

RESEARCH LETTERS

Desensitization to methylphenidate – The relevance of continued drug intake for a successful outcome

J. Rodrigues, C. Botelho, S. Cadinha and M.G. Castel-Branco

Allergy and Clinical Immunology Division. Hospital São João E.P.E. Porto. Portugal.

ABSTRACT

Methylphenidate is the treatment of choice in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

The authors report the case of a 7 year old boy with ADHD and psoriasis who developed generalised erythema, pruritus and fever 5 hours after the first oral administration of methylphenidate. After 2 days of treatment the drug was discontinued with complete resolution of symptoms. Later on, the drug was re-introduced with recurrence of the same clinical symptoms.

Patch tests were performed with negative results.

Desensitization was proposed and performed because there is no alternative treatment for ADHD.

After the therapeutic dose was achieved, the mother interrupted drug intake because of a misunderstanding of instructions, and a mild rash subsided when another pill was administered. After this event the same desensitization procedure was carefully repeated.

Interruption of drug intake during desensitization and consequent recurrence of clinical symptoms highlights the importance of continued exposure to the culprit drug in this kind of procedure.

This modified protocol may enable patients with cutaneous reactions to this drug, to maintain therapy without recurrent reactions.

Key words: Methylphenidate. Desensitization.

INTRODUCTION

Methylphenidate is an amphetamine-like stimulant commonly used to treat ADHD in children and adults. It is claimed to have a “calming” effect on humans who have ADHD, reducing impulsive behavior and facilitating concentration on work and other tasks.

The mechanism of action of methylphenidate is not well understood. It is believed to activate the brain stem arousal system and cortex.

Methylphenidate acts as a dopamine and norepinephrine re-uptake inhibitor, resulting in a prolongation of dopamine receptor effects¹⁻³. It is well absorbed after oral intake and is extensively metabolized, primarily by deesterification to phenyl-piperidine acetic acid, which has no clinically significant pharmacologic activity⁴.

The most common adverse effects reported include headache (12-14 %), loss of appetite (4-9 %), insomnia (4-5 %), abdominal pain (4-7 %) and dizziness (2 %) or somnolence (1 %)⁴⁻⁷. For most patients these symptoms can be controlled by reducing or suspending this drug.

Cutaneous adverse reactions are rare and include skin rash, urticaria, fixed drug eruption, exfoliative dermatitis and erythema multiforme⁸.

Correspondence:

Carmen Maria da Costa Botelho
Serviço de Imunoalergologia
Hospital de São João
Alameda Professor Hernâni Monteiro
4200 Porto, Portugal
E-mail: carbotelho@gmail.com

CASE REPORT

The authors report the case of a 7 year-old boy with psoriasis and ADHA who developed generalised erythema, pruritus and fever 5 hours after the first administration of 20 mg of methylphenidate.

After 2 days of treatment the drug was discontinued with complete resolution of symptoms, without any anti-allergic treatment. Four weeks later the drug was re-introduced with recurrence of the same clinical symptoms. The child was referred to our outpatient clinic for investigation (Fig. 1A and 1B).

Since this was a non-immediate hypersensitivity reaction, patch tests were performed with only one concentration of the drug. Methylphenidate was dissolved in sodium chloride 0.9 % and a 20 mg/ml solution test was applied on the patient's upper back. Readings at 48 hours were negative.

Desensitization was proposed and performed because there is no alternative treatment for ADHD.

A modified desensitization protocol was prepared based on one found in the literature⁵.

Methylphenidate was administered in increasing doses, 30 minutes apart, over a 6 day period, beginning with a 2 µg dose of a 0.002 mg/ml solution, until the therapeutic dose of 20 mg/day was reached (Table I). Dilutions of methylphenidate solutions were prepared daily by our hospital's pharmacy, as there is no information on the literature about its stability.

After the therapeutic dose was achieved, the mother interrupted drug intake for 2 days because of misunderstanding the instructions and a mild rash subsided when another pill was administered.




Figure 1.—Persistence of malar (A) and palmar (B) erythema after treatment with antihistamines.

After this event the same desensitization procedure was carefully repeated, until the therapeutic dosage was achieved. The child maintains daily intake of 20 mg of methylphenidate and has regular monthly follow-up visits, without any report of adverse reactions. After a six month follow up visit there is a noticeable modification in the child's behaviour and an important improvement in psoriasis.

DISCUSSION

By definition, desensitization for drug allergy is the induction of temporary clinical unresponsiveness to drug antigens. This is achieved by gradual reintroduction of small doses of drug antigens at fixed time intervals, allowing the delivery of full therapeutic doses and protecting patients against previous severe drug reactions.

The desensitized state can only be maintained by continuous administration of the drug⁹.

Desensitization should always be considered when no alternative drugs are available or when the clinical benefit is higher with the culprit drug than with any other alternative drug.

Table I
Desensitization protocol

Days	Dose	Cumulative dose
Day 1	2 µg 4 µg 8 µg 10 µg	24 µg
Day 2	10 µg 20 µg 40 µg 80 µg	150 µg
Day 3	80 µg 100 µg 200 µg 400 µg	780 µg
Day 4	400 µg 800 µg 1 mg 2 mg	4.2 mg
Day 5	2 mg 4 mg 8 mg	14 mg
Day 6	10 mg 10 mg	20 mg

In animal models it has been recently proven that this procedure has a temporary effect¹⁰; is time and dose dependent; and that the drug should be given at fixed intervals¹¹.

Although a classical allergic mechanism could not be proven, since the reaction occurred hours after the first intake and patch tests were negative, we can always speculate on the involved mechanism and failure of diagnostic tools.

As no alternative treatment for ADHD was available, a modified desensitization protocol was proposed and performed⁸ and methylphenidate was given with repetitive increasing doses, 30 minutes apart, over a 6-day period, until the therapeutic dose of 20 mg/day was reached. The same protocol had to be repeated because recurrence of symptoms after daily drug intake was interrupted for two days.

Interruption of drug intake and consequent recurrence of clinical symptoms, after the therapeutic dose was achieved, stresses the importance of continued exposure to the culprit drug when a desensitization procedure is successfully achieved and seems to confirm the observations of Morales et al¹¹. This is by far the most important aspect of this case.

The child had regular monthly follow-up visits, without any report of adverse reactions. After the six month follow up visit, there was a significant improvement in the child's behaviour and psoriasis.

To our knowledge, this is the second successful desensitization protocol to methylphenidate described in the literature and is the least time consuming.

This modified, less time consuming protocol may enable patients with non-severe cutaneous reactions to this drug, to maintain therapy without recurrent reactions.

REFERENCES

1. Challman TD, Lipsky JJ. Methylphenidate: its pharmacology and use. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2000;75:711-21.
2. Wender EH. Managing stimulant medication for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Ped Review.* 2001;22:183-9.
3. Markowitz JS, Patrick KS. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug interactions in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2001;40:753-72.
4. Rappley MD. Safety issues in the use of methylphenidate: an American perspective. *Drug Safety.* 1997;17:143-8.
5. Ahmann PA, Waltonen SJ, Olson KA, et al. Placebo controlled evaluation of Ritalin side effects. *Pediatrics.* 1993;91:1101-6.
6. Efron D, Jarman F, Barker M. Side effects of methylphenidate and dexamphetamine in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a double-blind crossover trial. *Pediatrics.* 1997;100:662-6.
7. Findling RL, Short EJ, Manos MJ. Short-term cardiovascular effects of methylphenidate and Adderall. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2001;40:525-9.
8. Confino-Cohen R, Goldberg A. Successful desensitization of methylphenidate-induced rash. *J Child and Adol Psychopharmacol.* 2005;15:703-5.
9. Castells M. Desensitization for drug allergy. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2006;6:476-81.
10. Woo HY, Kim YS, Kang NI et al. Mechanism for acute oral desensitization to antibiotics. *Allergy.* 2006;61(8):954-8.
11. Morales AR, Shah N, Castells M. Antigen-IgE desensitization in signal transducer and activator of transcription 6-deficient mast cells by suboptimal doses of antigen. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2005;94:575-80.