human urine. J Immunol Methods 1991;141: 97-104.
- Cottin V, Deviller P, Tardy F, Cordier JF. Urinary eosinophil-derived neurotoxin/protein X. A simple method for assessing eosinophil degranulation in vivo. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1998;101:116-23.
- Evans PM, O'Connor BJ, Fuller RW, Barnes PJ, Chung KF. Effect of inhaled corticosteroids on peripheral blood eosinophil counts and density profiles in asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1993;91:643.
- Manhanty S, Nutman TB. Eosinophilia and eosinophil related disorders. In: Middelton EJ, Reed CE, Ellis EF et al, eds. Allergy principals and practice. St. Louis: Mosby, 1993;1077-108.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Provisional committee on quality improvement. Practice parameter: the office management of acute exacerbations of asthma in children. Pediatrics. 1994;93:119-22.
- Gautier V, Redier K, Pujol JL, Bousquet J, Proudhon H, Michel C et al. Comparison of an expert system with other clinical scores for the evaluation of severity of asthma. Eur respir J 1996;9:58-64.
- Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. National Heart, lung and blood Institute. National Institutes of Health. Bethesda, Maryland, USA. Publication N0 97- 4051, July 1997.
- 22. National Asthma Education Prevention Program (NAEPP). National Institutes of Health. Bethesda, Maryland, USA. Publication N0 97- 3659, March 1997.
- Wenzel SE. Asthma as an inflammatory disease. Ann Allergy 1994;72:261–71.
- 24. Lacoste JY, Chanez P, Paganin F, Godard F, Michel FB, Bousquet J. Bronchial inflammation in asthma. Evaluation by bronchial biopsies. Rev Mal. Resp. 1991;8:533-41.
- 25. Oddera S, Silvestri M, Balbo A, Jovovich BO, Penna R, Crimi E et al. Airway eosinophil inflammation, epithelial damage and

bronchial hyperresponsiveness in patients with mild-moderate stable asthma. Allergy 1996;51:100-7.

- Hamid QA, Minshall EM. Molecular pathology of allergic disease. 1: lower airway disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000; 105:20-36.
- Koller DY, Wojnarowski C, Herkner KR, Weinlander G, Raderer M, Eichler I. High levels of eosinophil cationic protein in wheezing infants predict the development of asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1997;99:752-6.
- Villa JR, Garacia G, Rueda S, Nogales A. Serum eosinophil cationic may predict clinical course or wheezing in young children. Arch Dis Child 1998;78:448-52.
- 29. Oymar K, Bjerknes R. urinary eosinophil protein X in children with asthma: influence of atopy and airway infections. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2001;12:34-41.
- Pucci N, Lombardi E, Novembre E et al. Urinary eosinophil protein X and serum eosinophil cationic protein in infants and young children with atopic dermatitis. Correlation with disease activity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000;105:353-7.
- 31. Oymar k, Bjerknes R. Urinary eosinophil protein X in children with atopic dermatitis: relation to atopy and disease severity. Allergy 2000;55:600-8.
- Zimmerman B. Eosinophil proteins as markers of inflammation in childhood asthma. Allergy Proc 1994;15:143-5.
- Robinson US, Assoufi B, Durham SR, Kay AB. Eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) and eosinophil protein X (EPX) concentrations in serum and bronchial lavage fluid in asthma. Effect of prednisolone treatment. Clin Exp Allergy 1995;25:18-27.
- 34. Reichenberg K. U-EPX and severity of asthma. Allergy 2000; 55:1090-1.
- 35. Niggermann B, Kleinau I, Schmitt M, whan U. Twenty-four hour time cause of eosinophil granule proteins ECP and EPX during bronchial allergen challenges in serum of asthmatic children. Allergy 1994;49:74-80.
- Griffin E, Hakansson L, Formgren H, Jorgensen K, Peterson C, Venge P. Blood eosinophil number and activity in relation to lung function in patients with asthma and with eosinophilia. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1991;87:548-57.
- Burrowa B, Hasan FM, Barbee RA, Holonen Lebowitz MD. Epidemiologic observations on eosinophilia and its relation to respiratory disorders. Am Rev Resp Dis 1980;122:709-19.
- Zimmerman B, Lanner A, Enander I, Zimmerman RS, Peterson CG, Ahlstedt S. Total blood eosinophils, serum eosinophil cationic protein, and eosinophil protein X in childhood asthma: relation to disease status and therapy. Clin Exp Allergy 1993;23:564-70.
- Koller DY, Herouy Y, Gotz M, Hagel E, Urbanek R, Eichler I. Clinical value of monitoring eosinophil activity in asthma. Arch Dis Child 1995;73:413-7.
- 40. Adelman DC, Saxon A. Immediate hypersensitivity: approach to diagnosis. In: Lawlor GJ, Fisher TJ, Adelman DC, eds. Manual of allergy and immunology. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1995; 18-39.
- 41. Ennis M, Turner G, Shock BC, Stervenson EC, Brown V, Fitch PS et al. Inflammatory mediators in bronchoalveolar lavage samples from children with and without asthma. Clin Exp Allergy 1999;29:362-6.