

sin embargo, cerca de un 70% de nonagenarios habían sufrido algún episodio de FRA durante su vida; quizás estos episodios, pudieran haber contribuido al desarrollo de la ERC, como demostraron Ishani et al. en su estudio, sobre una cohorte de más de 230.000 pacientes, sugiriendo que el FRA acelera la progresión renal⁶.

En lo que se refiere al tratamiento de la ERC y sus complicaciones, nuestros datos muestran la polifarmacia en más del 90% de pacientes. Dentro de estos fármacos algunos pueden resultar especialmente «peligrosos» en pacientes tan longevos, tanto por sus efectos secundarios, como por complicaciones asociadas a su uso, más aún, si concurren ciertas situaciones como depleción de volumen^{7,8}: En este trabajo, el 80% recibían diuréticos, —una parte de antecedentes de FRA se relacionaban con ellos— y también, más de un 40% recibían anticoagulantes orales.

En conclusión, los pacientes nonagenarios con enfermedad renal crónica (sin diálisis) presentan una elevada comorbilidad asociada y más del 90% de ellos reciben polifarmacia.

Financiación

Los autores declaran no haber recibido financiación para la realización de este trabajo.

Bibliografía

1. Fenómenos demográficos. Indicadores demográficos básicos [consultado 12 Jun 2020] Disponible en: <http://www.ine.es>.

2. Demografía y población. Cifras de población y censos demográficos [consultado 12 Jun 2020] Disponible en: <http://www.ine.es>.
3. Gorostidi M, Sánchez-Martínez M, Ruilope LM, Graciani A, de la Cruz JJ, Santa-maría R, et al. Prevalencia de enfermedad renal crónica en España: impacto de la acumulación de factores de riesgo cardiovascular. *Nefrología*. 2018;38:606–15.
4. Brück K, Stel VS, Gambaro G, Hallan S, Völzke H, Årnlöv J, et al. CKD prevalence varies across the European General Population. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;27:2135–47.
5. Registros. Informe de Diálisis y Trasplante 2018 [consultado 12 Jun 2020] Disponible en: <http://www.senefro-org>.
6. Ishani A, Xue JL, Himmelfarb J, Eggers PW, Kimmel PL, Molitoris PA, et al. Acute kidney injury increases risk of ESRD among elderly. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2009;20:223–8.
7. Rifkin DE, Winkelmayer WC. Medication issues in older individuals with CKD. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis*. 2010;17:320–8.
8. Ballew SH, Chen Y, Daya NR, Godino JG, Windham BG, McAdams-DeMarco M, et al. Frailty, kidney function, and polypharmacy: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2017;69:228–36.

Manuel Heras Benito^{a,*}, María José Fernández-Reyes Luis^b, Carmen Martín Varas^b y Giomar Urzola Rodríguez^b

^a Servicio de Nefrología, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca, España

^b Servicio de Nefrología, Hospital General de Segovia, Segovia, España

* Autor para correspondencia.

Correo electrónico: manuhebe@hotmail.com (M. Heras Benito).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regg.2020.10.006>

0211-139X/ © 2020 SEGG. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Atypical symptoms of COVID-19 in hospitalised oldest old adults



Síntomas atípicos de COVID-19 en el adulto mayor hospitalizado

Infection misdiagnosis is common in older adults since infections may present differently than in the general population¹ COVID-19 is typically signalled by three symptoms: fever, cough and dyspnoea and is particularly fatal for older adults.^{2,3} Atypical symptoms recognition in these extremely vulnerable populations is critical for early detection, screening and intervention. However, atypical symptoms in older adults are not well established. We report clinical characteristics and presenting symptoms of COVID-19 in advanced age patients attended in a specialized geriatric hospital in Madrid, a city where the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic was particularly bad. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee, under the ID: PI-4131.

Between March 20 and April 30, 2020, we attended 111 patients with probable or definitive COVID-19 diagnosis.⁴ Table 1 shows demographic and clinical characteristics, including geriatric assessments. Mean age (85.5 ± 6.6 y) is higher than in studies, which defined COVID-19 clinical characteristics.⁵ Many patients were frail with significant co-morbidities. However, a high proportion had no or only mild functional or cognitive impairment (Table 1). 47 patients (42.3%) did not present fever and 27 (24.3%) neither fever nor cough. Amongst atypical symptoms stands out the delirium (hyperactive or hypoactive) assessed by Confusion Assessment

Method (CAM),⁶ present in more than 40% of patients, and high prevalence of falls as presenting symptom (14.4%). Fever absence was significantly more frequent in patients with falls compared to patients with other presenting symptoms (68.5%, vs. 37.9%; $p=0.021$). Nearly 93% of patients had radiographically confirmed pneumonia.

Global in-hospital mortality was 45.9%. Patients with delirium had nearly double in-hospital death risk compared to those with other presenting symptoms (61.7% vs. 34.4%; $p=0.004$). Interestingly, patients with delirium presented lower functional status (Barthel Index: 58.5 ± 30 vs. 77.2 ± 27.7 ; $p=0.001$), more frailty (proportion of at least moderate frailty: 54.3% vs. 29.6%; $p=0.009$) and more cognitive impairment (proportion of at least moderate dementia: 28.3% vs. 12.5%; $p=0.038$) than patients without delirium. Fever is the key method for COVID-19 screening.⁷ However, in older adults awareness of other clinical features is mandatory, including falls and delirium, which may coincide with infection onset.^{1,8} Cardinal delirium manifestations are cognitive disturbances with impaired orientation, temporal fluctuation, and onset in few hours or days.^{9,10} In our series it is associated to poorer prior functional and cognitive status, and higher mortality.

Physicians and general population must gain knowledge of the COVID-19 special and unique aspects in geriatric populations lowering thus suspicion and testing thresholds for SARS-CoV-2 in older adults.

Table 1
Demographic characteristics and symptoms at admission.

n	111
Female, n (%)	54 (48.6)
Age (years)	85.5 ± 6.6
Age range (years)	70–97
Duration of symptoms before diagnosis	5.7 ± 3.5
Nursing home residents	55 (49.5)
Type of diagnosis	
Clinical and radiologic	27 (24.3)
Laboratory	84 (75.7)
Polypharmacy (5–10 drugs)	49 (44.1)
Excessive polypharmacy (≥10 drugs)	24 (21.6)
Charlson Comorbidity Index	2.5 (±2.0)
Charlson Comorbidity Index categories	
No comorbidity (CCI: 0–1)	30 (27.0)
Low comorbidity (CCI: 2)	31 (27.9)
High comorbidity (CCI ≥ 3)	49 (44.1)
Barthel index	69.1 ± 30.3
Barthel index categories	
Independent (BI: 100)	27 (24.3)
Mild dependence (BI: 60–99)	47 (42.3)
Moderate dependence (BI: 40–55)	13 (11.7)
Severe dependence (BI: 20–35)	8 (7.2)
Total dependence (BI <20)	12 (10.8)
Global deterioration scale	
No cognitive decline (GDS: 1)	47 (42.3)
Age associated memory impairment/Mild cognitive decline (GDS: 2,3)	23 (20.7)
Mild Dementia (GDS: 4)	19 (17.1)
Moderate Dementia (GDS: 5)	13 (11.7)
Moderately Severe Dementia (GDS: 6)	3 (2.7)
Severe Dementia (GDS: 7)	5 (4.5)
Clinical frailty scale; n (%)	
Robust (CFS: 1–3)	34 (30.6)
Vulnerable (CFS: 4)	4 (3.6)
Mild (CFS: 5)	28 (25.2)
Moderate (CFS: 6)	20 (18.0)
Severe (CFS: 7)	17 (15.3)
Very severe (CFS: 8)	7 (6.3)
Classical symptoms	
Cough	63 (56.8)
Fever	64 (57.7)
Dyspnoea	64 (57.7)
Cough + fever	43 (38.7)
Cough + fever + dyspnoea	25 (22.5)
Atypical symptoms	
Any type of delirium	47 (42.3)
Hyperactive delirium	33 (29.7)
Hypoactive delirium	14 (12.6)
Falls	16 (14.4)
Diarrhoea	4 (3.6)
Asthenia or loss of appetite	63 (53.8)
Pneumonia	103 (92.8)
Death, n (%)	51 (45.9)
Length of hospital stay	12.2 ± 7.8

BI: Barthel index. CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index. CFS: Clinical Frailty Scale. GDS: Global Deterioration Scale. Longitudinal data are shown as mean (±standard deviation).

1. El Chakhtoura NG, Bonomo RA, Jump RLP. Influence of aging and environment on presentation of infection in older adults. *Infect Dis Clin North Am.* 2017;31:593–608.
2. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020;395:1054–62.
3. De Smet R, Mellaerts B, Vandewinckele H, Lybeert P, Frans E, Ombelet S, et al. Frailty and mortality in hospitalized older adults with COVID-19: retrospective observational study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2020;21:928–32.
4. Ministerio de Sanidad – Instituto de Salud Carlos III. Estrategia de detección precoz, vigilancia y control de COVID-19. Versión 12 Nov; 2020. Available from: <https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/ccayes/alertasActual/nCov/documentos/COVID19.Estrategia.vigilancia.y.control.e.indicadores.pdf>
5. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;382:1708–20.
6. Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Siegel AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med.* 1990;113:941–8.
7. Nikolich-Zugich J, Knox KS, Rios CT, Natt B, Bhattacharya D, Fain MJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 in older adults: what we may expect regarding pathogenesis, immune responses, and outcomes. *GeroScience.* 2020;42:505–14.
8. Gutiérrez Rodríguez J, Montero Muñoz J, Jiménez Muela F, Guirola García-Prendes C, Martínez Rivera M, Gómez Armas L. Variables associated with mortality in a selected sample of patients older than 80 years and with some degree of functional dependence hospitalized for COVID-19 in a Geriatrics Service. *Rev Esp Geriatr Gerontol.* 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.regg.2020.07.002> [Epub ahead of print].
9. Lorenzl S, Fügen I, Noachtar S. Acute confusional states in the elderly—diagnosis and treatment. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2012;109:391–400.
10. Morandi A, Bellelli G. Delirium superimposed on dementia. *Eur Geriatr Med.* 2020;11:53–62.

Isabel Lozano-Montoya^{a,b,*}, Maribel Quezada-Feijoo^{b,c},
Javier Jaramillo-Hidalgo^{a,b}, Francisco J. Gómez-Pavón^{a,b}

^a Servicio de Geriatria, Hospital Central de la Cruz Roja San José y Santa Adela, C/Reina Victoria, 24, 28003 Madrid, Spain

^b Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Alfonso X el Sabio, Avda. de la Universidad, 1, 28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain

^c Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Central de la Cruz Roja San José y Santa Adela, C/Reina Victoria, 24, 28003 Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ilozanom@salud.madrid.org (I. Lozano-Montoya).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regg.2020.11.001>

0211-139X/ © 2020 SEGG. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.