

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Is allergenic similarity predictable in respiratory allergies?

F. Erel^a, M. Gulec^a, Z. Caliskaner^a, S. Ozturk^a, O. Kartal^a, C. Acikel^a and M. Karaayvaz^b

^aDepartment Internal Medicine and Division of Allergy. ^bDepartment of Public Health. Gülhane Military Medical Academy and Medical School. Ankara. Turkey.

ABSTRACT

Background: First degree relatives of patients with allergic diseases are at increased risk of having the disorder. However, it is not clear whether two such related patients with allergic diseases are sensitive to the same antigens or not.

Objective: The aim of this study to determine whether or not first degree relatives with respiratory allergies are more likely to be skin test positive to the same allergen extracts as unrelated patients.

Patients and Methods: Skin test results for 35 common aeroallergens were compared in 264 pairs of genetically related subjects and 264 pairs of age and sex matched, but unrelated, subjects. We calculate the percentages of the concordant and discordant results in each group. Results are compared by using χ^2 test.

Results: For all related and unrelated groups combined, there were significant differences with mites (der. pteronyssinus, der. farinae) and some moulds (aspergillus mix and rhizopus nigricans) ($p < 0.05$); When the groups were subdivided into parent-child pairs and same or different sibling pairs, and the same comparisons were made, a significant difference was only found in both sibling pairs ($p < 0.05$),

not in parent-child pairs ($p > 0.05$). Since there was no both positivity with aspergillus mix and rhizopus nigricans in the two groups, these two allergens were excluded from the study.

Conclusion: It is concluded that we could not say that if one or both of parents are atopic to any allergens, their child will be atopic to the same allergens. Besides, when a respiratory allergy occurs in siblings, only the one who has house dust mite allergy sensitivity can possess the similar antigen sensitivity.

Key words: Allergen. Similarity. Predict. Skin prick test.

INTRODUCTION

Because of the gradual increase in the prevalence, morbidity and mortality of allergic respiratory diseases in recent years, there is a detailed need for understanding their pathogenesis and physiopathology. However, there is a running doubt on how to develop allergies. While some researchers emphasize the importance of the genetic factor in the development of this picture, others seem to consider that the environmental factor is the most effective.

In addition, the question that persons of atopic constitution who have first degree relativity (parent-child or sibling) are sensitive or not to those antigens as well, appears to have been raised recently.

Skin tests, (especially epidermal testing), remain the most useful method of establishing specific causative allergens. Therefore, to determine the answer to the above question, the antigen similarities in atopic pairs who are first degree relatives were examined through skin prick test (SPT).

Correspondence:

Dr. Fuat Erel
Gülhane Askeri Tıp Akademisi
Allerjik Hastalıklar BD
06018 Ankara, Türkiye
Fax: + 90-312-304 4139
E-mail: fuatereldr@hotmail.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two hundred and sixty-four pairs (528 patients), were constituted retrospectively and prospectively in the Department of Allergic Diseases in GATA in Ankara, Turkey. Diagnoses were set through history, physical findings and SPT. The only inclusion criterion was a positive atopic family history. This was confirmed by sensitization to an environmental allergen (SPT) in at least one parent or sibling of the patient. Only those patients who have respiratory system allergies were studied. Pairs were matched as parent-child (mother-child/father-child) or sibling (same sex/different sex).

As a control group, again, among the patients, 264 pairs that have no relation between each other

and whose ages and sexes were concordant to the patients, are selected randomly and evaluated.

The sensitivities to 35 common aeroallergens were assessed by SPT using lancets (1/20 wt/vol for pollens and moulds, 10,000 AU/ml for mites) (Greer Lab., LaNoir, NC, USA) (Table I). Positive (10 mg/ml histamine base) and negative (phosphate saline solution) controls were simultaneously applied. Patients avoided antihistamines and antidepressants for at least 72 hours before testing. For each test, the greatest diameter of the erythema and wheal in millimetres were recorded at 15 minutes. Reactions with a wheal diameter greater than or equal to 3 mm were considered positive.¹ No adverse effect was observed.

Statistical methods

Data were entered into the computer through the SPSS for Windows 11.5 statistical software application, and computed. The percentage of concordant results (+/ or -/-) and discordant results (+/-) among pairs for each group were calculated for all allergens. The results were compared with χ^2 analysis.

RESULTS

Two hundred and sixty-four pairs of first degree relatives (167 parent-child pairs [113 mother-child, 54 father-child] and 97 sibling pairs [45 different sex, 52 same sex]) and 264 pairs of age-matched and sex-matched but unrelated subjects, all with respiratory allergies, were studied. Characteristics of the patients are shown in Table II. SPT positivity in mother-child pairs was found to be double that in father-child pairs (113 versus 54). Mean antigen numbers in the two groups were found to be nearly

Table I

Number and percentage of antigen

No	Antigen	%	No	Antigen	%
a1	Cockroach American	8	a19	Sunflower	4.2
a2	Mold mix	2.3	a20	Hormodendrum	2.7
a3	Trichophyton rubrum	1.1	a21	Dactylis glomerata	72.3
a4	Salix	1.5	a22	Der. farinae	33.7
a5	Artemisia vulgaris	17.4	a23	Eastern tree mix	8
a6	Der. pteronyssinus	35.2	a24	Poa pratensis	70.8
a7	Avena sativa	68.9	a25	Fusarium mix	1.5
a8	Eastern oak mix	5.7	a26	Daisy chrysanthemum	2.3
a9	Festuca Elatior	70.8	a27	Chenopodium mix	8
a10	Pine mix	1.5	a28	Salsola	4.2
a11	Maple mix	3	a29	Monilia mix	2.7
a12	Aspergillus mix	3.4	a30	Horse epithelia	2.3
a13	Rose	3.8	a31	Lolium perenne	71.2
a14	Rhizopus nigricans	0.8	a32	Olea Europea	15.2
a15	Phleum pratense	72	a33	Ash mix	7.6
a16	Cat epithelia	9.5	a34	Juglans	1.9
a17	Populus	8	a35	Birch mix	1.5
a18	Dog epithelia	1.1			

Table II

Demographic properties of the study group

		Ages	Median	Range	Sex (Male/Female)
Parent-Child (167 pairs)	Mother (113)	40.96 ± 8.18	41	26-69	-/113
	Child	15.04 ± 6.97	14	5-41	59/54
	Father (54)	43.39 ± 11.55	43	13-71	54/-
	Child	15.61 ± 9.77	12.5	5-44	32/22
Sibling (97 pairs)	Same sex (52 pairs)	18.4 ± 8.13	17	5-38	21 pairs/31 pairs
	Different sex (45 pairs)	18.4 ± 9.88	17	7-55	45/45

equal, as shown in Table III ($p > 0.05$). The number and percentage for positive skin tests in the study group are shown in Table I. Grasses (festuca elatior, phleum pratense, dactylis glomerata, poa pratensis, lolium perenne), cereals (avena sativa) and mites (der. pteronyssinus, der. farinae) were higher than for the other allergens. This situation is similar to our previous study which was about the allergen spectrum of Turkey.² The concordant and discordant skin test results and their analyses are shown in Table IV.

Table III
Mean antigen numbers in two groups

Group	No	Mean	S. Deviaton	p
Patient	528	6.825	± 3.118	
Control	528	7.011	± 2.718	0.466

Table IV
Concordant and discordant skin test results for all antigens

		a1	a2	a3	a4	a5	a6	a7	a8	a9	a10	a11	a12
PATIENT	Concordance %	84.1	95.1	95.5	96.6	81.4	66.7	65.9	91.7	65.9	97.3	95.5	93.6
	Both positive*	7	2	0	1	14	61	122	5	128	0	1	0
	Both negative*	215	249	252	254	201	115	52	237	46	257	251	247
	Discordance %	15.9	4.9	4.5	3.4	18.6	33.3	34.1	8.3	34.1	2.7	4.5	6.4
CONTROL	Concordance %	82.6	96.2	96.6	98.9	77.3	51.1	61.4	93.9	61.7	95.8	97	98.1
	Both positive*	1	0	0	0	4	26	146	1	146	0	0	0
	Both negative*	217	254	255	261	200	109	16	247	17	253	256	259
	Discordance	17.4	3.8	3.4	1.1	22.7	48.9	38.6	6.1	38.3	4.2	3	1.9
	χ^2 test	0.218	0.409	0.446	3.07	1.39	13.15	1.179	1.02	0.993	0.92	0.831	6.83
	p	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	< 0.01	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	< 0.01
		a13	a14	a15	a16	a17	a18	a19	a20	a21	a22	a23	a24
PATIENT	Concordance %	93.2	98.1	65.5	89.4	92	98.1	93.2	93.6	65.5	67	90.2	65.9
	Both positive	1	0	129	4	6	1	1	0	130	56	3	127
	Both negative	245	259	44	232	237	258	245	247	43	121	235	47
	Discordance %	6.8	1.9	34.5	10.6	8	1.9	6.8	6.4	34.5	33	9.8	34.1
CONTROL	Concordance %	91.3	100	61.7	87.9	91.7	97.7	92.4	97	61.7	54.9	93.9	60.6
	Both positive	0	0	149	0	0	0	0	0	149	22	0	146
	Both negative	241	264	14	232	242	258	244	256	14	123	248	14
	Discordance %	8.7	0	38.3	12.1	8.3	2.3	7.6	3	38.3	45.1	6.1	39.4
	χ^2 test	0.661	5.048	0.818	0.301	0.025	0.093	0.113	3.40	0.818	8.151	2.587	1.597
	p	> 0.05	< 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	< 0.01	> 0.05	> 0.05
		a25	a26	a27	a28	a29	a30	a31	a32	a33	a34	a35	
PATIENT	Concordance %	97	94.3	90.2	94.7	95.1	97.7	66.7	84.8	92.8	96.2	98.9	
	Both positive	0	2	6	2	1	0	128	14	6	0	1	
	Both negative	256	247	232	248	250	258	48	210	239	254	260	
	Discordance %	3	5.7	9.8	5.3	4.9	2.3	33.3	15.2	7.2	3.8	1.1	
CONTROL	Concordance %	98.9	91.7	91.7	96.6	92.8	98.1	61.4	78	93.2	98.9	98.9	
	Both positive	0	0	0	0	0	0	147	4	2	0	0	
	Both negative	261	242	242	265	245	259	15	202	244	261	261	
	Discordance %	1.1	8.3	8.3	4.4	7.2	1.9	38.6	22	6.8	1.1	1.1	
	χ^2 test	2.321	1.424	0.367	1.136	1.198	0.093	1.611	4.06	0.029	3.86	0	
	p	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	> 0.05	

*Number of patients or controls

When we looked at concordance and discordance results for all related and unrelated groups combined, there were significant differences with mites and some moulds ($p < 0.05$); Upon subdividing the groups into parent-child pairs and sibling pairs, and the same comparisons were made, a significant difference was only found in the both sibling pairs ($p < 0.05$).

When allergens were evaluated one by one, significant differences were found for *der. pteronyssinus*, *der. farinae*, *aspergillus mix* and *rhizopus nigricans* ($p < 0.05$) (Tables V, VI). When we look at the concordance of *aspergillus mix* and *rhizopus nigricans*, there is no both positivity in the two groups. We therefore excluded these two last allergens from the study.

DISCUSSION

There is a family predisposition to respiratory allergies, the incidence of which is highest among first degree relatives. Among children with bilateral family histories of allergic rhinitis or asthma, one of these conditions will develop in 70 % of cases, and among those with unilateral family histories, in 50 %.^{3,4} The association of atopic diathesis with the inheritance of histocompatibility (HLA) antigen haplotypes in families has been demonstrated and suggests that immune response genes associated with the major histocompatibility complexes may genetically determine or define the atopic state. Indeed, correlations have been established in population studies between specific IgE antibodies to Amb a V and HLA DR2 and Lol p I and II and HLA DR3.^{5,6} Establishment of the correlation among the pollens and HLA II types and showing the statistical grass pollen similarity between first degree relative pairs together, brings out the existence of a genetic passage with respect to grass pollen antigens. Furthermore, these correlations were also demonstrated between HLA Class II allele and mites with Lin's study (*der. farinae* and HLA-DR 13),⁷ and Lara-Marquez's study (HLA-DRB1*1101, DQA1*0501, DQB1*0301 and *der. pteronyssinus* and *der. farinae*),⁸ but not by Stephan⁹ and Holloway.¹⁰

Some researchers reported a multicentre study in Germany, to better understand the relationship between sensitization and atopic family history or levels of IgE antibody in umbilical cord blood as predictors of future allergy in neonates. They emphasized that family history, most reliably predicts the type of allergy the atopic child will express, that is, the child is most likely to express the same allergy or allergies as the parents.¹¹

Although it is accepted that genetic passage plays an important role in allergic diseases according to the above studies, consensus exists with regard to allergenic similarity among the first degree relatives. When a child of a father or mother with a pollen or mite allergy, has an atopy, at the same time, should they be sensitive to the same antigens or not? This question has yet to be completely answered.

On the other hand, others notified that the environmental factors play an essential role in atopy development, while genetic factors have a limited effect. They indicated the growing importance of house dust mite in the development of allergy and stated that, widely used social settings such as central heating, double glazing, fitted carpets, and other factors optimize conditions for house dust mite proliferation, and also strongly implicated tobacco smoke in the rising prevalence of allergies.¹² Contrarily, Murray et al. investigated the effect of in uterine and postnatal environmental tobacco smoke exposure on respiratory symptoms and atopy in the first 3 years of life in children at high risk of allergic disease (both parents atopic), and they found environmental tobacco smoke exposure has little or no effect on the development of atopy.¹³ Huss showed that the higher the levels of house dust mite exposure, the more likely the patients were to have positive allergy skin test responses.¹⁴ On the contrary, Gereda reported that children exposed to a high amount of house dust endotoxins may be protected from developing allergic sensitization, as these substances are Th1 stimulant.¹⁵ Duse emphasized that atopy among 13- to 14-yr-old adolescents is significantly associated with some family and environmental factors, providing further support for the hygiene hypothesis (frequent infections and exposure to bacterial endotoxins in the early years of life are related to a low risk of developing atopic disease).¹⁶ Besides, Remes declared that a farm environment reduces the occurrence of asthma, allergic diseases, and atopic sensitization in children.¹⁷ Stelmach also stated that poor living conditions or living in orphanages lower the risk of atopy.¹⁸

After these conflicting results, the recent common consideration in atopy development is: that in addition to the genetic factors, specific environmental factors (such as magnitude of allergen exposure, early allergen exposure) and non-specific environmental factors (nutrition, active or passive smoker, maternal smoking, housing conditions, viral infections) play the important roles.

This is the third study (to our knowledge) about allergenic similarity between first degree relatives. First, Kelso reported in 32 genetically related pairs and 32 unrelated subjects that they are no more like-

Table V
Concordant and discordant skin test results for Der. Pteronyssinus

		Patient	Control	χ^2	p
Mother-Child (113 pairs)	Concordance	71 (62.8%)	60 (53.1%)	2.197	0.138
	<i>Both Positive</i>	26 (23.0)	17 (15.0)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	45 (39.8)	43 (38.1)		
	Discordance	42 (37.2%)	53 (46.9%)		
Father-Child (54 pairs)	Concordance	32 (59.3%)	26 (48.1%)	1.341	0.247
	<i>Both Positive</i>	17 (31.5)	1 (1.9)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	15 (27.8)	25 (46.3)		
	Discordance	22 (40.7%)	28 (51.9%)		
Same sex sibling (52 pairs)	Concordance	39 (75%)	27 (51.9%)	5.971	0.015
	<i>Both Positive</i>	12 (23.1)	5 (9.6)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	27 (51.9)	22 (42.3)		
	Discordance	13 (25%)	25 (48.1%)		
Different sex sibling (45 pairs)	Concordance	34 (75.6%)	22 (48.9%)	6.807	0.009
	<i>Both Positive</i>	6 (13.3)	3 (6.7)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	28 (62.2)	19 (42.2)		
	Discordance	11 (24.4%)	23 (51.1%)		

Table VI
Concordant and discordant skin test results for Der. Farinae

		Patient	Control	χ^2	p
Mother-Child (113 pairs)	Concordance	72 (63.7%)	68 (60.2%)	0.3	0.584
	<i>Both Positive</i>	25 (22.1)	15 (13.3)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	47 (41.6)	53 (46.9)		
	Discordance	41 (36.3%)	45 (39.8%)		
Father-Child (54 pairs)	Concordance	34 (63%)	27 (50%)	1.846	0.174
	<i>Both Positive</i>	15 (27.8)	1 (1.9)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	19 (35.2)	26 (48.1)		
	Discordance	20 (37%)	27 (50%)		
Same sex sibling (52 pairs)	Concordance	37 (71.2%)	27 (51.9%)	4.063	0.044
	<i>Both Positive</i>	10 (19.2)	4 (7.7)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	27 (51.9)	23 (44.2)		
	Discordance	15 (28.8%)	25 (48.1%)		
Different sex sibling (45 pairs)	Concordance	34 (75.6%)	23 (51.1%)	5.789	0.016
	<i>Both Positive</i>	6 (13.3)	2 (4.4)		
	<i>Both Negative</i>	28 (62.2)	21 (46.7)		
	Discordance	11 (24.4%)	22 (48.9%)		

ly to be skin test positive to the same allergen than unrelated persons with allergic rhinitis, except to oak.¹⁹ Second, Silvestri declared that parents and children (85 families) showed a similar prevalence; and coincidence of sensitization to house dust mites was more frequent than pollens.²⁰ Our study was

confirmed among the first degree relatives since the SPT outcomes among children were independent of the SPT responses of their parents. When a child of a father or mother who has a pollen or mite allergy, has an atopy, at the same time, we can never say that that child should be sensitive to the same antigens.

Conversely to Kelsos' study, we found house dust mite and mould antigen similarities between the siblings ($p < 0.05$). *Der. pteronyssinus* and *der. farinae*, *aspergillus mix* and *rhizopus nigricans* showed statistical differences between the siblings ($p < 0.05$), but, *aspergillus mix* and *rhizopus nigricans* differences are only due to negativity of allergens. Therefore, we could not make any comment about allergenic similarities with these mould antigens.

Many related or unrelated allergens share common epitopes, resulting in cross-reactivities, or co-sensitization. Grass and cereal pollens, of which six were used (*avena sativa*, *festuca elatior*, *phleum pratense*, *dactylis glomerata*, *poa pratensis*, *lolium perenne*) in our study showed cross-reactivity, while *cynodon dactylon* has not submitted any relation between these grass pollens because of an antigenically distinct structure.^{21,22} The rate of these six grass pollen similarities was also found to be nearly equal in our study. In fact, it is recommended that only one species is usually sufficient for diagnosis of grass pollen allergy.²² Grass and cereal pollens are the leading aeroallergens in Turkey,² and these sensitivities were found to be high, nearly 70 % of the patients in our study.

A large proportion of atopy develops in childhood, and early life exposures are suspected to play a considerable role in the inception. Lierl point out that, while the onset of nasal allergy appears to be highest before 5 years of age, upper respiratory allergy develops in the primary-school age group.³ The SPACE study demonstrated that early intervention may modulate the natural course of atopic disease.²³ As a result, development of sensitization in the early years of life is a general impression, although atopy rarely develops in elderly age.

Many studies, mentioned above, show controversial results, either the hygiene hypothesis or allergen exposure is responsible from development of sensitization. Living in the same place, especially in the early years of life, can result in allergic sensitization among the siblings. It can be said that if one sibling is atopic to mites, then they have to take the same precautions to the other siblings before development of allergenic sensitization and symptoms.

In conclusion, in contrast to Kelso's previously published evidence, it can be stated that, when a respiratory allergy occurs in siblings, only the ones who have house dust mite allergy sensitivity can possess the similar antigen sensitivity. At the same time, we could not affirm that if one or both of parents are atopic to any allergens, then their child will be atopic to the same allergens (when atopy develops).

As the study includes fewer numbers of pairs, more detailed and advanced studies will continue.

REFERENCES

- Adelman DC, Saxon A. Immediate hypersensitivity: Approach to diagnosis. In: Lawlor GJ, Fischer TJ, Adelman DC. Manual of Allergy and Immunology, Third ed., Little, Brown and Co., Boston, 1995: 8-39.
- Erel F, Karaayvaz M, Çalis,kaner Z, Ozangüç N. The allergy spectrum in Turkey and the relationships between allergens and age, sex, birth month, birthplace, blood groups and family history of atopy. *J Invest Allergol Clin Immunol* 1998; 8: 226-33.
- Lierl MB. Allergy of the upper respiratory tract. In: Lawlor GJ, Fischer TJ, Adelman DC. Manual of Allergy and Immunology, Third ed., Little, Brown and Co., Boston, 1995: 94-7.
- De Swert LFA. Risk factors for allergy. *Eur J Pediatr* 1999; 158: 89-94.
- Marsh DG, Hsu SH, Roebber M, et al. A genetic marker for human immune response to short ragweed pollen allergen Ra5. *J Exp Med* 1982; 155: 1439-51.
- Freidhoff LR, Ehrlich-Kayzky E, Meyers DA, et al. Association of HLA DR3 with human immune response to Lol p I and Lol p II allergens in allergic subjects. *Tissue antigens* 1988; 31: 211-9.
- Lin YC, Lu CC, Su HJ, et al. The association between tumor necrosis factor, HLA-DR alleles, and IgE-mediated asthma in Taiwanese adolescents. *Allergy* 2002; 57: 831-4.
- Lara-Marquez ML, Yunis JJ, Layrisse Z, et al. Immunogenetics of atopic asthma: association of DRB1*1101 DQA1*0501 DQB1*0301 haplotype with *Dermatophagoides* spp.-sensitive asthma in a sample of the Venezuelan population. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1999; 29: 60-71.
- Stephan V, Schmid V, Frischer T, Sparholt S, Forster J, Wahn V, et al. Mite allergy, clinical atopy, and restriction by HLA Class II immune response genes. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 1996; 7: 28-34.
- Holloway JW, Doull I, Begishvili B, Beasley R, Holgate ST, Howell WM. Lack of evidence of a significant association between HLA-DR, DQ and DP genotypes and atopy in families with house dust mite allergy. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1996; 26: 1142-9.
- ETAC (Early treatment of the atopic child) Childhood asthma: Does it still need to happen? ETAC Science 2, UCB 1994-2-ETAC symposium, Brussels.
- Björkstén B, Kjelman B, Zeiger RS. Development and prevention of allergic disease in childhood. In: Middleton E, Reed CE, Ellis EF, et al. *Allergy Principles and Practice*, Fifth ed., Mosby, St Louis, 1998, 816-37.
- Murray CS, Woodcock A, Smillie FI Tobacco smoke exposure, wheeze, and atopy. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2004; 37: 492-8.
- Huss K, Adkinson NF, Eggleston PA, et al. House dust mite and cockroach exposure are strong risk factors for positive allergy skin test responses in the Childhood Asthma Management Program. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 107: 48-54.
- Gereda JE, Leung DY, Thatayatikom A, et al. Relation between house-dust endotoxin exposure, type 1 T-cell development, and allergen sensitisation in infants at high risk of asthma. *Lancet* 2000; 355: 1680-3.
- Duse M, Donato F, Porteri V, et al. High prevalence of atopy, but not of asthma, among children in an industrialized area in North Italy: the role of familial and environmental factors – a population-based study. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2007; 18: 201-8.
- Remes ST, Koskela HO, Livanainen K, Pekkanen J. Allergen-specific sensitization in asthma and allergic diseases in children: the study on farmers' and non-farmers' children. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2005; 35: 160-6.
- Stelmach I, Smejda K, Jerzynska J, et al. Decreased markers of atopy in children with presumed early exposure to aller-

- gens, unhygienic conditions, and infections. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2007; 99: 170-7.
19. Kelso JM. Skin test results in related and unrelated persons with allergic rhinitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1996; 77: 43-6.
 20. Silvestri M, Oddera S, Crimi P, Rossi GA. Frequency and specific sensitization to inhalant allergens within nuclear families of children with asthma and/or rhinitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 1997; 79: 512-6.
 21. Bousquet J, Demoly P. Specific immunotherapy for allergic rhinitis in children. *ACI International*, 8/5 + 6, 145-50, 1996.
 22. Neville W, Bush RK, Ausdenmoore R, Lierl M: Aeroallergens and environmental factors. In: Adelman DC, Casale TB, Corren J. *Manual of Allergy and Immunology*, Fourth ed., Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Wolters Kluwer Co., Philadelphia, 2002: 44-55.
 23. Halmerbauer G, Gartner C, Schierl M, et al. Study on the Prevention of Allergy in Children in Europe (SPACE): allergic sensitization at 1 year of age in a controlled trial of allergen avoidance from birth. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2003; 14: 10-7.