



Allergología et immunopathologia

Sociedad Española de Inmunología Clínica,
Alergología y Asma Pediátrica

www.elsevier.es/ai



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

An international comparison of risk factors between two regions with distinct differences in asthma prevalence



K. Madani^a, E. Vlaski^b, D.C. Rennie^{c,d}, M. Sears^e, J.A. Lawson^{d,f,*}

^a Department of Surgery, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

^b Department of Pulmonology and Allergology, University Children's Clinic, Skopje, Macedonia

^c College of Nursing, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada

^d Canadian Center for Health and Safety in Agriculture, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada

^e Division of Respirology, Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

^f Department of Medicine, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada

Received 5 July 2017; accepted 3 January 2018

Available online 24 March 2018

KEYWORDS

Asthma;
Wheeze;
Adolescents;
Geographic variation;
International;
Under-diagnosis;
Epidemiology;
Paracetamol;
Activity

Abstract

Background and purpose: Investigation of the geographic variation in asthma prevalence can improve our understanding of asthma etiology and management. The purpose of our investigation was to compare the prevalence of asthma and wheeze among adolescents living in two distinct international regions and to investigate reasons for observed differences.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey of 13–14 year olds was completed in Saskatoon, Canada ($n=1200$) and Skopje, Republic of Macedonia ($n=3026$), as part of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Phase 3 study. Surveys were self-completed by students following the ISAAC protocol. Multiple logistic regression models were used to investigate associations with reports of asthma and current wheeze. A mediation analysis was then completed.

Results: Asthma prevalence was much higher in Saskatoon than Skopje (21.3% vs. 1.7%) as was the prevalence of current wheeze (28.2% vs. 8.8%). Higher paracetamol (acetaminophen) use was a consistent risk factor for asthma and wheeze in both locations and showed dose-response relationships. In both countries, paracetamol use and physical activity mediated some of the association for both asthma and wheeze. In Saskatoon, among those with current wheeze, 42.6% reported ever having a diagnosis of asthma compared to 10.2% among Skopje adolescents.

Conclusions: The results suggest that the variation in risk factors between the two locations may explain some of the differences in the prevalence of asthma and wheeze between these two study sites. However, diagnostic labeling patterns should not be ruled out as another potential explanatory factor.

© 2018 SEICAP. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: josh.lawson@usask.ca (J.A. Lawson).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2018.01.002>

0301-0546/© 2018 SEICAP. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Introduction

International variation in childhood asthma prevalence has been shown with much of the work coming from the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC).¹⁻³ Westernized regions such as North America, Australia, and Western Europe have reported relatively high rates of asthma prevalence while Eastern and Northern Europe have shown much lower prevalence.² Variation in asthma prevalence has also been shown more regionally in such areas as Central and Eastern Europe.^{4,5}

Potential explanations for the international variation in childhood asthma prevalence include differences in environment, personal characteristics, and health behaviors. Geographic differences in these factors and their relationship with respiratory health outcomes have been shown internationally^{4,6} and locally.⁷ While differences in diagnostic labeling between regions have been suggested,^{4,5} with evidence of asthma under-diagnosis,⁸ this explanation is not well investigated.

Improved understanding of the geographic variation in asthma prevalence can assist in our knowledge of asthma etiology, while identifying differences in diagnostic labeling will allow these to be accounted for as we study other explanations of asthma etiology (e.g. environmental or health behavior). Because there have been increases in asthma prevalence within regions of previously low asthma prevalence,⁹ it is especially important to investigate the explanations for observed geographic differences to better predict and plan for potential increases that may occur with global westernization.

Our objectives were to: (1) determine if there is a difference in the prevalence of asthma or wheeze between two geographically distinct regions with potentially different environmental, health behavior, and health care service/diagnostic labeling patterns among 13–14 year olds; and (2) identify risk factors for asthma and wheeze and identify potential explanatory factors for observed differences in prevalence. We completed this using data from two participating regions of ISAAC Phase 3, one with low asthma prevalence (Skopje, Republic of Macedonia) and the other with high prevalence (Saskatoon, Canada), extending an established collaboration.¹⁰

Materials and methods

Study design and location

The ISAAC methodology is well described.^{1,11} In brief, ISAAC Phase 3 was a worldwide cross-sectional study using standardized methods.¹¹ For the current analysis, we compared data from Saskatoon, Canada (completed in 2003) and Skopje, Republic of Macedonia (completed in 2002). Saskatoon (population approximately 220,000¹²) is in western Canada. These two locations were chosen based on a previous collaboration and common research interests from investigators in the two regions. The differences in asthma prevalence between the regions would allow for strong scientific comparisons of interest to the researchers. Skopje (population approximately 500,000 people¹³) is on the Balkan Peninsula in South Eastern Europe. Based on

previous research, we suspected that these cities would vary greatly in asthma and wheeze prevalence, where Saskatoon and Skopje would be regions of high and low prevalence, respectively,^{10,14} making their selection ideal for this analysis. Also, both cities are large, in land-locked locations, and have agricultural areas surrounding them.

This study was approved by the Biomedical Research Ethics Board at the University of Saskatoon and the Ethics Committee at the Medical Faculty and The Ministry of Education and Science (Skopje). Participating schools approved of this study. In Saskatoon, active consent was required while in Skopje, passive consent was employed.

Study population and data collection protocol

Selection of participants and data collection were performed in accordance with the ISAAC methodology.¹¹ Due to differences in school enrollment, most high schools in Saskatoon (62 took part) and a random sample of primary schools in Skopje (17 took part) were recruited. Within each sampled school, all 13–14 year old children attending were eligible to participate. In Saskatoon, there were 1200 participants (53.7% response rate) compared to 3026 participants in Skopje (90.9% response rate).

Data were collected through a self-completed written questionnaire completed in class. The standardized ISAAC Phase 3 written questionnaires included information on asthma, rhinitis, eczema, health behaviors, and environmental risk factors. Questionnaires in Skopje were translated then back-translated prior to use.

The main outcome measures were asthma and wheeze. The lifetime diagnosis of asthma (asthma 'ever') was based on the question: "Have you ever had asthma?" Current wheeze was based on a positive response to "Have you ever had wheezing or whistling in the chest in the past 12 months?"

Additional covariates considered included socio-demographic information, home environmental exposures, weight and height, activity levels, and diet. Parental smoking was based on a positive history of currently smoking cigarettes by each parent independently. Self-reported weight and height or, where they were unknown by the respondents, their objectively measured values were used for the calculation of the BMI of each respondent as weight (kg)/height (m²). The international cut-points for BMI for overweight and obesity by sex between 2 and 18 years, 25 kg/m² for overweight and 30 kg/m² for obesity at age 18, were used.¹⁵ Physical activity was based on the question "How many times a week do you engage in vigorous physical activity long enough to make you breathe hard?" and was recorded as never/occasionally, 1–2 times per week, and 3 or more times per week. Television watching was based on the question "During a normal week, how many hours a day (24 h) do you watch television?" and was recorded as <1 hour, 1 to <3 h, 3 to <5 h, and 5 h or more. Diet was assessed by the question "In the past 12 months, how often, on average, did you eat or drink the following?" with response options of never/occasionally, 1 to 2 times per week, and 3 or more times per week.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was completed using SPSS 24. Initially, descriptive analysis was completed. Statistical comparisons between Saskatoon and Skopje were made using the independent samples *t*-test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical samples. In accordance with ISAAC recommendations,¹⁶ missing or "any other" responses were part of the denominator for calculation of prevalence figures for the main outcome measures.

We fitted a multiple logistic regression model for each outcome (ever asthma and current wheeze). Our primary independent variable of interest was location of dwelling (Saskatoon vs. Skopje). Additional variables were added to the model following purposeful selection as suggested by Hosmer and Lemeshow¹⁷ and included variables based on statistical significance, biological importance, and the effect that the removal of that variable had on the remaining variables in the model. Strength of association was based on the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI). Effect modification between the variable indicating location and the other variables in the model was tested using the $-2 \log_{10}$ likelihood test. Following this, multiple logistic regression was performed after stratification by location.

In order to identify risk factors that may explain differences in asthma prevalence between locations, a mediation analysis was performed. A base adjusted model was fitted with a minimum set of predictors. Additional, potentially mediating variables were then added to the base adjusted model one at a time so that there would be the base model plus one additional potentially mediating variable to evaluate the impact of that specific variable on the base model. This process was repeated for each potential mediator. Following this process, a fully adjusted model was fitted which included the base model along with all of the potential mediators. The proportion of the association between geographic location and the outcome that was explained by these factors was assessed by: $[(OR_{full\ model\ without\ mediator} - OR_{full\ model}) / (OR_{full\ model} - 1)] \times 100$.¹⁸ For mediation to occur, a statistically significant association between the primary exposure (location) and outcome (asthma or wheeze) must be smaller and no longer statistically significant when the mediator is included.¹⁹ We considered a 5% change in the association to be meaningful.

Results

Ever asthma prevalence was higher in Saskatoon than Skopje (21.3% vs. 1.7%, respectively; Table 1) as was current wheeze (Saskatoon: 28.2%, Skopje: 8.8%; Table 1). The Saskatoon children were less likely to be male or watch higher amounts of television but were more likely to be obese, have higher levels of physical activity, use paracetamol more often, and report a higher level of maternal education compared to those from Skopje (Table 1). Saskatoon participants were also less likely to be exposed to parental smoking, frequent truck passing on roads, and to use electricity or wood for cooking or heating but were more likely to have cats or dogs in the home (Table 2) compared to Skopje residents. Most dietary factors differed between Saskatoon and Skopje (Table 3).

After adjustment for confounding, there was an increased risk of asthma (OR = 10.28, 95%CI = 5.95–17.77) and current wheeze (OR = 2.09, 95%CI = 1.48–2.94) living in Saskatoon compared to Skopje (Table 4). With regard to asthma, there was an increased risk associated with paracetamol use in a dose-response pattern and inverse associations with cat in the home and consuming eggs or nuts once or twice per week (Table 4). There was effect modification between city and cat ($p = 0.01$) and city and pasta ($p = 0.10$). After stratification by city, the association between presence of a cat in the home and asthma as well as nut consumption and asthma was observed in Saskatoon while the association between increased egg consumption and asthma was observed in Skopje (Table 4).

For current wheeze, there was a similar dose response association with increased risk associated with more frequent paracetamol use as well as an increased risk associated with more frequent physical activity, dog in the home, high pasta consumption, and high pulses consumption but an inverse association associated with consumption of rice once or twice per week and high milk consumption (Table 4). Effect modification was seen between city and cat ($p = 0.02$), dog ($p = 0.03$), activity level ($p = 0.05$), fruit consumption ($p = 0.06$), and nuts consumption ($p = 0.08$). Following stratification by city, statistically significant associations in a dose-response fashion were observed for paracetamol use in both cities. There was also an increased risk of current wheeze in Skopje with being overweight, having a dog in the home, higher physical activity levels, pasta consumption, or pulse consumption and a reduced risk associated with rice consumption once or twice weekly in Skopje (Table 4).

After investigating potential mediating variables (Table 5), the association between city and asthma remained statistically significant and strong. Paracetamol use (32.9%), activity level (13.8%), and pulses consumption (7.1%) explained some of the association. The association between city and current wheeze continued to be statistically significant and strong, although some of the association was explained by paracetamol use (41.4%), activity level (33.6%), dog in the home (10.3%), and pasta consumption (5.3%).

We also considered diagnostic labeling indicators. In Saskatoon, among those with current wheeze, 42.6% reported ever asthma while in Skopje, only 10.2% of those with current wheeze reported ever asthma. In addition, wheeze with exercise was much higher in Saskatoon than Skopje (39.0% vs. 14.2%, $p < 0.001$); when we excluded children who wheezed only with exercise from the current wheeze group, the difference in the prevalence of current wheeze between regions was no longer statistically significant (5.5% vs. 4.8%, $p = 0.32$).

Discussion

We confirmed that the prevalence of asthma and wheeze were both considerably higher in Saskatoon compared to Skopje. In both communities, there was a dose response relationship between asthma or current wheeze and paracetamol use. Despite some variables explaining some variation in asthma and wheeze prevalence, the associations

Table 1 Distribution of personal characteristics by location.

	Saskatoon (n = 1200) ^a n (%)	Skopje (n = 3026) ^a n (%)	p-Value
Sex			
Female	633 (52.8)	1458 (48.2)	0.007
Male	567 (47.2)	1568 (51.8)	
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.00)	
BMI status			
Not overweight or obese	801 (84.5)	2485 (84.9)	0.027
Overweight	119 (12.6)	396 (13.5)	
Obese	28 (3.0)	47 (1.6)	
Missing	252 (21.0)	98 (3.2)	
Physical activity			
Never/occasionally	93 (7.8)	2283 (76.7)	<0.001
Once or twice per week	347 (29.1)	457 (15.3)	
Three or more per week	751 (63.1)	238 (8.0)	
Missing	9 (0.8)	48 (1.6)	
Television watching			
Less than 1 h	109 (9.1)	92 (3.0)	<0.001
1 h but <3 h	585 (49.9)	1019 (33.7)	
3 h but <5 h	354 (29.6)	1227 (40.6)	
5 h or more	147 (12.3)	687 (22.7)	
Missing	5 (0.4)	1 (0.0)	
Paracetamol use in the past year			
Never	97 (8.2)	1430 (49.0)	<0.001
At least once in the past year	528 (44.6)	1289 (44.2)	
At least once per month	560 (47.3)	197 (6.8)	
Missing	15 (1.3)	110 (3.6)	
Mother's education level			
Primary	51 (4.5)	62 (2.1)	<0.001
Secondary	346 (30.6)	1409 (46.7)	
College or university	735 (64.9)	1546 (51.2)	
Missing	68 (5.7)	9 (0.3)	
Report of diagnosed asthma			
Absent	945 (78.8)	2974 (98.3)	<0.001
Present	255 (21.3)	52 (1.7)	
Report of current wheeze			
Absent	862 (71.8)	2760 (91.2)	<0.001
Present	338 (28.2)	266 (8.8)	

^a Proportions of non-missing categories are based on valid sample sizes. Because of this, column totals which include missing, will not add to 100% but the column totals of the categories will add to 100%.

between center and each outcome (asthma and wheeze) remained strong and statistically significant.

Among 13–14 year olds, the observed prevalence of current wheeze in Saskatoon was similar to that observed in the United Kingdom (24.7%).¹ Within Canada, when comparing the five Canadian cities participating in ISAAC, there was regional variation in wheeze prevalence (Vancouver: 13.7%, Hamilton: 23.7%, Winnipeg: 25.6%, Halifax: 33.0%) with Saskatoon being in the upper end of this range.¹⁴ The observed prevalence of current wheeze in Skopje was 8.8% which was similar to ISAAC Phase 3 results in Eastern and Northern Europe (overall = 11.6% although eight out of 11

countries reported a prevalence of 11.2% or less).¹ Current wheeze prevalence in Skopje was also similar to more recent studies in the region including Serbia and Montenegro (5.8–12.4%),²⁰ Croatia (6.0–10.5%),²¹ and a second study from Croatia (2001–02: 8.4%; 2009–10: 14%).²²

Generally, the associations observed in this study were consistent between locations. A notable positive association was found between paracetamol and both asthma and wheeze. This association showed a consistent dose-response relationship with each outcome. Positive associations between prenatal and postnatal paracetamol exposure and development and/or maintenance of asthma is well

Table 2 Distribution of environmental characteristics by location.

	Saskatoon (n = 1200) ^a n (%)	Skopje (n = 3026) ^a n (%)	p-Value
<i>Current maternal smoking</i>			
Not smoking	916 (77.1)	1534 (50.8)	<0.001
Smoking	272 (22.9)	1486 (49.2)	
Missing	12 (1.0)	6 (0.2)	
<i>Current father smoking</i>			
Not smoking	875 (74.0)	1444 (48)	<0.001
Smoking	308 (26.0)	1563 (52)	
Missing	17 (1.4)	19 (0.06)	
<i>Frequency of truck passing</i>			
Never/seldom	1092 (92.2)	1954 (64.6)	<0.001
Frequently/almost whole day	93 (7.8)	1071 (35.4)	
Missing	15 (1.3)	1 (0.0)	
<i>Cat in home in past 12 months</i>			
No	763 (64.1)	2294 (75.9)	<0.001
Yes	427 (35.9)	728 (24.1)	
Missing	10 (0.8)	4 (0.1)	
<i>Dog in home in past 12 months</i>			
No	465 (39.0)	2181 (72.3)	<0.001
Yes	727 (61.0)	837 (27.7)	
Missing	8 (0.7)	8 (0.3)	
<i>Electricity for cooking^b</i>			
No	178 (14.8)	304 (10.0)	<0.001
Yes	1022 (85.2)	2722 (90.0)	
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.00)	
<i>Gas for cooking^b</i>			
No	1037 (86.4)	2664 (88.0)	0.15
Yes	163 (13.6)	362 (12.0)	
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.00)	
<i>Fire for cooking^b</i>			
No	1195 (99.6)	2813 (93.0)	<0.001
Yes	5 (0.4)	213 (7.0)	
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.00)	
<i>Electricity for home heating^b</i>			
No	759 (63.3)	1803 (59.6)	0.028
Yes	441 (36.8)	1223 (40.4)	
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.00)	
<i>Wood for home heating^b</i>			
No	1186 (98.8)	2480 (82.0)	<0.001
Yes	14 (1.2)	546 (18.0)	
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.00)	

^a Proportions of non-missing categories are based on valid sample sizes. Because of this, column totals which include missing, will not add to 100% but the column totals of the categories will add to 100%.

^b Because of the question formatting, all missing answers were recoded to no.

documented and has been reported in many previously published studies,^{23–25} including the ISAAC Phase Three study that analyzed data from 322,959 adolescents from 113 centers in 50 countries.²⁶ Plausible reasons for this observed association include increased respiratory oxidative stress and/or defective antigen processing with enhanced Th2-cell polarization thereby depleting glutathione in the

lungs and/or antigen presenting cells. Other possibilities relate to decreased suppression of cyclooxygenase pathway or direct antigenicity of paracetamol with IgE-mediated immune response.^{25,27} More recently, it has been suggested that epigenetic effects and antioxidant gene polymorphisms in the mother or child may modify the association between paracetamol and asthma in children.^{28,29} We observed that

Table 3 Distribution of dietary characteristics by location.

		Saskatoon(n = 1200) ^a n (%)	Skopje(n = 3026) ^a n (%)	p-Value
Meat	Never/occasionally	63 (5.3)	512 (17.0)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	389 (32.6)	1971 (65.4)	
	Three or more times/week	740 (62.1)	529 (17.6)	
	Missing	8 (0.7)	14 (0.5)	
Seafood	Never/occasionally	935 (78.9)	1622 (54.5)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	221 (18.6)	1256 (42.2)	
	Three or more times/week	29 (2.4)	100 (3.4)	
	Missing	15 (1.3)	48 (1.6)	
Fruit	Never/occasionally	30 (2.5)	79 (2.6)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	270 (22.7)	475 (15.8)	
	Three or more times/week	892 (74.8)	2447 (81.5)	
	Missing	8 (0.7)	25 (0.8)	
Vegetables	Never/occasionally	83 (6.9)	331 (11.1)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	336 (28.1)	994 (33.3)	
	Three or more times/week	776 (64.9)	1659 (55.6)	
	Missing	5 (0.4)	36 (1.2)	
Pulses	Never/occasionally	406 (34.4)	669 (22.4)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	514 (43.6)	1902 (63.6)	
	Three or more times/week	259 (22.0)	419 (14.0)	
	Missing	21 (1.8)	36 (1.2)	
Cereal	Never/occasionally	67 (5.6)	99 (3.3)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	271 (22.7)	270 (9.0)	
	Three or more times/week	856 (71.7)	2631 (87.7)	
	Missing	6 (0.5)	26 (0.9)	
Pasta	Never/occasionally	203 (16.9)	652 (21.7)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	682 (56.9)	1853 (61.8)	
	Three or more times/week	313 (26.1)	495 (16.5)	
	Missing	2 (0.2)	26 (0.9)	
Rice	Never/occasionally	314 (26.3)	929 (31.0)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	634 (53.0)	1883 (62.9)	
	Three or more times/week	248 (20.7)	184 (6.1)	
	Missing	4 (0.3)	30 (1.0)	
Margarine	Never/occasionally	350 (29.4)	1046 (35.2)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	436 (36.7)	1070 (36.0)	
	Three or more times/week	403 (33.9)	859 (28.9)	
	Missing	11 (0.9)	51 (1.7)	
Milk	Never/occasionally	92 (7.7)	333 (11.1)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	171 (14.3)	615 (20.5)	
	Three or more times/week	931 (78.0)	2046 (68.3)	
	Missing	6 (0.5)	32 (1.1)	
Fast food	Never/occasionally	686 (57.6)	1341 (44.6)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	412 (34.6)	1131 (37.6)	
	Three or more times/week	94 (7.9)	534 (17.8)	
	Missing	8 (0.7)	20 (0.7)	
Butter	Never/occasionally	553 (46.8)	1351 (45.3)	0.69
	Once or twice/week	369 (31.2)	951 (31.9)	
	Three or more times/week	260 (22.0)	678 (22.8)	
	Missing	18 (1.5)	46 (1.5)	
Nuts	Never/occasionally	687 (57.6)	1527 (51.4)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	411 (34.5)	1056 (35.5)	
	Three or more times/week	94 (7.9)	390 (13.1)	
	Missing	8 (0.7)	53 (1.8)	

Table 3 (Continued)

		Saskatoon(n = 1200) ^a n (%)	Skopje(n = 3026) ^a n (%)	p-Value
Potato	Never/occasionally	150 (12.6)	222 (7.4)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	623 (52.2)	1701 (56.8)	
	Three or more times/week	421 (35.3)	1074 (35.8)	
	Missing	6 (0.5)	29 (1.0)	
Eggs	Never/occasionally	391 (33.0)	690 (23.1)	<0.001
	Once or twice/week	574 (48.5)	1596 (53.4)	
	Three or more times/week	219 (18.5)	705 (23.6)	
	Missing	16 (1.3)	35 (1.2)	

^a Proportions of non-missing categories are based on valid sample sizes. Because of this, column totals which include missing, will not add to 100% but the column totals of the categories will add to 100%.

paracetamol use was much more frequent in Saskatoon compared to Skopje and that it mediated some of the difference in asthma and wheeze prevalence between the two locations. Unfortunately, we were not able to compare reasons for paracetamol use, which, speculatively, could be due to more frequent infection in Saskatoon adolescents and related to asthma. Alternately, health care access between the two locations could be different, which could also potentially explain the differences in paracetamol use.

In Skopje, the prevalence of regular vigorous physical activity was much lower than Saskatoon and was found to be a risk factor for current wheeze. The worldwide campaign about the health benefits of regular physical activity started later and has been accepted slowly in Skopje, compared to more Western countries. In non-diagnosed or under-treated children with asthma, vigorous physical activity is a well-known trigger for wheeze and asthma exacerbation. On the other hand, low physical activity levels might be one of the reasons for the under-diagnosis of asthma in Skopje as exercise-induced wheeze symptomology could lead to medical intervention and potential asthma diagnostics. For example, active children may push themselves to a point where wheeze may become evident, leading them to the physician, which could lead to investigation for asthma.

Cat in home was found to be a protective factor in Saskatoon while dog in home was found to be a risk factor in Skopje for current wheeze. The literature suggests the relation between exposure to cats and dogs and childhood asthma is complicated. Exposure to pets may increase the risk of asthma symptoms in sensitized children through allergen production or through non-allergic mechanisms such as inflammation caused by endotoxin. On the other hand, early-life pet allergen exposure may be protective for asthma through development of tolerance or through immune system modulation by bacterial endotoxin. There is evidence supporting endotoxin association with asthma severity and wheeze in schoolchildren, especially those with allergies.^{30,31} Exposure to pet allergens may still occur despite not having a pet present inside the home. While levels of cat and dog allergens are much higher in homes of children with these pets, it has been reported that there were still measurable levels of pet allergens in homes of children without a pet.³² Pet allergen levels in school classrooms were also higher when there were children in the class

with a pet at home and may represent an indirect route of exposure.³²

The association between diet and asthma is more controversial. Given the lack of dose-response effect and examples where the association with asthma or wheeze was not, dietary results should be interpreted with caution. In addition, we did not assess the Mediterranean diet³³ in this analysis and therefore cannot comment on relationships between the Mediterranean diet and asthma or wheeze. Related to dietary consumption and influences on eating habits in relation to asthma, we were not able to investigate the role of food allergy.

Previous work has suggested that the lower prevalence of asthma seen in Eastern and Central Europe may be due, in part, to under-diagnosis.^{4,5,8} Thus, some of the observed differences could reasonably be from diagnostic labeling. This explanation is supported by our results showing that the association between location and asthma is much stronger than the association between location and wheeze. The proportion of children who wheezed in the past 12 months who also had a diagnosis of asthma was substantially different between locations strongly suggesting regional labeling differences.

While several variables showed a large (>5%) mediation effect, most notably paracetamol use and physical activity, the associations between location and asthma and current wheeze remained strong and statistically significant suggesting that these variables did not explain the differences in asthma and wheeze prevalence between centers. Paracetamol was used much more frequently in Saskatoon than in Skopje. However, reasons for use may lead us to an interpretation of a form of reverse causality when considering asthma and wheeze outcomes, especially with a cross-sectional study. Treatment of infections, which may be the true underlying cause of wheeze or asthma, may involve paracetamol more often in Saskatoon than in Skopje leading to a spurious association. However, dose-response associations between paracetamol and both asthma and wheeze was consistent between locations.

The potential mediating effect of physical activity is supported by the reduced prevalence of current wheeze, resulting in a comparable prevalence of wheeze between Saskatoon and Skopje when exercise-induced wheeze was removed from the prevalence estimate. There has been evidence that increased activity increases the likelihood of

Table 4 Results from multiple logistic regression analyses with asthma or current wheeze as the outcome by location of residence.

	Current wheeze			Asthma		
	Overall Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Saskatoon Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Skopje Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Overall Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Saskatoon Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Skopje Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)
	<i>City</i>					
	Skopje	1.00		1.00		
Saskatoon	2.09 <i>(1.48–2.94)</i>			10.28 <i>(5.95–17.77)</i>		
<i>Sex</i>	Male	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Female	1.17 <i>(0.94–1.46)</i>	0.99 <i>(0.71–1.38)</i>	1.30 <i>(0.96–1.74)</i>	0.74 <i>(0.54–1.02)</i>	0.78 <i>(0.54–1.14)</i>
						0.59 <i>(0.31–1.14)</i>
<i>BMI status</i>	Not overweight or obese	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Overweight	1.29 <i>(0.96–1.73)</i>	1.09 <i>(0.67–1.77)</i>	1.50 <i>(1.03–2.20)</i>	1.31 <i>(0.86–1.98)</i>	1.13 <i>(0.68–1.88)</i>
	Obese	0.69 <i>(0.31–1.51)</i>	0.96 <i>(0.37–2.46)</i>	0.25 <i>(0.03–1.89)</i>	0.38 <i>(0.11–1.28)</i>	0.27 <i>(0.06–1.20)</i>
						1.24 <i>(0.16–9.93)</i>
<i>Paracetamol use in the past year</i>	Never	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	At least once in the past year	1.23 <i>(0.94–1.61)</i>	1.89 <i>(0.84–4.25)</i>	1.13 <i>(0.84–1.52)</i>	1.62 <i>(0.98–2.67)</i>	1.50 <i>(0.66–3.41)</i>
	At least once per month	2.37 <i>(1.71–3.29)</i>	3.68 <i>(1.65–8.18)</i>	2.37 <i>(1.49–3.77)</i>	2.58 <i>(1.50–4.43)</i>	2.35 <i>(1.04–5.30)</i>
						3.01 <i>(1.12–8.11)</i>
<i>Current maternal smoking</i>	Not smoking	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Smoking	1.05 <i>(0.84–1.31)</i>	1.08 <i>(0.75–1.57)</i>	0.99 <i>(0.75–1.32)</i>	1.23 <i>(0.89–1.72)</i>	1.10 <i>(0.73–1.65)</i>
						1.65 <i>(0.88–3.12)</i>
<i>Gas cooking</i>	No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Yes	1.17 <i>(0.87–1.57)</i>	1.03 <i>(0.66–1.60)</i>	1.25 <i>(0.84–1.87)</i>	0.75 <i>(0.47–1.19)</i>	0.81 <i>(0.48–1.35)</i>
						0.45 <i>(0.14–1.49)</i>
<i>Electric heating</i>	No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Yes	0.97 <i>(0.79–1.20)</i>	0.87 <i>(0.63–1.21)</i>	1.04 <i>(0.79–1.39)</i>	1.03 <i>(0.76–1.39)</i>	0.98 <i>(0.69–1.39)</i>
						0.97 <i>(0.52–1.79)</i>

Table 4 (Continued)

	Current wheeze			Asthma		
	Overall Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Saskatoon Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Skopje Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Overall Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Saskatoon Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)	Skopje Adjusted Odds ratio ^a (95%CI)
<i>Cat in home in past 12 months</i>						
No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	0.97 (0.77–1.21)	0.71 (0.51–0.99)	1.18 (0.86–1.62)	0.72 (0.53–0.99)	0.59 (0.41–0.85)	1.33 (0.67–2.63)
<i>Dog in home in past 12 months</i>						
No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.28 (1.03–1.59)	0.94 (0.68–1.30)	1.54 (1.14–2.08)	0.93 (0.68–1.27)	0.81 (0.57–1.16)	1.16 (0.60–2.27)
<i>Physical activity</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice per week	1.46 (1.08–1.96)	0.69 (0.36–1.33)	1.76 (1.25–2.49)	1.52 (0.91–2.52)	1.22 (0.58–2.58)	1.96 (0.95–4.03)
Three or more per week	1.59 (1.15–2.20)	0.83 (0.45–1.55)	1.65 (1.04–2.61)	1.25 (0.74–2.10)	1.03 (0.51–2.11)	1.27 (0.43–3.73)
<i>Fruit consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice/week	0.94 (0.46–1.91)	1.05 (0.35–3.11)	0.70 (0.24–1.99)	1.67 (0.69–4.05)	2.30 (0.79–6.72)	0.74 (0.09–5.94)
Three or more times/week	1.00 (0.77–1.30)	0.73 (0.49–1.09)	1.30 (0.91–1.87)	0.97 (0.67–1.41)	0.94 (0.61–1.43)	1.18 (0.54–2.59)
<i>Eggs consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice/week	0.92 (0.72–1.18)	0.93 (0.65–1.32)	0.90 (0.64–1.27)	0.68 (0.49–0.95)	0.76 (0.52–1.11)	0.45 (0.22–0.91)
Three or more times/week	1.00 (0.73–1.36)	1.17 (0.72–1.89)	0.85 (0.55–1.30)	0.75 (0.47–1.18)	0.79 (0.46–1.35)	0.62 (0.26–1.51)
<i>Fast food consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice/week	0.99 (0.78–1.25)	0.81 (0.57–1.15)	1.18 (0.86–1.63)	1.07 (0.77–1.48)	1.16 (0.80–1.69)	0.79 (0.39–1.64)
Three or more times/week	1.17 (0.86–1.60)	1.45 (0.82–2.58)	1.08 (0.72–1.60)	1.44 (0.88–2.34)	1.51 (0.80–2.86)	1.25 (0.56–2.80)
<i>Rice consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Table 4 (Continued)

	Current wheeze			Asthma		
	Overall	Saskatoon	Skopje	Overall	Saskatoon	Skopje
	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted
	Odds ratio ^a	Odds ratio ^a				
	(95%CI)	(95%CI)	(95%CI)	(95%CI)	(95%CI)	(95%CI)
Once or twice per week	0.76 (0.60–0.97)	0.97 (0.65–1.43)	0.67 (0.50–0.91)	0.93 (0.65–1.32)	1.02 (0.67–1.56)	0.78 (0.40–1.51)
Three or more per week	0.71 (0.49–1.03)	0.92 (0.56–1.49)	0.51 (0.25–1.02)	0.79 (0.49–1.29)	0.80 (0.47–1.37)	0.89 (0.23–3.47)
<i>Butter consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice per week	0.83 (0.65–1.06)	0.68 (0.47–1.00)	0.98 (0.71–1.36)	1.18 (0.84–1.66)	1.13 (0.75–1.69)	1.26 (0.65–2.46)
Three or more per week	0.91 (0.70–1.19)	1.11 (0.74–1.66)	0.78 (0.53–1.15)	0.93 (0.62–1.39)	1.08 (0.69–1.71)	0.50 (0.18–1.39)
<i>Milk consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice per week	0.96 (0.66–1.39)	0.72 (0.37–1.41)	1.09 (0.69–1.74)	0.81 (0.44–1.48)	0.78 (0.36–1.69)	0.92 (0.33–2.51)
Three or more per week	0.56 (0.40–0.77)	0.42 (0.25–0.71)	0.67 (0.44–1.03)	0.81 (0.50–1.31)	0.84 (0.47–1.52)	0.79 (0.32–1.94)
<i>Pasta consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice per week	1.02 (0.76–1.36)	0.97 (0.61–1.56)	1.01 (0.70–1.47)	0.90 (0.59–1.36)	1.02 (0.61–1.71)	0.73 (0.36–1.47)
Three or more per week	1.65 (1.18–2.30)	1.65 (0.98–2.77)	1.65 (1.05–2.59)	1.17 (0.73–1.89)	1.55 (0.87–2.75)	0.41 (0.14–1.22)
<i>Pulses consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice per week	0.99 (0.77–1.27)	0.90 (0.63–1.29)	1.15 (0.80–1.64)	0.86 (0.61–1.20)	0.91 (0.62–1.35)	0.88 (0.42–1.82)
Three or more per week	1.42 (1.04–1.94)	1.02 (0.65–1.61)	1.82 (1.15–2.89)	1.03 (0.66–1.60)	0.93 (0.56–1.52)	1.54 (0.62–4.00)
<i>Nuts consumption</i>						
Never/occasionally	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Once or twice per week	0.98 (0.78–1.23)	0.89 (0.63–1.26)	1.06 (0.78–1.45)	0.70 (0.50–0.98)	0.63 (0.43–0.94)	0.99 (0.49–2.01)
Three or more per week	1.04 (0.73–1.48)	1.36 (0.76–2.43)	0.84 (0.52–1.35)	1.13 (0.68–1.88)	1.04 (0.55–1.97)	1.37 (0.55–3.37)

^a Adjusted sex, BMI status, paracetamol use, current maternal smoking, gas cooking, electric heating, cat in the home, dog in the home, physical activity, fruit consumption, eggs consumption, fast food consumption, rice consumption, butter consumption, milk consumption, pasta consumption, pulses consumption, nuts consumption; Statistically significant results are in bold.

Table 5 Adjusted associations between location and asthma or current wheeze followed by associations after removal of each factor to explore potential mediation.

Model	Current wheeze			Asthma		
	Skopje (reference category)	Saskatoon Odds ratio (95% CI)	% Change from base adjusted model	Skopje (reference category)	Saskatoon Odds ratio (95% CI)	% Change from base adjusted model
<i>Base model (crude association)</i>	1.00	4.07 (3.41–4.86)		1.00	15.43 (11.35–20.98)	
<i>Base model (adjusted)^a</i>	1.00	4.21 (3.47–5.11)		1.00	17.44 (12.52–24.29)	
<i>Basic model (adjusted) with the following added one group at a time</i>						
BMI status	1.00	4.45 (3.62–5.48)	–7.48	1.00	18.54 (13.05–26.32)	–6.69
Paracetamol use	1.00	2.88 (2.29–3.62)	41.43	1.00	12.03 (8.30–17.43)	32.91
Cat in the home	1.00	4.20 (3.45–5.11)	0.31	1.00	18.11 (12.97–25.29)	–4.08
Dog in the home	1.00	3.88 (3.16–4.76)	10.28	1.00	18.20 (12.85–25.73)	–4.62
Physical activity	1.00	3.13 (2.41–4.07)	33.64	1.00	15.18 (9.83–23.46)	13.75
Eggs consumption	1.00	4.12 (3.39–5.01)	2.80	1.00	17.13 (12.25–23.95)	1.89
Rice consumption	1.00	4.25 (3.49–5.19)	–1.25	1.00	17.85 (12.76–24.97)	–2.49
Milk consumption	1.00	4.43 (3.64–5.40)	–6.85	1.00	18.18 (13.00–25.43)	–4.50
Pasta consumption	1.00	4.04 (3.32–4.92)	5.30	1.00	17.07 (12.24–23.80)	2.25
Pulses consumption	1.00	4.12 (3.38–5.03)	2.80	1.00	16.27 (11.64–23.75)	7.12
Nuts consumption	1.00	4.23 (3.48–5.14)	–0.62	1.00	17.45 (12.49–24.38)	–0.06
<i>Fully adjusted model^b</i>	1.00	2.09 (1.48–2.94)	66.04	1.00	10.28 (5.95–17.77)	43.55

^a Adjusted for country, sex, current maternal smoking, gas cooking, electric heating, fruit consumption, fast food consumption, and butter consumption.

^b Adjusted country, sex, BMI status, paracetamol use, current maternal smoking, gas cooking, electric heating, cat in the home, dog in the home, physical activity, fruit consumption, eggs consumption, fast food consumption, rice consumption, butter consumption, milk consumption, pasta consumption, pulses consumption, nuts consumption.

wheeze and asthma.^{34,35} The explanation for this is that children who are more active, exert themselves to a point where wheeze presents, which leads them to seek help from a physician where they then receive a diagnosis of asthma.

We must consider limitations in our study. This was a cross-sectional study and may be subject to the limitations inherent in the design such as lack of temporality, thereby reducing the ability to infer causal associations. Data collection was based on a survey. Despite this, when considering respiratory outcomes, symptoms have been found to be accurately reported by adolescents and strongly agree with parental reports in terms of asthma diagnoses.³⁶ Also, for large population-based epidemiological studies, a questionnaire report has been suggested to be the method of choice³⁷ and relatively accurate based on adolescent responses.³⁶ However, we must acknowledge that there may be recall issues in this group, especially for events that may have occurred when the adolescent was younger. Also, inherent in the design, is the issue around cultural and practical differences between the two settings. There may be patient presenting, diagnostic labeling, and management issues. This not only includes asthma but for use of paracetamol as well. The two populations under study may also be genetically dissimilar, which may account for the differences as well. Asthma is known to have a genetic component but we were not able to assess this in our study. As part of this, it would have been helpful to include information regarding a family history of asthma but, unfortunately, this was not

available in the combined dataset as the original ISAAC Phase III questionnaire did not include this question.

A potential concern is misclassification. One example may be the use of self-reported weight and height and their use in classification of overweight and obesity. A strong correlation between BMI calculated from self-reported versus measured weight and height in a large sample of American teenagers has been found, with a conclusion that studies can use self-reported height and weight to understand teen obesity and its correlates/sequelae.³⁸ While there may have been some misclassification, when categorized based on BMI, the associations with asthma should be affected minimally.³⁴ The use of BMI status in Saskatoon could be questioned given the large proportion of missing data in Saskatoon compared to Skopje.

Differences in participation rates between Saskatoon (54%) and Skopje (91%) may have resulted in selection bias of findings for Saskatoon. We can be more confident in the results from Skopje, given the high response rate. It may be that students in Saskatoon were more likely to take part if they experienced asthma or wheeze, artificially inflating the prevalence. While this may have occurred, the results seen were similar to other studies in the region. Also, the magnitude of the differences was so large that the impact of non-participants would be minimal. For example, if all of the non-responders in Saskatoon were free of asthma or wheeze and we recalculate the prevalence based on those who did and did not take part, the prevalence of asthma

and wheeze would be 11% and 15%, respectively, both still substantially larger than in Skopje. Despite the differences in response rates, the observed associations between risk factors and asthma would still hold within the sample since for bias to occur, there would need to be response bias in both the exposure and outcome jointly^{39,40} and we believe this to not likely have occurred to a large degree. Moreover, stratified analyses were completed to further improve the validity of the findings. While having sufficient statistical power in most cases to conduct the analysis, there were some rare examples such as BMI status, where the cell size was extremely small and Type II error must be considered.

Finally, a potential limitation may be the length of time since the data was collected. However, this was an opportunity to investigate research questions which are still currently relevant. Even if the prevalence of disease or risk factors changed between the data collection and now, the associations observed would remain and could be considered in current research. There is no reason to believe that the association between the risk factors and outcomes or explanatory mechanisms would change over the past decade. Future work should focus on more recent temporal trends as well as in depth investigation of the explanatory factors for geographic variation of asthma prevalence.

Our study had several strengths as well. We used consistent and standardized methods in two centers based on a large well-established study allowing us to make comparisons between the two centers. This included a standardized survey designed to focus on children's lung health. Both centers had a large sample size and while the participation rate in Skopje was excellent, the participation rate in Saskatoon was what we expected or better than expected based on recent studies.

Conclusions

The results of this study indicate that the prevalence of asthma and wheeze is much higher in Saskatoon, a region of heavy Western influence, compared to Skopje. While a few characteristics explained some of the regional prevalence variation, the differences in prevalence between locations remained strong and significant. Further research should focus on the risk factors and potential explanatory factors found as well as include clinical examinations. If there is under-diagnosis of asthma in Skopje, there could also be adolescents who are not properly managed resulting in poor asthma control and an increased burden to the individual, their family, and health care system.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

In Skopje, Republic of Macedonia, this research was funded by The Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Macedonia provided financial support for the study. In Saskatoon, this research was funded by a grant from Health Canada.

References

1. Asher MI, Monteforte S, Bjorksten B, Lai CKW, Strachan DP, Weiland SK, et al., and the ISAAC Phase Three Study Group. Worldwide time trends in the prevalence of symptoms of asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema in childhood: ISAAC Phases One and Three repeat multicountry cross-sectional surveys. *Lancet.* 2006;368:733–43.
2. Lai CK, Beasley R, Crane J, Foliaki S, Shah J, Weiland S, International Study of Asthma and Allergy in Childhood. Global variation in the prevalence and severity of asthma symptoms: phase three of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Thorax.* 2009;64:476–83.
3. The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) Steering Committee. Worldwide variations in the prevalence of asthma symptoms: The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Eur Respir J.* 1998;12:315–35.
4. Brozek G, Lawson JA, Shpakou A, Fedortsiv O, Hryshchuk L, Rennie D, et al. Childhood asthma prevalence and risk factors in three Eastern European countries – the Belarus, Ukraine, Poland Asthma Study (BUPAS): an international prevalence study. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2016;16:11.
5. Leonardi GS, Houthuijs D, Nikiforov B, Volf J, Rudnai P, Zejda JE, et al. Respiratory symptoms, bronchitis, and asthma in children of central and eastern Europe. *Eur Resp J.* 2002;20: 890–8.
6. Jacobs J, Borras-Santos A, Krop E, Taubel M, Leppanen H, Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, et al. Dampness, bacterial and fungal components in dust in primary schools and respiratory health in schoolchildren across Europe. *Occup Environ Med.* 2014;71:704–12.
7. Lawson JA, Rennie DC, Senthilselvan A, Cockcroft DW, McDuffie HH. Regional variations in risk factors for asthma in school children. *Can Resp J.* 2005;12:321–6.
8. Brozek G, Farnik M, Lawson J, Zejda J. Underdiagnosis of childhood asthma: a comparison of survey estimates to clinical evaluation. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health.* 2013;26:900–9.
9. Pearce N, Ait-Khaled N, Beasley R, Mallol R, Keil U, Mitchell E, et al. Worldwide trends in the prevalence of asthma symptoms: phase III of the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). *Thorax.* 2007;62:758–66.
10. Vlaski E, Lawson J. Urban-rural differences in asthma prevalence among young adolescents: the role of behavioural and environmental factors. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr).* 2015;43:131–41.
11. Ellwood P, Asher MI, Beasley R, Clayton TO, Stewart AW, on behalf of the ISAAC Steering committee and the ISAAC PHase Three Study Group. The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC): Phase Three rationale and methods. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2005;9:10–6.
12. Canada S. Community profiles – Saskatoon 2006. Available from: [http://www12.statcan.ca/census-recensement/2006/dp-pd/prof/92-591/details/page.cfm?Lang=E&Geo1=CMA&Code1=725&Geo2=PR&Code2=47&Data=Count&SearchText=saskatoon&SearchType=Begins&SearchPR=01&B1=All&Custom=\[27.06.16\]](http://www12.statcan.ca/census-recensement/2006/dp-pd/prof/92-591/details/page.cfm?Lang=E&Geo1=CMA&Code1=725&Geo2=PR&Code2=47&Data=Count&SearchText=saskatoon&SearchType=Begins&SearchPR=01&B1=All&Custom=[27.06.16].).
13. Government of the Republic of Macedonia. Census of population, households and dwellings in the Republic of Macedonia 2002.
14. Wang H-Y, Pizzichini MMM, Becker AB, Duncan JM, Ferguson AC, Greene JM, et al. Disparate geographic prevalences of asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and atopic eczema among adolescents in five Canadian cities. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2010;21:867–77.
15. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: an international survey. *BMJ.* 2000;320:1240–3.

16. ISAAC Phase 3 Newsletter. Aukland, New Zealand; 2001.
17. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. *Applied logistic regression*. 2nd ed. Toronto: John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 2000, 375 p.
18. Mora S, Cook N, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Lee I-M. Physical activity and reduced risk of cardiovascular events: potential mediating mechanisms. *Cardiology*. 2007;116:2110–8.
19. Frazier PA, Tix AP, Barron KE. Testing moderator and mediator effects in counseling psychology research. *J Counsel Psychol*. 2004;51:115–34.
20. Zivkovic Z, Vukasinovic Z, Cerovic S, Radulovic S, Zivanovic S, Panic E, et al. Prevalence of childhood asthma and allergies in Serbia and Montenegro. *World J Pediatr*. 2010;6:331–6.
21. Gudelj I, Kobai IM, Skvorc HM, Mise K, Vrbica Z, Plavec D, et al. Intraregional differences in asthma prevalence and risk factors for asthma among adolescents in Split-Dalmatia County, Croatia. *Med Sci Monit*. 2012;18:PH43–50.
22. Banac S, Rozmanic V, Manestar K, Korotaj-Rozmanic Z, Lah-Tomulic K, Vidovic I, et al. Rising trends in the prevalence of asthma and allergic diseases among schoolchildren in the northwest coastal part of Croatia. *J Asthma*. 2013;50:810–4.
23. Rebordosa C, Kogevinas M, Sorensen TH, Olsen J. Pre-natal exposure to paracetamol and risk of wheezing and asthma in children: a birth cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2008;37:583–90.
24. Shaheen SO, Newson RB, Smith GD, Henderson AJ. Prenatal paracetamol exposure and asthma: further evidence against confounding. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2010;39:790–4.
25. Shaheen SO, Sterne JAC, Songhurst CE, Burney PGJ. Frequent paracetamol use and asthma in adults. *Thorax*. 2000;55:266–70.
26. Beasley RW, Clayton TO, Crane J, Lai CKW, Monteforte SR, Von Mutius E. Acetaminophen use and risk of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema in adolescents. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2011;183:171–8.
27. Eneli I, Sadri K, Camargo CA, Barr GR. Acetaminophen and the risk of asthma. *Chest*. 2005;127:604–12.
28. Perzanowski M, Miller RL, Tang D, Ali D, Gerfinkel RS, Chew GL. Prenatal acetaminophen exposure and risk of wheeze at age 5 years in an urban low-income cohort. *Thorax*. 2010;65:118–23.
29. Shaheen SO, Newson RB, Ring SM, Rose-Zerilli MJ, Holloway JW, Henderson AJ. Prenatal and infant acetaminophen exposure, antioxidant gene polymorphisms and childhood asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010;126:1141–8.
30. Brunekreef B, Von Mutius E, Wong G, Odhiambo J, Garcia-Marcos L, Foliaki S. Exposure to cats and dogs, and symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema. *Epidemiology*. 2012;23:742–50.
31. Rennie DC, Lawson JA, Senthilselvan A, Willson PJ, Dosman JA. Domestic endotoxin and asthma in children: epidemiological studies. *Front Biosci*. 2012;4:56–73.
32. Krop EJM, Jacobs JH, Sander I, Raulf-Heimsoth M, Heederik D. Allergens and B-glucans in Dutch homes and schools: characterizing airborne levels. *PLOS ONE*. 2014;9:e88871.
33. Garcia-Marcos L, Canflanca IM, Garrido JB, Varela ALS, Garcia-Hernandez G, Grima FG. Relationship of asthma and rhinoconjunctivitis with obesity, exercise, and Mediterranean diet in Spanish schoolchildren. *Thorax*. 2007;62:503–8.
34. Lawson JA, Janssen I, Bruner MW, Madani K, Pickett W. Urban-rural differences in asthma prevalence among young people in Canada: the roles of health behaviours and obesity. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2011;10:220–8.
35. Ownby DR, Peterson EL, Nelson D, Joseph CCL, Williams LK, Johnson CC. The relationship of physical activity and percentage body fat to the risk of asthma in 8- to 10-year-old children. *J Asthma*. 2007;44:885–9.
36. Hedman L, Lindgren B, Perzanowski M, Ronmark E. Agreement between parental and self-completed questionnaires about asthma in teenagers. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2005;16:176–81.
37. Kemp T, Pearce N, Crane J, Beasley R. Problems of measuring asthma prevalence. *Respirology*. 1996;3:183–8.
38. Goodman E, Hinden BR, Khandelwal S. Accuracy of teen and parental reports of obesity and body mass index. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106:52–8.
39. Criqui MH. Response bias and risk ratios in epidemiologic studies. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1979;109:394–9.
40. Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL. *Modern epidemiology*. 3rd ed. Wolters Kluwer; Lippincott, Williams, and Wilkins; 2008.