

**Concise Review** 

# Imaging techniques for assessing hepatic fat content in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

Ernesto Roldan-Valadez,<sup>1</sup> Rafael Favila,<sup>2</sup> Manuel Martínez-López,<sup>1</sup> Misael Uribe,<sup>3</sup> Nahum Méndez-Sánchez<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), an emerging clinical entity with worldwide recognition, is today the most common cause of abnormal liver function tests among adults in the United States. In Mexico City, its prevalence has been reported by our group to be around 14%, but its incidence is higher in the hispanic population in the United States (hispanic population 45%, white population 33%, black population 24%). The main issues in the diagnosis, follow-up, and management of NAFLD are our limited understanding of its pathophysiology and the difficulties involved in developing a noninvasive diagnostic method. Several imaging techniques can detect fatty infiltration of the liver, each with its own advantages and disadvantages. Ultrasound is still in the first option for diagnosis, but its accuracy depends on the operator and the patient's features. Computed tomography can detect hepatic fat content, but only at a threshold of 30%, and it involves ionizing radiation. Magnetic resonance (MR) spectroscopy is probably the most accurate and fastest method of detecting fat, but it is expensive and the necessary software is still not easily available in most MRI units. MR elastography, a new technique to detect liver stiffness, has not been demonstrated to detect NAFLD, and is still undergoing research in patients with hepatitis and cirrhosis. In conclusion, all these imaging tools are limited in their ability to detect coexisting inflammation and fibrosis. In this review, we discuss the radiological techniques currently used to detect hepatic fat content.

Manuscript received and accepted: 25 July 2008

# Key words: Computed tomography, MR elastography, ultrasound, MR spectroscopy, steatosis.

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) occurs at a high frequency in the general population (13–34%).<sup>1,2</sup> In Mexico City, its prevalence has been reported by our group to be around 14%,<sup>3</sup> but its incidence is higher in the hispanic population living in the United States (hispanic population 45%, white population 33%, black population 24%).<sup>4</sup> Today, NAFLD is the most common cause of abnormal liver function tests among adults in United States,<sup>4-8</sup> and will be the second most important cause of liver disease in the future, with an impact higher than that of infectious diseases.<sup>9</sup>

NAFLD is characterized by the accumulation of fat (predominantly triglycerides), constituting more than 5– 10% of the liver weight,<sup>1</sup> and asymptomatic, mild elevations of serum aminotransferase levels, in the absence of excessive alcohol intake or other chronic liver diseases.<sup>10,11</sup> It is also common among patients with insulin resistance (such as that observed in type 2 diabetes mellitus), high plasma leptin levels,<sup>12,13</sup> low levels of adiponectin,<sup>12,14,15</sup> hyperlididemia,<sup>13</sup> and obesity,<sup>6,13,15,16</sup> all of which are components of the metabolic syndrome.<sup>15,17</sup> As the prevalence of obesity increases, the prevalence of NAFLD is increasing worldwide, making it potentially the most common form of chronic liver disease.<sup>10,18</sup>

The Mexican population has a high incidence of overweight<sup>19</sup> (up to 70% of adults between 30 and 60 years of age) and obesity (around 30% of adults older than 20 years).<sup>19,20</sup> Mexicans are also susceptible to the insulin resistance associated with obesity (the phenotype known as the «metabolic syndrome»).<sup>21</sup> It has been suggested that insulin resistance is involved in the pathogenesis of NAFLD. In Mexico, type 2 diabetes mellitus is the first and second causes of death in women and men, respectively, and the prevalence of NAFLD in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus may be as high as 100%.<sup>22</sup> The subclinical nature of NAFLD has led to increased efforts to facilitate its diagnosis and to prevent its potential progression to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), liver cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma.<sup>23</sup>

From a previous study that suggested a total hepatic fat fraction of 30% in living transplantation donors,<sup>24</sup> we have a reference for the maximum acceptable percentage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MRI Unit. Medica Sur Hospital, Mexico City. Mexico.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GE Healthcare. Mexico City. Mexico.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Liver Unit and Department of Biomedical Research. Medica Sur Clinic & Foundation. Mexico City. Mexico.

Address for correspondence:

Nahum Méndez-Sánchez, MD. Liver Research Unit, Medica Sur Clinic & Foundation, Mexico City, Mexico. Puente de Piedra 150, Col. Toriello Guerra, Zip Code 14050, Mexico City, Mexico. Phone number: (525) 55606-6222, ext. 4215. Fax number: (525) 55666-4031 and (525) 55606-1651. E-mail: nmendez@medicasur.org.mx

of fat in clinical practice. The main issues in the diagnosis, follow-up, and management of NAFLD are the difficulties involved in developing a noninvasive diagnostic method and our limited understanding of its pathophysiology. Traditionally, the assessment of liver fat infiltration has been based on a liver biopsy, because the histological determination of fat content in liver biopsy specimens is accepted as the gold standard in the evaluation of donors for split liver transplantation. However, the biopsy procedure is often painful,<sup>25</sup> requires bed rest for 6-8 h,<sup>26</sup> and is associated with discomfort because of its invasive nature, risk of infection, and biliary leakage. More serious drawbacks include bleeding and even a low mortality risk.<sup>27</sup> Biopsies are also subject to sampling error because less than 1/ 50,000th of the liver is available for histological analysis.<sup>28</sup> Furthermore, liver biopsies may not accurately reflect the degree of hepatic steatosis when the distribution of fat infiltration is heterogeneous.<sup>29,30</sup>

Noninvasive imaging techniques, such as ultrasound (US), computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), can detect fatty infiltration of the liver, but they are limited in their ability to detect coexisting inflammation or fibrosis.<sup>28</sup> We discuss the main radiological modalities used in the diagnosis of increased fat accumulation (steatosis) in the hepatic parenchyma.

#### Ultrasound

Ultrasound is much more accessible than CT or MRI, is less expensive, and allows a qualitative assessment of

hepatic fat. In abdominal US evaluations, steatosis appears bright or hyperechoic relative to the adjacent right kidney or spleen.<sup>31,32</sup> The sensitivity of US increases with increasing degrees of steatosis.33 Mild steatosis is characterized by a mild increase in liver echogenicity. Moderate steatosis appears as increased liver echogenicity that obscures the hepatic and portal vein walls (Figure 1). In severe steatosis, there is posterior attenuation of the deep liver parenchyma, which is useful in diagnosing steatosis of more than 30%.<sup>34</sup> However, the ultrasonographic evaluation of steatosis does not exactly match the histopathological quantification of steatosis, so accurate quantification of steatosis is not feasible with the current technology. The degree of fatty infiltration is based upon a visual assessment of the intensity of the echogenicity:<sup>10</sup> grade 0, normal echogenicity; grade 1, slight, diffuse increase in fine echoes in the liver parenchyma, with normal visualization of the diaphragm and intrahepatic vessel borders; grade 2, moderate, diffuse increase in fine echoes, with slightly impaired visualization of the intrahepatic vessels and diaphragm; and grade 3, marked increase in fine echoes, with poor or no visualization of the intrahepatic vessel borders. However, the US method is somewhat subjective.28 In hepatitis accompanied by inflammation and fibrosis,<sup>35,36</sup> the fibrosis may be hyperechoic. However, most of the time, fibrosis and fatty infiltration coexist in cirrhotic patients, in the so-called «fatty-fibrotic pattern».33,37

Several studies have assessed the sensitivity and specificity of US in detecting hepatic steatosis. The sensitivity ranged from 60 to 94% and the specificity from



Figure 1. Characteristic appearance of liver steatosis. A and B, sagittal views of the left lobe of livers with grade 1 and grade 3 steatosis respectively. There is hyperechogenicity with deep attenuation, which makes visualization of the cava vein difficult. C, Hyperechoic liver parenchyma with partial visualization of the suprahepatic veins. D, Comparison of the echogenicity of the right kidney and the right lobe of the liver. The hepatic attenuation allows a qualitative diagnosis of moderate steatosis.

84 to 95%.<sup>37-39</sup> The operator dependency of ultrasound, its inability to precisely quantify the hepatic fat content, and its inability to detect small changes in liver fat with time all potentially limit its use in longitudinal clinical studies.<sup>28</sup>

#### **Computed tomography**

Steatosis results in a reduction in the attenuation of the liver, which can be measured in Hounsfield units (HU) and appears as hypodense liver parenchyma.<sup>40-42</sup> Unenhanced CT images are used for qualitative evaluations and the spleen is used as the reference organ for comparisons. Knowledge of the attenuation value in the healthy liver (50-57 HU) on noncontrast-enhanced CT, and its reduction by 1.6 HU for each milligram of triglycerides deposited per gram of hepatic tissue<sup>43</sup> facilitates several methods of determining the appropriate CT values.44 These methods include the measurement of hepatic attenuation only<sup>45</sup> and the normalization of the hepatic attenuation to the splenic attenuation, the measurement of the difference in attenuation between the liver and the spleen,<sup>46-48</sup> and the calculation of the ratio of these values.41

The pattern of hepatic fatty changes can be graded as: 0, normal; 1, diffuse, homogeneous; 2, geographic pattern; 3, focal; and 4, focal sparing (pseudotumor, glove pattern, simulating metastasis). The severity of hepatic fatty infiltration is graded as: grade 0, normal; grade 1, liver attenuation slightly less than that of the spleen; grade 2, more pronounced difference between the liver and spleen, and the intrahepatic vessels are not visible or show slightly higher attenuation than that of the liver; and grade 3, markedly reduced liver attenuation, with sharp contrast between the liver and intrahepatic vessels.<sup>10</sup>

In quantitative evaluations, a spleen-to-liver attenuation ratio with a cut-off value of 1.1 has been proposed to exclude moderate steatosis.<sup>40</sup> Calculating the difference between the attenuation of the spleen and that of the liver can also be used to evaluate steatosis. The attenuation of the spleen is approximately 8–10 HU less than that of the liver in a normal patient, whereas a liver-to-spleen attenuation difference greater than 10 HU is highly predictive of hepatic steatosis (*Figure 2*).<sup>46</sup>

Enhanced CT has a limited role in the diagnosis of steatosis because of the influence of the contrast injection rate and the timing of the analysis of liver attenuation, which can significantly influence the optimal liver-minus-spleen attenuation difference necessary for a diagnosis of fatty liver.<sup>47,48</sup> It has been suggested that muscle, rather than spleen, may be a better qualitative standard reference for diagnosing fatty liver on contrast-enhanced CT (the liver has a lower attenuation value than muscle),<sup>49</sup> although such a comparison can only be made successfully if the degree of fatty infiltration is severe.<sup>28</sup> From the assessment of hepatic steatosis in transplant donors, it has been concluded that unenhanced CT performs very well in diagnosing steatosis of 30% or more, with 100% specificity and 82% sensitivity (similar sensitivity is found with a liver/spleen attenuation ratio of 0.8 and a difference of 9 HU between the attenuations of the liver and spleen).<sup>50</sup>

However, the best method for predicting the pathological fat content of the liver with CT is the simple measurement of liver attenuation on unenhanced CT scans. Therefore, the attenuation measurement of the spleen does not contribute to the prediction of hepatic fat content.<sup>44</sup> The use of these criteria can be helpful in avoiding biopsies for moderately steatotic livers.<sup>51</sup>

Other considerations in the use of CT include differences in the attenuation values of CT scanners obtained from different vendors. Even when using the same CT scanner, the attenuation of fat varies with the patient's size and position, and with imaging artifacts, and can actually vary between images from a single patient.<sup>52</sup> The small (less than 1 cm diameter) regions of interests (ROIs) used by some researchers could be changed to ROIs with larger diameters (still within the hepatic parenchyma, avoiding vessels and bile ducts), and this might be more accurate because, theoretically, a larger ROI value should give a more accurate result.<sup>44,53</sup>

It should be noted that up to 40% of patients with NASH may have an increased iron content in the liver,<sup>54</sup> which will alter the hepatic attenuation on CT. Many of these patients also have increased levels of glycogen, which is known to increase the attenuation of the liver, further confounding attempts to quantify hepatic fat on the basis of attenuation.<sup>52</sup> A recent study concluded that the diagnostic performance of unenhanced CT in the quantitative assessment of macrovesicular steatosis is not clinically acceptable.<sup>50</sup> Moreover, CT scanning has the drawback of exposing subjects to ionizing radiation. These two factors limit its potential use in longitudinal studies and in children.

# Magnetic resonance imaging and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy

The nuclear magnetic resonance (MR) phenomenon was first reported by Bloch et al. in 1946.<sup>28</sup> The clinical MR technique exploits the quantum mechanical property of spin behavior in hydrogen-1, a source of angular momentum intrinsic to nuclei with an odd mass number. When placed in a magnetic field, they behave like magnetic dipoles, aligning parallel to the applied static magnetic field. When excited with nonionizing radiofrequency energy, this alignment of the nuclei is disturbed. During relaxation, the nuclei return to their original orientation, giving off a radiofrequency signal, which is detected by a receiver coil. This signal is resolved by a computer-based mathematical process into either an im-



Figure 2. Severe hepatic steatosis. A-B, Unenhanced CT. There is a difference greater than 10 HU between the attenuation values of the liver (13-18 HU) and the spleen (31 HU). The attenuation of the liver parenchyma is also 40 HU lower than the normal value, which is sufficient to allow a diagnosis of grade II steatosis. C-D, Enhanced CT in the portal phase. There is less enhancement of the liver parenchyma (53 HU) than of the hepatic vessels and the spleen (103 HU). Again, there is a difference of more than 10 HU in the spleen-liver attenuation values.

age, providing anatomical information (MRI), or a frequency spectrum, providing biochemical information (MRS).<sup>55,56</sup>

# **In-/Out-of-Phase Method**

The sequence used for this purpose is a breathhold T1weighted gradient-echo in-/out-of-phase sequence.<sup>57-59</sup> This technique has been used to evaluate patients before living-related liver transplantation and has shown promise for the noninvasive evaluation of steatosis.<sup>29,60</sup>

This sequence can be obtained with all types of MR scanners with different magnetic intensities including 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 3 T, but echo time (TE) values for the in and out phases decrease according to the magnetic power of the scanner. In the presence of steatosis, a signal drop is observed on the out-of-phase images because of the phase cancellation by fat and water (*Figure 3*).

Quantitative measure of signal loss from in-phase to opposed-phase images can be obtained by measuring an ROI in the left and right lobes (excluding vessels and areas of motion artifacts, to minimize partial volume effects) and calculating a ratio using the spleen as the internal reference. The ratio can be calculated with the following formula:

$$SDR \cdot \frac{L_{op}/S_{op}}{L_{ip}/S_{op}}$$

where SDR is the signal drop, Lop and Lip are the liver out-of-phase and in-phase signals, respectively, and Sop and Sip are the spleen out-of-phase and in-phase signals, respectively. The lower the ratio, the greater the signal drop and thus the higher the fat content.

With this method, the reported sensitivity is 100%, the specificity is 90.4%, the positive predictive value is 50%, the negative predictive value is 100%, and the overall accuracy is 91.2%.<sup>60</sup> Recently, this sequence has been optimized for the quantitative measurement of the fat fraction in the liver by applying dual flip angles (20 and 70 degrees) to resolve the ambiguity of the dominant constituent.<sup>61</sup>



Figure 3. Magnetic resonance imaging of the liver, axial plane. A–B, In- and out-of-phase gradient echo images, respectively. There is a subtle signal drop resulting from the phase cancellation of fat and water in this patient with grade I steatosis.

#### **Proton MRS**

Proton MRS allows the examination of the resonance frequencies of all hydrogen nuclei (protons) within an ROI.<sup>28</sup> Although the absolute differences in resonance frequencies in MRS are quite small, the concentration of any given molecule in a sample is proportional to the area under the specific resonance peak within the spectrum. The MR spectra are plotted on an axis of chemical shift. Frequency separation, and hence spectral resolution, is determined by the strength of the main magnetic field. The quantification of hepatic fat using proton MRS requires the evaluation of the two dominant peaks within the unsuppressed MR spectrum, water at 4.7 ppm and lipid at 1.0-1.5 ppm.<sup>62</sup> Saggital, coronal, and axial slices through the right lobe of the liver are acquired, and a small voxel of 27 cm3 volume is used, avoiding major blood vessels, intrahepatic bile ducts, and the lateral margins of the liver. After the system has been tuned and shimmed, the spectra are collected.<sup>4</sup> Several studies have shown MRS to be a fast, safe, noninvasive method for the quantification of hepatic fat content (63-65), and the reported diagnostic precision is about 80%-85%, with 87-100% sensitivity.66

In the presence of hepatic steatosis, MRS shows an increase in the intensity of the lipid resonance peak (*Figure 4*). Because MRS allows the direct measurement of the area under the lipid resonance peak, it can be used to provide a quantitative assessment of fatty infiltration of the liver.

### Transient, real-time elastography and MR elastography

Although these methods are used in the assessment of liver fibrosis, they can also be used in conjunction with the methods described above to further characterize pathologies such as NASH, which may have a significant fibrosis component.

These new diagnostic modalities address the physical properties of the liver.<sup>67</sup> *Ex vivo* and intraoperative stud-

ies have shown that liver elasticity correlates with the degree of fibrosis found in biopsy specimens and with the results of liver function tests.<sup>68–72</sup> Ultrasonography-based techniques have been proposed for the noninvasive assessment of tissue elasticity,<sup>73–76</sup> and *in vivo* measurement of liver fibrosis has proved feasible with some of these methods.<sup>74,77,78</sup>

The transient real-time elastography method is performed with an ultrasound transducer probe mounted on the axis of a vibrator.<sup>79</sup> The vibration is transmitted toward the liver, inducing an elastic shear wave that propagates through the tissue. These propagations are followed by pulse-echo sonographic acquisitions, and the velocity of the propagation (directly related to tissue stiffness) is measured. The harder the tissue, the faster the shear wave propagates.<sup>79–82</sup>

#### **MR** elastography

MR elastography uses a modified phase-contrast MRI sequence to visualize propagating shear waves in tissue<sup>83,84</sup> (Figure 5). For liver MRI, it uses a 90 Hz driving frequency. This relatively short wavelength allows several waves to be imaged in the anterior portion of the liver.85 Subcostal and transcostal approaches yield similar estimations of normal liver stiffness (1.9 and 2.1 kPa, respectively, for a 90 Hz driving frequency). However, the optimum frequency is yet to be determined.<sup>85</sup> Lower frequencies are less attenuated and allow the estimation of stiffness in deeper portions of the liver. If liver shear stiffness is measured at several different frequencies, it should be possible, in principle, to calculate the shear viscosity of the liver tissue, which is potentially an independent parameter for tissue characterization.<sup>86</sup> This technique has also been applied to quantitatively assess the viscoelastic properties of the breast, brain, and muscle in humans.87

Steatosis from NAFLD could also, theoretically, influence liver stiffness measurements.<sup>86</sup> However, a study by Sandrini<sup>77</sup> found no influence of steatosis on liver elasticity. It is possible with MRI to estimate the degree of







Figure 5. Schematic representation of image generation in MR elastography. The double-headed arrows indicate the vibrational motion of the driver in these axial MR images. The driver (rectangle) can be positioned below the ribs or against the anterior part of the rib cage. The shear waves reach only a limited part of the liver. The vibrational motion of the driver is perpendicular to its surface. A, Planes orthogonal to the surface of the driver. B, Oblique planes passing through the center of the driver.

steatosis by obtaining dual-echo gradient-echo images<sup>88</sup> in the same plane as MR elastographic images. MR elastography could be a convenient complement to MRS in estimating noninvasively the degree of steatosis and the level of liver stiffness. However, MR elastography requires further study before it can be introduced into clinical practice. Current research is oriented toward discriminating different stages of fibrosis in hepatitis and cirrhosis. Its combination with laboratory values (for example,

the aspartate transaminase-to-platelet ratio index, APRI test)<sup>79</sup> may further improve the specificity and sensitivity of the noninvasive estimation of liver fibrosis.67

# Conclusions

Current noninvasive methods for the diagnosis of NAFLD offer reasonable sensitivity and specificity. They can be used to complement biochemical markers

	US	СТ	MRI
Assessment	Qualitative	Qualitative and quantitative	Qualitative and quantitative
Cost	Low cost	Medium cost	Expensive
Method	Visual comparison	Liver attenuation, liver-spleen attenuation ratio	Spectroscopy, in- and out-of-phase, T2-FatSat
Guide for liver biopsy	Yes	Yes	Yes
Accuracy	Depends on operator and patient features	Not operator dependent	Not operator dependent
Acquisition time	Variable, 5–20 min, depends on operator	Less than 5 min	10–15 min (1 univoxel spectrum can last less than 1 min)
Availability	Equipment present in most hospitals	Equipment present in most hospitals	Software not available in all MRI units
Use of radiation	None	Ionizing radiation	None
Nephrotoxic contrast agent	None	Some methods use iodide contrast agents	None
Threshold of detection	Patients with more than 30% steatosis	Patients with more than 30% steatosis	Detects grade I steatosis (5–30%)
Distinguishes NASH from NAFLD	No	No	No (some sequences can quantify fibrosis)

Table I. Advantages and disadvantages of current noninvasive methods of quantifying hepatic fat content.

in assessing the severity of steatosis.<sup>15</sup> *Table I* shows the main advantages and disadvantages of these imaging modalities.

The US examination continues to be the first option in diagnosing NAFLD, because it is inexpensive and has no adverse effects, but its accuracy depends on the prevalence of steatosis. CT is cost-effective and accurate in assessing hepatic fat, requires only unenhanced CT, and can detect focal lesions. However, the patient is exposed to significant ionizing radiation. Both methods have a threshold of more than 33% fat.

MRI, with its different sequences, is becoming the best quantitative method of diagnosing steatosis because it allows the detection of fat fractions of less than 33%. Its disadvantages include its high cost and the limited availability in most hospitals of the software required for the post processing of the data, even when an MRI scanner is available.

No noninvasive technique can distinguish NASH and other forms of NAFLD, a distinction that has important prognostic implications.<sup>89</sup> The patient's particular clinical history and perhaps a liver biopsy continue to be required for the appropriate management of some particular cases.

#### References

- Lupsor M, Badea R. Imaging diagnosis and quantification of hepatic steatosis: is it an accepted alternative to needle biopsy? *Rom J Gastroenterol* 2005; 14: 419-425.
- Victor RG, Haley RW, Willett DL, Peshock RM, Vaeth PC, Leonard D, Basit M, et al. The Dallas Heart Study: a population-based probability sample for the multidisciplinary study of ethnic differences in cardiovascular health. *Am J Cardiol* 2004; 93: 1473-1480.
- Lizardi-Cervera J, Laparra DI, Chavez-Tapia NC, Ostos ME, Esquivel MU. Prevalence of NAFLD and metabolic syndrome in asymtomatics subjects. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex* 2006; 71: 453-459.

- Browning JD, Szczepaniak LS, Dobbins R, Nuremberg P, Horton JD, Cohen JC, Grundy SM, et al. Prevalence of hepatic steatosis in an urban population in the United States: impact of ethnicity. *Hepatology* 2004; 40: 1387-1395.
- 5. Mendez-Sanchez N, Chavez-Tapia NC, Uribe M. An update on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Rev Invest Clin* 2004; 56: 72-82.
- Clark JM, Brancati FL, Diehl AM. The prevalence and etiology of elevated aminotransferase levels in the United States. Am J Gastroenterol 2003; 98: 960-967.
- Mendez-Sanchez N, Arrese M, Zamora-Valdes D, Uribe M. Current concepts in the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int* 2007; 27: 423-433.
- Clark JM. The epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adults. J Clin Gastroenterol 2006;40 Suppl 1: S5-10.
- Mendez-Sanchez N, Villa AR, Chavez-Tapia NC, Ponciano-Rodriguez G, Almeda-Valdes P, Gonzalez D, Uribe M. Trends in liver disease prevalence in Mexico from 2005 to 2050 through mortality data. *Ann Hepatol* 2005; 4: 52-55.
- Saadeh S, Younossi ZM, Remer EM, Gramlich T, Ong JP, Hurley M, Mullen KD, et al. The utility of radiological imaging in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 123: 745-750.
- Mendez-Sanchez N, Motola-Kuba D, Bahena-Aponte J, Chavez-Tapia N, Pichardo-Bahena R, Uribe M. Hypertransaminasemia and severe hepatic steatosis without inflammation. A case report. *Ann Hepatol* 2003; 2: 183-185.
- Mendez-Sanchez N, Chavez-Tapia NC, Villa AR, Sanchez-Lara K, Zamora-Valdes D, Ramos MH, Uribe M. Adiponectin as a protective factor in hepatic steatosis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005; 11: 1737-1741.
- Mendez-Sanchez N, Chavez-Tapia NC, Zamora-Valdes D, Medina-Santillan R, Uribe M. Hepatobiliary diseases and insulin resistance. *Curr Med Chem* 2007; 14: 1988-1999.
- Mendez-Sanchez N, Chavez-Tapia NC, Zamora-Valdes D, Uribe M. Adiponectin, structure, function and pathophysiological implications in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 2006; 6: 651-656.
- 15. Mendez-Sanchez N, Chavez-Tapia NC, Medina-Santillan R, Villa AR, Sanchez-Lara K, Ponciano-Rodriguez G, Ramos MH, et al. The efficacy of adipokines and indices of metabolic syndrome as predictors of severe obesity-related hepatic steatosis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2006; 51: 1716-1722.
- Marchesini G, Brizi M, Morselli-Labate AM, Bianchi G, Bugianesi E, McCullough AJ, Forlani G, et al. Association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with insulin resistance. *Am J Med* 1999; 107: 450-455.

- Marchesini G, Brizi M, Bianchi G, Tomassetti S, Bugianesi E, Lenzi M, McCullough AJ, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a feature of the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes* 2001; 50: 1844-1850.
- Seidell JC. Obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes—a worldwide epidemic. Br J Nutr 2000; 83 Suppl 1: S5-8.
- Rojas R, Palma O, I. Q: Salud Adultos. In: Olaiz G, Rivera J, Shamah T, Rojas R, Villalpando S, Hernández M, J. S, eds. Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición 2006. Segunda, octubre de 2006 ed. Cuernavaca, Morelos, México: Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública, 2006: 75-82.
- Hedley AA, Ogden CL, Johnson CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among US children, adolescents, and adults, 1999-2002. JAMA 2004; 291: 2847-2850.
- Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA 2002; 287: 356-359.
- Tolman KG, Fonseca V, Tan MH, Dalpiaz A. Narrative review: hepatobiliary disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 141: 946-956.
- Bugianesi E, Leone N, Vanni E, Marchesini G, Brunello F, Carucci P, Musso A, et al. Expanding the natural history of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: from cryptogenic cirrhosis to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 123: 134-140.
- Marcos A, Fisher RA, Ham JM, Olzinski AT, Shiffman ML, Sanyal AJ, Luketic VA, et al. Selection and outcome of living donors for adult to adult right lobe transplantation. *Transplantation* 2000; 69: 2410-2415.
- Castera L, Negre I, Samii K, Buffet C. Pain experienced during percutaneous liver biopsy. *Hepatology* 1999; 30: 1529-1530.
- Grant A, Neuberger J. Guidelines on the use of liver biopsy in clinical practice. British Society of Gastroenterology. *Gut* 1999; 45 Suppl 4: IV1-IV11.
- Ryan CK, Johnson LA, Germin BI, Marcos A. One hundred consecutive hepatic biopsies in the workup of living donors for right lobe liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2002; 8: 1114-1122.
- Mehta SR, Thomas EL, Bell JD, Johnston DG, Taylor-Robinson SD. Non-invasive means of measuring hepatic fat content. World J Gastroenterol 2008; 14: 3476-3483.
- 29. Rinella ME, McCarthy R, Thakrar K, Finn JP, Rao SM, Koffron AJ, Abecassis M, et al. Dual-echo, chemical shift gradient-echo magnetic resonance imaging to quantify hepatic steatosis: Implications for living liver donation. *Liver Transpl* 2003; 9: 851-856.
- Wenker JC, Baker MK, Ellis JH, Glant MD. Focal fatty infiltration of the liver: demonstration by magnetic resonance imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1984; 143: 573-574.
- Valls C, Iannacconne R, Alba E, Murakami T, Hori M, Passariello R, Vilgrain V. Fat in the liver: diagnosis and characterization. *Eur Radiol* 2006; 16: 2292-2308.
- 32. Mottin CC, Moretto M, Padoin AV, Swarowsky AM, Toneto MG, Glock L, Repetto G. The role of ultrasound in the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis in morbidly obese patients. *Obes Surg* 2004; 14: 635-637.
- Joy D, Thava VR, Scott BB. Diagnosis of fatty liver disease: is biopsy necessary? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003; 15: 539-543.
- 34. Palmentieri B, de Sio I, La Mura V, Masarone M, Vecchione R, Bruno S, Torella R, et al. The role of bright liver echo pattern on ultrasound B-mode examination in the diagnosis of liver steatosis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2006; 38: 485-489.
- 35. Hepburn MJ, Vos JA, Fillman EP, Lawitz EJ. The accuracy of the report of hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography in patients infected with hepatitis C in a clinical setting: a retrospective observational study. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2005; 5: 14.
- 36. Mathiesen UL, Franzen LE, Aselius H, Resjo M, Jacobsson L, Foberg U, Fryden A, et al. Increased liver echogenicity at ultrasound examination reflects degree of steatosis but not of fibrosis in asymptomatic patients with mild/moderate abnormalities of liver transaminases. *Dig Liver Dis* 2002; 34: 516-522.

- Joseph AE, Saverymuttu SH, al-Sam S, Cook MG, Maxwell JD. Comparison of liver histology with ultrasonography in assessing diffuse parenchymal liver disease. *Clin Radiol* 1991; 43: 26-31.
- Debongnie JC, Pauls C, Fievez M, Wibin E. Prospective evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of liver ultrasonography. *Gut* 1981; 22: 130-135.
- 39. Saverymuttu SH, Joseph AE, Maxwell JD. Ultrasound scanning in the detection of hepatic fibrosis and steatosis. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1986; 292: 13-15.
- 40. Iwasaki M, Takada Y, Hayashi M, Minamiguchi S, Haga H, Maetani Y, Fujii K, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of graft steatosis in living donor liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 2004; 78: 1501-1505.
- Ricci C, Longo R, Gioulis E, Bosco M, Pollesello P, Masutti F, Croce LS, et al. Noninvasive *in vivo* quantitative assessment of fat content in human liver. *J Hepatol* 1997; 27: 108-113.
- 42. Nishino M, Hayakawa K, Nakamura Y, Morimoto T, Mukaihara S. Effects of tamoxifen on hepatic fat content and the development of hepatic steatosis in patients with breast cancer: high frequency of involvement and rapid reversal after completion of tamoxifen therapy. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2003; 180: 129-134.
- Bydder GM, Chapman RW, Harry D, Bassan L, Sherlock S, Kreel L. Computed tomography attenuation values in fatty liver. J Comput Tomogr 1981; 5: 33-35.
- 44. Kodama Y, Ng CS, Wu TT, Ayers GD, Curley SA, Abdalla EK, Vauthey JN, et al. Comparison of CT methods for determining the fat content of the liver. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007; 188: 1307-1312.
- 45. Ducommun JC, Goldberg HI, Korobkin M, Moss AA, Kressel HY. The relation of liver fat to computed tomography numbers: a preliminary experimental study in rabbits. *Radiology* 1979; 130: 511-513.
- 46. Piekarski J, Goldberg HI, Royal SA, Axel L, Moss AA. Difference between liver and spleen CT numbers in the normal adult: its usefulness in predicting the presence of diffuse liver disease. *Radiology* 1980; 137: 727-729.
- 47. Johnston RJ, Stamm ER, Lewin JM, Hendrick RE, Archer PG. Diagnosis of fatty infiltration of the liver on contrast enhanced CT: limitations of liver-minus-spleen attenuation difference measurements. *Abdom Imaging* 1998; 23: 409-415.
- Jacobs JE, Birnbaum BA, Shapiro MA, Langlotz CP, Slosman F, Rubesin SE, Horii SC. Diagnostic criteria for fatty infiltration of the liver on contrast-enhanced helical CT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1998; 171: 659-664.
- Panicek DM, Giess CS, Schwartz LH. Qualitative assessment of liver for fatty infiltration on contrast-enhanced CT: is muscle a better standard of reference than spleen? J Comput Assist Tomogr 1997; 21: 699-705.
- Park SH, Kim PN, Kim KW, Lee SW, Yoon SE, Park SW, Ha HK, et al. Macrovesicular hepatic steatosis in living liver donors: use of CT for quantitative and qualitative assessment. *Radiology* 2006; 239: 105-112.
- Brancatelli G. Science to practice: Should biopsy be performed in potential liver donors when unenhanced CT shows an unacceptable degree of steatosis for transplantation? *Radiology* 2006; 239: 1-2.
- 52. Reeder SB, Ranallo F, Taylor AJ. CT and MRI for determining hepatic fat content. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008; 190: W167; author reply W168.
- 53. Birnbaum BA, Hindman N, Lee J, Babb JS. Multi-detector row CT attenuation measurements: assessment of intra- and interscanner variability with an anthropomorphic body CT phantom. *Radiology* 2007; 242: 109-119.
- 54. George DK, Goldwurm S, MacDonald GA, Cowley LL, Walker NI, Ward PJ, Jazwinska EC, et al. Increased hepatic iron concentration in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is associated with increased fibrosis. *Gastroenterology* 1998; 114: 311-318.
- 55. Taylor-Robinson SD. Applications of magnetic resonance spectroscopy to chronic liver disease. *Clin Med* 2001; 1: 54-60.
- Cox IJ. Development and applications of *in vivo* clinical magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 1996; 65: 45-81.

- 57. Danet IM, Semelka RC, Braga L. MR imaging of diffuse liver disease. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2003; 41: 67-87.
- 58. Levenson H, Greensite F, Hoefs J, Friloux L, Applegate G, Silva E, Kanel G, et al. Fatty infiltration of the liver: quantification with phase-contrast MR imaging at 1.5 T vs biopsy. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1991; 156: 307-312.
- 59. Pilleul F, Chave G, Dumortier J, Scoazec JY, Valette PJ. Fatty infiltration of the liver. Detection and grading using dual T1 gradient echo sequences on clinical MR system. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 2005; 29: 1143-1147.
- 60. Kim SH, Lee JM, Han JK, Lee JY, Lee KH, Han CJ, Jo JY, et al. Hepatic macrosteatosis: predicting appropriateness of liver donation by using MR imaging—correlation with histopathologic findings. *Radiology* 2006; 240: 116-129.
- Hussain HK, Chenevert TL, Londy FJ, Gulani V, Swanson SD, McKenna BJ, Appelman HD, et al. Hepatic fat fraction: MR imaging for quantitative measurement and display—early experience. *Radiology* 2005; 237: 1048-1055.
- 62. Siegelman ES, Rosen MA. Imaging of hepatic steatosis. *Semin Liver Dis* 2001; 21: 71-80.
- 63. Thomas EL, Hamilton G, Patel N, O'Dwyer R, Dore CJ, Goldin RD, Bell JD, et al. Hepatic triglyceride content and its relation to body adiposity: a magnetic resonance imaging and proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Gut* 2005; 54: 122-127.
- Kotronen A, Westerbacka J, Bergholm R, Pietilainen KH, Yki-Jarvinen H. Liver fat in the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2007; 92: 3490-3497.
- 65. Seppala-Lindroos A, Vehkavaara S, Hakkinen AM, Goto T, Westerbacka J, Sovijarvi A, Halavaara J, et al. Fat accumulation in the liver is associated with defects in insulin suppression of glucose production and serum free fatty acids independent of obesity in normal men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2002; 87: 3023-3028.
- 66. Kim H, Taksali SE, Dufour S, Befroy D, Goodman TR, Petersen KF, Shulman GI, et al. Comparative MR study of hepatic fat quantification using single-voxel proton spectroscopy, two-point dixon and three-point IDEAL. *Magn Reson Med* 2008; 59: 521-527.
- 67. Gulizia R, Ferraioli G, Filice C. Open questions in the assessment of liver fibrosis using real-time elastography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008; 190: W370-371; author reply W372-373.
- Yamanaka N, Okamoto E, Toyosaka A, Ohashi S, Tanaka N. Consistency of human liver. J Surg Res 1985; 39: 192-198.
- Nishizaki T, Matsumata T, Kamakura T, Adachi E, Sugimachi K. Significance of intraoperative measurement of liver consistency prior to hepatic resection. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1995; 42: 5-8.
- 70. Kusaka K, Harihara Y, Torzilli G, Kubota K, Takayama T, Makuuchi M, Mori M, et al. Objective evaluation of liver consistency to estimate hepatic fibrosis and functional reserve for hepatectomy. J Am Coll Surg 2000; 191: 47-53.
- Carter FJ, Frank TG, Davies PJ, McLean D, Cuschieri A. Measurements and modelling of the compliance of human and porcine organs. *Med Image Anal* 2001; 5: 231-236.
- 72. Yeh WC, Li PC, Jeng YM, Hsu HC, Kuo PL, Li ML, Yang PM, et al. Elastic modulus measurements of human liver and correlation with pathology. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2002; 28: 467-474.
- 73. Ophir J, Cespedes I, Ponnekanti H, Yazdi Y, Li X. Elastography: a quantitative method for imaging the elasticity of biological tissues. *Ultrason Imaging* 1991; 13: 111-134.

- 74. Sanada M, Ebara M, Fukuda H, Yoshikawa M, Sugiura N, Saisho H, Yamakoshi Y, et al. Clinical evaluation of sonoelasticity measurement in liver using ultrasonic imaging of internal forced low-frequency vibration. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2000; 26: 1455-1460.
- Sandrin L, Tanter M, Catheline S, Fink M. Shear modulus imaging with 2-D transient elastography. *IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control* 2002; 49: 426-435.
- Sandrin L, Tanter M, Gennisson JL, Catheline S, Fink M. Shear elasticity probe for soft tissues with 1-D transient elastography. *IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control* 2002; 49: 436-446.
- 77. Sandrin L, Fourquet B, Hasquenoph JM, Yon S, Fournier C, Mal F, Christidis C, et al. Transient elastography: a new noninvasive method for assessment of hepatic fibrosis. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2003; 29: 1705-1713.
- Saito H, Tada S, Nakamoto N, Kitamura K, Horikawa H, Kurita S, Saito Y, et al. Efficacy of non-invasive elastometry on staging of hepatic fibrosis. *Hepatol Res* 2004; 29: 97-103.
- Friedrich-Rust M, Ong MF, Herrmann E, Dries V, Samaras P, Zeuzem S, Sarrazin C. Real-time elastography for noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis in chronic viral hepatitis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2007; 188: 758-764.
- Ziol M, Handra-Luca A, Kettaneh A, Christidis C, Mal F, Kazemi F, de Ledinghen V, et al. Noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis by measurement of stiffness in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2005; 41: 48-54.
- Castera L, Vergniol J, Foucher J, Le Bail B, Chanteloup E, Haaser M, Darriet M, et al. Prospective comparison of transient elastography, Fibrotest, APRI, and liver biopsy for the assessment of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2005; 128: 343-350.
- Saftoiu A, Gheonea DI, Ciurea T. Hue histogram analysis of realtime elastography images for noninvasive assessment of liver fibrosis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2007; 189: W232-233.
- Muthupillai R, Lomas DJ, Rossman PJ, Greenleaf JF, Manduca A, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance elastography by direct visualization of propagating acoustic strain waves. *Science* 1995; 269: 1854-1857.
- Muthupillai R, Rossman PJ, Lomas DJ, Greenleaf JF, Riederer SJ, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance imaging of transverse acoustic strain waves. *Magn Reson Med* 1996; 36: 266-274.
- Rouviere O, Yin M, Dresner MA, Rossman PJ, Burgart LJ, Fidler JL, Ehman RL. MR elastography of the liver: preliminary results. *Radiology* 2006; 240: 440-448.
- Kruse SA, Smith JA, Lawrence AJ, Dresner MA, Manduca A, Greenleaf JF, Ehman RL. Tissue characterization using magnetic resonance elastography: preliminary results. *Phys Med Biol* 2000; 45: 1579-1590.
- Dresner MA, Rose GH, Rossman PJ, Muthupillai R, Manduca A, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance elastography of skeletal muscle. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2001; 13: 269-276.
- Fishbein MH, Gardner KG, Potter CJ, Schmalbrock P, Smith MA. Introduction of fast MR imaging in the assessment of hepatic steatosis. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1997; 15: 287-293.
- Dixon JB, Bhathal PS, O'Brien PE. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: predictors of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and liver fibrosis in the severely obese. *Gastroenterology* 2001; 121: 91-100.