# Annals of Hepatology

# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

July-August, Vol. 12 No.4, 2013: 395-401

# Sirolimus based immunosuppression is associated with need for early repeat therapeutic ERCP in liver transplant patients with anastomotic biliary stricture

James H. Tabibian,\*,† Mohit Girotra,† Hsin-Chieh Yeh,\*,§ Dorry L. Segev,§,||,¶ Murat T. Gulsen,† Guldane Cengiz-Seval,† Vikesh K. Singh,† Andrew M. Cameron,||,¶ Ahmet Gurakar‡,¶

- \* Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD. U.S.A.
  † Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN. U.S.A.
- <sup>‡</sup> Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD. U.S.A.
- § Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD. U.S.A.
  - Department of Surgery, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD. U.S.A.
- Johns Hopkins Liver Transplant Program, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD. U.S.A.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Introduction. Sirolimus has inhibitory effects on epithelial healing and cholangiocyte regeneration. In liver transplantation (LT) patients, these effects may be greatest at the biliary anastomosis. We therefore investigated whether sirolimus use is associated with need for early or emergent repeat therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiography (ERC) in LT patients with anastomotic biliary stricture (ABS). Material and methods. Medical records of patients who underwent LT from 1998-2009 at Johns Hopkins were reviewed and patients with ABS identified. Primary outcome was early repeat ERC, defined as need for unscheduled (i.e. unplanned) or emergent repeat therapeutic ERC. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses (adjusting for age, sex, LT to ERC time, and stent number) were performed to assess association between sirolimus and early repeat ERC. Results. 45 patients developed ABS and underwent 156 ERCs total. Early (median 26 days) repeat ERC occurred in 14/56 (25%) and 6/100 (6%) ERCs performed with and without concomitant sirolimus-based immunosuppression, respectively (OR 1.22; 95% CI 1.02-1.45; p = 0.03). In multivariate analysis, sirolimus use was associated with early repeat ERC (OR 1.24; 95% CI 1.04-1.47; p = 0.015); this association remained significant when sirolimus dose was modeled as a continuous variable (OR 1.04 for each mg of sirolimus per day; 95% CI 1.02-1.08; p = 0.038). Conclusions. Sirolimus-based immunosuppression appears to be associated with a modest but significantly increased, dose-dependent risk of early repeat ERC in LT patients with ABS. Prospective studies are needed to further investigate these findings and determine if sirolimus use or dose should potentially be reconsidered once ABS is diagnosed.

**Key words.** Liver transplantation. Biliary tract. Stents. Anastomosis. Biliary obstruction. Cholangitis. Endoscopy.

# INTRODUCTION

Biliary strictures are a common complication after orthotopic liver transplantation (LT), occurring in up to 17% of brain dead and 50% of donation af-

Correspondence and reprint request: James H. Tabibian, M.D. 200 First St. SW

Rochester, MN 5++5905

Phone: 507-284-8118. Fax: 507-284-0538 E-mail: tabibian.james@mayo.edu

Manuscript received: November 01, 2012. Manuscript accepted: February 12, 2013. ter cardiac death (DCD) donor liver recipients despite improvements in organ transplantation.<sup>1-3</sup> Recent evidence suggests that their incidence has in fact increased in the post-Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) era.<sup>4</sup> In addition to being common, biliary strictures are associated with substantial morbidity and costs, a portion of which stems from frequent and repeated therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiography (ERC).<sup>2,5-11</sup> While previous studies have examined the predictors of biliary stricture formation (e.g. DCD donors, hepatic artery thrombosis) and of final endoscopic treatment outcome, <sup>2,3,8,9,12-16</sup> the factors predisposing to interval need for untimely (i.e. early) or emergent repeat

therapeutic ERC in patients undergoing treatment of post-LT biliary strictures remain unknown.<sup>11</sup>

One such risk factor may be the immunosuppressive regimen. For example, the mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor sirolimus is frequently used as an immunosuppressant in LT and is known to have antiproliferative effects on smooth muscle, endothelial, and epithelial cells, including those of the biliary tree (i.e. cholangiocytes). <sup>17-21</sup> Indeed, we and others recently identified an independent association between sirolimus use and:

- Increased incidence of recurrent biliary obstruction necessitating early or emergent repeat therapeutic ERC<sup>22</sup> and
- Increased complications of ERC.<sup>23</sup>

The former association was found *post-hoc* to be strongest in the subset of patients with anastomotic biliary strictures (ABS), i.e. those occurring at the choledochocholedochostomy (CDCD) due to technical issues, as opposed to nonanastomotic biliary strictures (NABS), which occur elsewhere and through different mechanisms.<sup>16</sup>

It seems biologically plausible that the adverse biliary effects of sirolimus may be most pronounced at the CDCD, with possible sequelae including impairments in anastomotic healing, ABS remodeling, and bile flow. These sequelae may ultimately translate into adverse outcomes during ERCs needed post-LT. Here we investigated whether sirolimus-based immunosuppression is associated with increased odds of early and emergent repeat therapeutic ERC in patients undergoing endoscopic treatment of post-LT ABS.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was approved by the institutional review board at Johns Hopkins Hospital/Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.

#### **Patients**

The electronic medical records of 559 adult patients who underwent LT at Johns Hopkins Hospital between January 1998 and October 2009 were retrospectively reviewed for clinical, laboratory, and radiographic data, and patients with post-LT ABS were identified. Patients who underwent living-donor LT or Roux-en-Y choledochojejunostomy or hepaticojejunostomy or developed both NABS and ABS were excluded. Patients were also excluded if they were diagnosed with hepatic artery thrombosis

or if they had ABS that was managed primarily by interventional radiology or surgery.

ABS was defined as a dominant narrowing at the anastomosis with significant impairment of contrast material flow at cholangiography. Ductal narrowing without proximal biliary dilatation or contrast flow impairment was not considered sufficient for the diagnosis of ABS. The overall incidence of ABS and NABS (± ABS) at our institution during the study period was 8.8 and 4.5%, respectively.

All patients were initially on a post-LT immunosuppressive regimen consisting of tacrolimus or sirolimus, corticosteroid, and mycophenolate mofetil. The latter two medications were tapered off at approximately 3 months and 1 year after LT, respectively. The selection of tacrolimus vs. sirolimus was based on the presence of renal dysfunction and/or intolerance to calcineurin inhibitors and irrespective of bile duct-related concerns or complications.

# Post-LT stricture management

Patients with abnormal liver biochemistries (e.g. total bilirubin) suggestive of biliary obstruction and/or imaging studies demonstrating dilated intra- or extra-hepatic bile ducts with no alternative diagnosis (e.g. recurrent viral infection, hepatic artery thrombosis, etc.) by clinical, laboratory, imaging, or histological assessment underwent ERC for evaluation.

ERCs were performed with a therapeutic sideviewing endoscope (TJF 160, Olympus America, Inc., Center Valley, PA) with patients in either the prone or supine position by an experienced therapeutic endoscopist. Antibiotics were administered in the peri-procedural period in patients with suspected cholangitis. A Tri-Tome PC with 30 mm cutting wire (Cook, Winston-Salem, NC) preloaded with a 0.035 inch, 450 cm guidewire (Jagwire, Boston Scientific, Natick, MA) was used to achieve biliary cannulation. Biliary sphincterotomy, balloon dilation, and number of stents inserted were at the discretion of the endoscopist. The type of stent used was the Cotton-Huibregtse biliary stent (Cook, Winston-Salem, NC), 90% of which were 10 French (remainder 7 or 8.5 French).

Stent exchange was routinely performed at approximately 3 month intervals or sooner if clinically indicated by signs or symptoms of recurrent biliary obstruction. Stent therapy was continued for up to one year or until the cholangiographic appearance of the strictures was improved over baseline with accompanying resolution of impaired contrast flow,

proximal ductal dilation, and/or biochemical liver test abnormalities.

#### Outcome and variables

The outcome of this study was occurrence of early or emergent repeat therapeutic ERC (hereinafter "early repeat ERC"). This outcome was defined as an unscheduled (i.e. unplanned) or emergent ERC accompanied by any one of the following signs suggestive of biliary obstruction and/or stent occlusion in the absence of an alternative cause (e.g. recurrent viral hepatitis, hepatic artery thrombosis, pneumonia): temperature > 38.0 Celsius, serum hyperbilirubinemia  $\geq 2x$  upper limit of normal (1.2 mg/dL)or  $\geq 1.5x$  the value prior to stent insertion if it was already above normal, clinical cholangitis, or new (i.e. recurrent) bile duct dilatation on ultrasonographic imaging or computed tomography proximal to the ABS. 11 The time interval to repeat ERC was compared between early and non-early repeat ERCs to confirm that it was significantly shorter among the former.

Use of sirolimus-based immunosuppression at the time of ERC was evaluated as the principal predictor (i.e. independent variable) of early repeat ERC. In addition, the following patient-, LT-, and ERC-related variables were assessed as co-predictors: recipient age at LT, sex, race, LT indication, donor type (brain death versus cardiac death), donor age, donor-recipient sex mismatch, cold ischemia time, T-tube (or enteral stent) placement at the time of LT, time from LT to ABS diagnosis, late ABS (i.e. diagnosed > 6 months post-LT), cohort/time period (i.e. patients diagnosed with ABS before as compared to after the median ABS diagnosis date), time from LT to each ERC, and number of plastic stents inserted at each ERC.

# Data analysis

In the primary analysis, predictors of early repeat ERC were evaluated with logistic regression analyses on a by-procedure basis (i.e. at the level of the ERC). ERC level analysis was chosen given sirolimus use and dose are subject to change from one ERC to the next, thus some patients:

- Were not on sirolimus throughout their endoscopic treatment period or
- Did not have a fixed sirolimus dose.

Patient level clustering with longitudinal data analysis was taken into account (adjusting for patients with > 1 ERC) to assess the association between early repeat ERC and sirolimus use in univariate and multivariate analyses. Variables adjusted for in multivariate analyses were age at ERC, time from LT to each ERC, and number of stents inserted at each ERC, given their known impact on outcomes of endoscopic treatment of ABS. 1,12,13,24

To account for potential confounding by patientand LT-related variables, a separate, patient-level analysis was also performed. Fisher's exact test and Mann-Whitney test were used for this analysis, from which variables with p < 0.10 were included in the aforementioned multivariate model.

Tests of significance were 2-tailed, with an alpha level of 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA 11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas).

## **RESULTS**

Of the 45 patients with ABS included in the study, 30 (67%) were male, and the median age at LT was 56 years (range 21-69). Thirty-nine of these 45 (87%) were donation after brain-death (DBD) and 6 (13%) were DCD. The most common indication for LT was chronic hepatitis C infection (36%). Fifteen patients (33%) were on sirolimus-based immunosuppression and had a median sirolimus dose of 2.5 mg/day (IQR 1.5-4, range 0.5-6). Additional characteristics of the 45 study patients are provided in table 1.

A total of 156 ERCs were performed, with a median of 1 plastic biliary stent (range 1-4) inserted at each ERC. Of these 156 ERCs, 56 were performed during sirolimus use (i.e. with patients receiving sirolimus-based immunosuppression). Fourteen out of these 56 (25%) were found to be early repeat ERCs as compared to 6 out of 100 ERCs (6%) performed in patients not receiving sirolimus-based immunosuppression. Notably, the median time to early repeat ERC was 26 days (interquartile range [IQR] 18-51), which was significantly longer (p = 0.002) than all other, i.e. non-early, repeat ERCs (66 days, IQR 49-106). Complications of ERC included 2 cases of pancreatitis and 1 perforation; one of these three complications (pancreatitis) occurred in a patient on sirolimus-based immunosuppression. Biliary stent migration was noted in 4 instances (i.e. 9% of patients, 3% of ERCs); two of these were in patients on sirolimus-based immunosuppression.

In the primary (ERC level) univariate analysis, sirolimus use was significantly associated with early repeat ERC (odds ratio [OR] 1.22; 95% confidence

**Table 1.** Characteristics of patients who underwent LT from 1998-2009 and developed ABS.

Age at LT, years		All patients (n = 45)
Male       30 (67%)         Race       Caucasian       38 (84%)         African-American       5 (11%)         Asian       2 (5%)         LT indication       16 (36%)         Hepatitis C       16 (36%)         Alcohol       6 (13%)         Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis       3 (7%)         Redo-LT*       3 (7%)         Cryptogenic cirrhosis       3 (7%)         Hepatitis C and HCC       2 (4%)         Hepatitis B       2 (4%)         Hepatitis C and alcohol       2 (4 %)         Hemochromatosis       2 (4%)         Acetaminophen toxicity       2 (4%)         Acetaminophen toxicity       2 (4%)         Other**       4 (9%)         Donor type       39 (87%)         DCD       6 (13%)         Donor:recipient sex mismatch       49 (32-56)         Donor:recipient sex mismatch       70 (5.7-9)         T-tube use       70 (5.7-9)         T-tube use       19 (42%)         LT to ABS diagnosis, days       101 (36-318)         Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT)       19 (42%)         Immunosuppression       19 (42%)		56 (11)
Race	Sex	
Caucasian African-American Asian  LT indication Hepatitis C Alcohol Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C Hepatitis C Hepatitis B Hepatitis C Hepatitis B Hepatitis C Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression	Male	30 (67%)
African-American Asian  Asian  2 (5%)  LT indication Hepatitis C Alcohol Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C and HCC Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression	Race	
Asian 2 (5%)  LT indication Hepatitis C Alcohol 6 (13%) Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis 3 (7%) Redo-LT* 3 (7%) Cryptogenic cirrhosis 3 (7%) Hepatitis C and HCC 2 (4%) Hepatitis B 2 (4%) Hepatitis C and alcohol 2 (4%) Hemochromatosis 2 (4%) Acetaminophen toxicity 2 (4%) Other** 4 (9%)  Donor type DBD 39 (87%) DCD 6 (13%)  Donor age, years Median (IQR) 49 (32-56)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes 19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR) 7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)  Immunosuppression		
LT indication Hepatitis C Alcohol Alcohol Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C Hepatitis C Hepatitis B Hepatitis C Hepatitis C Hepatitis C Hepatitis C Hepatitis C Hepatitis B Hepatitis C Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression		
Hepatitis C Alcohol Alcohol Alcohol Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C Hepatitis C And HCC Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C Hepatitis B Hepatitis C Hepatitis B Hepatitis C Hepatitis Hepa	Asian	2 (5%)
Alcohol Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C and HCC Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  3 (7%) (13%) (7%) (24%) (7%) (7%) (7%) (24%) (44%) (24%) (24%) (24%) (49%) (24%)	LT indication	
Alcohol Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C and HCC Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  (7%) (7%) (7%) (7%) (7%) (7%) (7%) (7%	Hepatitis C	16 (36%)
Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C and HCC Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 4 (1%)  4 (1%)  A (1%)  A (4%) A (4%) A (4%) A (9%)  A (9%)  A (13%)  A (	•	
Redo-LT* Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C and HCC Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 3 (7%) 4 (1%)  4 (1%)  A (1%)  A (4%) A (4%) A (4%) A (9%)  A (9%)  A (13%)  A (	Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis	3 (7%)
Cryptogenic cirrhosis Hepatitis C and HCC Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  Yes  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  3 (7%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 4 (9%) 3 (4%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 3 (67%) 6 (13%) 4 (9%)  10 (32-56)  10 (36-318) 10 (36-318) 10 (36-318)	·	
Hepatitis C and HCC Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  Yes  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  2 (4%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 4 (9%)  3 (4%) 3 (4%) 4 (9%)  3 (87%) 6 (13%)  4 (9%)  3 (87%) 6 (13%)  4 (9%)  3 (87%) 6 (13%)  4 (13%)  7 (5.7-9)  7 (5.7-9)  1 (36-318)	Cryptogenic cirrhosis	
Hepatitis B Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  2 (4%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 4 (9) 3 (4%) 3 (4%) 4 (9) 3 (4%) 4 (9) 3 (87%) 6 (13%) 4 (9%)  4 (9%)  3 (87%) 6 (13%) 4 (13%) 4 (13%)  7 (13%)  1 (136-318)  1 (136-318)		
Hepatitis C and alcohol Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  2 (4%) 4 (9%)  3 (4%) 4 (9%)  39 (87%) 6 (13%)  49 (32-56)  49 (32-56)  7.0 (5.7-9)  7.0 (5.7-9)  19 (42%)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  19 (42%)		
Hemochromatosis Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  Yes  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression  2 (4%) 2 (4%) 4 (9%)  39 (87%) 6 (13%)  49 (32-56)  49 (32-56)  7.0 (5.7-9)  7.0 (5.7-9)  19 (42%)  101 (36-318)		` ,
Acetaminophen toxicity Other**  Donor type DBD DCD  Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  T-tube use Yes  Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  Immunosuppression		
Other** 4 (9%)  Donor type DBD 39 (87%) DCD 6 (13%)  Donor age, years Median (IQR) 49 (32-56)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes 19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR) 7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)		
DBD DCD 39 (87%) 6 (13%)  Donor age, years Median (IQR) 49 (32-56)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes 19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR) 7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)	•	4 (9%)
DBD DCD 39 (87%) 6 (13%)  Donor age, years Median (IQR) 49 (32-56)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes 19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR) 7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)	Donor typo	
DCD 6 (13%)  Donor age, years Median (IQR) 49 (32-56)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes 19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR) 7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)		20 (97%)
Donor age, years Median (IQR)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  19 (42%)  Immunosuppression		
Median (IQR)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	DCD	0 (13%)
Median (IQR)  Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes  19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	Donor age, years	
Yes 19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR) 7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)  Immunosuppression		49 (32-56)
Yes 19 (43%)  Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR) 7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	Donor:recipient sex mismatch	
Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)  7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  19 (42%)  Immunosuppression		10 (43%)
Median (IQR)  7.0 (5.7-9)  T-tube use Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	165	17 (43%)
T-tube use Yes  19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR)  101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes  19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	Cold ischemia time, hours	
Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	Median (IQR)	7.0 (5.7-9)
Yes 19 (42%)  LT to ABS diagnosis, days Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	T-tube use	
Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)  Immunosuppression		19 (42%)
Median (IQR) 101 (36-318)  Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%)  Immunosuppression	17. 486 li	
Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes 19 (42%) Immunosuppression	LI to ABS diagnosis, days	104 (24 242)
Yes 19 (42%) Immunosuppression	Median (IQR)	101 (36-318)
Yes 19 (42%) Immunosuppression	Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT)	
Immunosuppression	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19 (47%)
		17 (1270)
		15 (33%)

ABS: anastomotic biliary stricture. DBD: donation after brain death. DCD: donation after cardiac death. HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma. IQR: interquartile range. LT: orthotopic liver transplantation. \*Original indication was hepatitis C, alcoholic liver disease, and autoimmune hepatitis, respectively. \*\*1 autoimmune hepatitis, 1 idiopathic fulminant hepatic failure, 1 sarcoidosis, 1 hepatic epithelioid hemangioendothelioma. \*\*\*Includes two patients who were switched to sirolimus during endoscopic treatment, neither of whom subsequently required early repeat ERC. No patients were switched off sirolimus. The median sirolimus dose was 2.5 mg/day (IQR 1.5-4).

interval [CI] 1.02-1.45; p=0.03). In the secondary (patient-level) univariate analysis, sirolimus use was again found to be significantly associated with early repeat ERC (p=0.04), and male sex showed a trend toward an association (p=0.06) with early repeat ERC (Table 2).

In the multivariate analysis, sirolimus use was significantly associated (OR 1.24; 95% CI 1.04-1.47; p = 0.015) with early repeat ERC (Table 3). To further investigate this association, we modeled sirolimus dose as a continuous variable; we found that the association between sirolimus and early repeat ERC demonstrated a significant, dose-response relationship, with an OR of 1. 04 for each 1 mg increase in the daily sirolimus dose (95% CI 1.02-1.08; p = 0.038).

## DISCUSSION

The development and treatment of biliary strictures continue to be challenging long-term problems in LT patients. This is highlighted by the recent finding of a nearly 3-fold increase in the incidence of ABS in the post-MELD era, which is likely in part related to increased utilization of expanded criteria livers. While the factors associated with biliary stricture formation and final endoscopic treatment outcome (i.e. stricture resolution vs. not) have been extensively studied, little is known about which factors may predispose to early interval repeat ERC. In the present study, we found sirolimus-based immunosuppression to be independently and significantly associated with early repeat ERC in patients undergoing endoscopic therapy for post-LT ABS. These results validate, through a larger cohort of patients with ABS, greater number of total ERCs, improved criteria for the primary endpoint, and addition of an important co-predictor (Number of stents inserted at ERC) in our multivariate model, the findings we reported previously in an exploratory study of patients with post-LT biliary strictures. 22 It should be noted that although the overall adjusted OR for early repeat ERC in patients taking sirolimus (any dose) was relatively modest, there was a clinically and statistically significant dose-response relationship, with the OR for early repeat ERC increasing by 1.04 for every mg of sirolimus taken per day; therefore, for patients on, for example, 5 mg of sirolimus per day, the estimated adjusted OR for early repeat ERC would be approximately 5.2. The modest overall association in our study (despite this dose-response relationship) was likely related to the somewhat low median sirolimus dose in our cohort.

**Table 2.** Secondary (patient-level) univariate analysis comparing patient- and LT-related variables between patients who did and did not require early repeat ERC.

	Patients without early repeat ERC (n = 35)	Patients with $\geq 1$ early repeat ERC (n = 10)	p-value
Age at LT, years Median (IQR)	56 (51-61)	55 (42-60)	0.49
Sex Male	26 (74%)	4 (40%)	0.06
Race Caucasian	29 (83%)	9 (90%)	1.0
LT indication Hepatitis C*	17 (49%)	3 (30%)	0.47
Donor type DCD	4 (11%)	2 (20%)	0.60
Donor age, years Median (IQR)	49 (31-56)	51 (32-56)	0.85
Donor:recipient sex mismatch Yes	15 (43%)	4 (40%)	1.0
Cold ischemia time, hours Median (IQR)	7 (5.9-9)	7 (4.8-8.5)	0.56
T-tube use Yes	15 (43%)	4 (40%)	1.0
LT to initial ERC, days Median (IQR)	112 (36-480)	90 (25-153)	0.43
Late ABS (> 6 months post-LT) Yes	16 (46%)	3 (30%)	0.48
Time period First half of cohort**	20 (57%)	3 (30%)	0.17
Sirolimus use Yes (any dose)	9 (26%)	6 (60%)	0.04

Data reported as median (interquartile range) or number (percent). ABS: anastomotic biliary stricture. DCD: donation after cardiac death. LT: orthotopic liver transplantation. \*Includes 2 patients who also had hepatocellular carcinoma and 2 who also had alcoholic liver disease (in addition to hepatitis C). \*\*Refers to cohort of patients diagnosed with ABS prior to the median ABS diagnosis date (November 2006).

Table 3. Multivariate (ERC level) analysis of the association between sirolimus use and early repeat ERC.

Variable	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
Sirolimus use (any dose)			
No	1.00	Reference	Reference
Yes	1.24	1.04-1.47	0.015**
Age (> 55 vs. ≤ 55 years)*	1.01	0.90-1.14	0.87
Male sex	0.91	0.79-1.05	0.19
LT to index ERC time (years)	0.98	0.96-1.01	0.23
Number of stents inserted at ERC	0.96	0.91-1.00	0.07

CI: confidence interval. ERC: endoscopic retrograde cholangiography. LT: orthotopic liver transplantation. \* Median sample age was used as cutoff. \*\* Sirolimus remained significantly associated with early repeat ERC when the sirolimus dose was modeled as a continuous variable (odds ratio 1.04 for each 1 mg increase in daily sirolimus dose; 95% CI 1.02-1.08; p = 0.037).

There may be several reasons for the significant association between sirolimus and early repeat ERC: sirolimus may delay healing of the biliary epithelium and increase or prolong the fragility of the choledochocholedochal anastomosis. Indeed, in rat models of LT, treatment with sirolimus has been shown to be associated with impaired cholangiocyte proliferation, <sup>17</sup> and clinical studies have documented delayed epithelial healing in LT patients on sirolimus-based immunosuppression. 18-20 Collectively, these effects could increase or protract anastomotic injury and subsequent edema from endoscopic manipulation, to which even a relatively mature anastomosis may susceptible (as supported by the observation that time from LT to ERC was not significantly associated with outcome). In turn, this may lead to decreased cross-sectional area for biliary flow and render the lumen of the biliary stent as the only channel of drainage.

Sirolimus may also potentially predispose to impaired bile drainage through the biliary stent due to:

- Changes in bile composition (e.g. more sludging, possibly altered bacterial flora with increased biofilm formation) in the post-operative inflammatory milieu,<sup>25</sup> which could be prolonged as a result of impaired cholangiocyte regeneration,<sup>17</sup> or
- As of yet unknown direct effects of sirolimus on bile composition or flow.

With the use of sirolimus becoming common in the evolving pharmacoscape of immunosuppression as well as the pervasiveness and morbidity of biliary complications, the effects of sirolimus (use, dose, and serum levels) and emerging agents on biliary outcomes, including development of complications (e.g. leaks and strictures) as well as response to treatment, merit additional research.

This study has several limitations. It was retrospective in design, and thus causal relationships cannot be established; therefore, the findings from this study, as with other retrospectively studies, should be validated by prospective studies prior to application to clinical care. In addition, translational studies will likely be required to mechanistically demonstrate whether and how sirolimus may exert deleterious effects on the biliary anastomosis. The sample size was small, albeit larger than most studies of endoscopic therapy of post-LT ABS; using less stringent exclusion criteria may have yielded a larger sample but at the expense of greater sample heterogeneity and confounding. Since the focus of

this study was on sirolimus, some but not all demographic, surgical, and endoscopic variables were analyzed. There was no uniform institutional endoscopic treatment protocol in existence during the study period, thus some aspects of endoscopic treatment may have varied over time and between endoscopists; while this may introduce variation that is not accounted for, it may also increase the generalizability of the results. Lastly, although treatment selection bias cannot be completely discounted, as described in the methods, the use of sirolimus-based immunosuppression was unrelated to bile duct-related complications or concerns; furthermore, the existing literature suggests that the incidence of biliary complications (i.e. de novo development) is no different in patients treated with sirolimus (with or without renal insufficiency) compared to those treated with a calcineurin inhibitor.<sup>18</sup>

In summary, the present study demonstrates that immunosuppression with sirolimus may be modestly but significantly associated with early repeat ERC in LT patients undergoing endoscopic treatment of ABS, particularly at higher daily doses. Given the morbidity and costs of biliary strictures and of early repeat ERCs, these findings suggest a need for larger, prospective clinical and translational studies to better evaluate the impact of sirolimus on the endoscopic management and biology of ABS and to determine if sirolimus use or dose should be reconsidered once ABS is diagnosed.

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

- LT: orthotopic liver transplantation.
- DCD: donation after cardiac death.
- MELD: Model for End-Stage Liver Disease.
- **ERC:** endoscopic retrograde cholangiography.
- **ABS:** anastomotic biliary strictures.
- CDCD: choledochocholedochostomy.
- NABS: nonanastomotic biliary strictures.
- **DBD:** donation after brain-death.
- OR: odds ratio.
- CI: confidence interval.

# CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, FINANCIAL SUPPORT OR OTHER DISCLOSURES

None.

#### **REFERENCES**

 Tabibian JH, Asham EH, Han S, Saab S, Tong MJ, Goldstein L, Busuttil RW, et al. Endoscopic treatment of postorthotopic liver transplantation anastomotic biliary strictu-

- res with maximal stent therapy (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2010; 71: 505-12.
- Maheshwari A, Maley W, Li Z, Thuluvath PJ. Biliary complications and outcomes of liver transplantation from donors after cardiac death. *Liver Transpl* 2007; 13: 1645-53.
- Graziadei IW, Schwaighofer H, Koch R, Nachbaur K, Koenigsrainer A, Margreiter R, Vogel W. Long-term outcome of endoscopic treatment of biliary strictures after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2006; 12: 718-25.
- 4. Sundaram V, Jones DT, Shah NH, de Vera ME, Fontes P, Marsh JW, Humar A, et al. Posttransplant biliary complications in the pre- and post-model for end-stage liver disease era. *Liver Transpl* 2011; 17: 428-35.
- Sherman S, Jamidar P, Shaked A, Kendall BJ, Goldstein LI, Busuttil RW. Biliary tract complications after orthotopic liver transplantation. Endoscopic approach to diagnosis and therapy. *Transplantation* 1995; 60: 467-70.
- Rerknimitr R, Sherman S, Fogel EL, Kalayci C, Lumeng L, Chalasani N, Kwo P, et al. Biliary tract complications after orthotopic liver transplantation with choledochocholedochostomy anastomosis: endoscopic findings and results of therapy. Gastrointest Endosc 2002; 55: 224-31.
- Verdonk RC, Buis CI, van der Jagt EJ, Gouw AS, Limburg AJ, Slooff MJ, Kleibeuker JH, et al. Nonanastomotic biliary strictures after liver transplantation, part 2: Management, outcome, and risk factors for disease progression. Liver Transpl 2007; 13: 725-32.
- 8. Morelli G, Fazel A, Judah J, Pan JJ, Forsmark C, Draganov P. Rapid-sequence endoscopic management of posttransplant anastomotic biliary strictures. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008; 67: 879-85.
- Welling TH, Heidt DG, Englesbe MJ, Magee JC, Sung RS, Campbell DA, Punch JD, et al. Biliary complications following liver transplantation in the model for end-stage liver disease era: effect of donor, recipient, and technical factors. Liver Transpl 2008; 14: 73-80.
- Catalano MF, Van Dam J, Sivak MV Jr. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in the orthotopic liver transplant patient. *Endoscopy* 1995; 27: 584-8.
- Wojcicki M, Milkiewicz P, Silva M. Biliary tract complications after liver transplantation: a review. *Dig Surg* 2008; 25: 245-57.
- Costamagna G, Pandolfi M, Mutignani M, Spada C, Perri V. Long-term results of endoscopic management of postoperative bile duct strictures with increasing numbers of stents. Gastrointest Endosc 2001; 54: 162-8.
- 13. Verdonk RC, Buis CI, Porte RJ, van der Jagt EJ, Limburg AJ, van den Berg AP, Slooff MJ, et al. Anastomotic biliary strictures after liver transplantation: causes and consequences. *Liver Transpl* 2006; 12: 726-35.
- Buis CI, Verdonk RC, Van der Jagt EJ, van der Hilst CS, Slooff MJ, Haagsma EB, Porte RJ. Nonanastomotic biliary

- strictures after liver transplantation, part 1: Radiological features and risk factors for early vs. late presentation. *Liver Transpl* 2007; 13: 708-18.
- 15. Pasha SF, Harrison ME, Das A, Nguyen CC, Vargas HE, Balan V, Byrne TJ, et al. Endoscopic treatment of anastomotic biliary strictures after deceased donor liver transplantation: outcomes after maximal stent therapy. Gastrointest Endosc 2007; 66: 44-51.
- Tabibian JH, Asham EH, Goldstein L, Han SH, Saab S, Tong MJ, Busuttil RW, et al. Endoscopic treatment with multiple stents for post-liver-transplantation nonanastomotic biliary strictures. Gastrointest Endosc 2009; 69: 1236-43.
- 17. Chen LP, Zhang QH, Chen G, Qian YY, Shi BY, Dong JH. Rapamycin inhibits cholangiocyte regeneration by blocking interleukin-6-induced activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2010; 16: 204-14.
- 18. Molinari M, Berman K, Meeberg G, Shapiro JA, Bigam D, Trotter JF, Kneteman N. Multicentric outcome analysis of sirolimus-based immunosuppression in 252 liver transplant recipients. *Transpl Int* 2010; 23: 155-68.
- Busti AJ, Hooper JS, Amaya CJ, Kazi S. Effects of perioperative antiinflammatory and immunomodulating therapy on surgical wound healing. *Pharmacotherapy* 2005; 25: 1566-91.
- 20. Kahn J, Müller H, Iberer F, Kniepeiss D, Duller D, Rehak P, Tscheliessnigg K. Incisional hernia following liver transplantation: incidence and predisposing factors. *Clin Transplant* 2007; 21: 423-6.
- 21. Sousa JE, Costa MA, Abizaid A, Abizaid AS, Feres F, Pinto IM, Seixas AC, et al. Lack of neointimal proliferation after implantation of sirolimus-coated stents in human coronary arteries: a quantitative coronary angiography and three-dimensional intravascular ultrasound study. *Circulation* 2001; 103: 192-5.
- 22. Tabibian JH, Yeh HC, Singh VK, Cengiz-Seval G, Cameron AM, Gurakar A. Sirolimus may be associated with early recurrence of biliary obstruction in liver transplant patients undergoing endoscopic stenting of biliary strictures. *Ann Hepatol* 2011; 10: 270-6.
- Balderramo D, Bordas JM, Sendino O, Abraldes JG, Navasa M, Llach J, Cardenas A. Complications after ERCP in liver transplant recipients. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2011; 74: 285-94.
- 24. Thethy S, Thomson BNJ, Pleass H, Wigmore SJ, Madhavan K, Akyol M, Forsythe JL, et al. Management of biliary tract complications after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2004; 18: 647-53.
- 25. Aranha MM, Cortez-Pinto H, Costa A, da Silva IB, Camilo ME, de Moura MC, Rodrigues CM. Bile acid levels are increased in the liver of patients with steatohepatitis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008; 20: 519-25.