

<sup>1</sup> Division of Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Gastroenterology, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, Sao Paulo, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pathology, University of Sao Paulo School of Medicine, Sao Paulo, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Mathematics and Statistic, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

<sup>4</sup> Division of Gastroenterology (Gastrocentro), School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil

**Background:** Co-infection with hepatitis A or B viruses may aggravate liver injury in hepatitis C virus (HCV) infected patients. However, few studies have assessed hepatitis E virus (HEV) and HCV coinfection.

**Aim:** Our goal was to assess the prevalence and impact of HEV infection among Brazilian patients with chronic hepatitis C virus.

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study included adult patients with chronic HCV infection, naïve to antiviral therapy. Prospectively and consecutively recruited from January 2013 to March 2016. 181 patients were enrolled and HEV serology and PCR were performed for all patients.

**Results:** Seropositivity for anti-HEV IgG was detected in 22 (12.0%) and for anti-HEV IgM in 3 (1.6%) patients. HEV RNA was inconclusive in 9 (4.9%) and undetectable in the remaining cases. HEV serology positive cases had more severe liver disease, characterized by liver fibrosis  $\geq 3$  vs  $\leq 2$  ( $p < 0.001$ ), APRI ( $\geq 1.45$ ) ( $p = 0.003$ ) and FIB-4 ( $\geq 3.25$ ) ( $p = 0.001$ ), respectively. Additionally, the odds of diabetes mellitus for HEV positive patients was 3.11 (95%CI 0.99-9.97) times the corresponding odds for HEV negative patients. Furthermore, HEV positive patients had significantly lower survival when compared to their HEV-negative counterparts ( $p = 0.0016$  for death and  $p = 0.0067$  for death or transplantation endpoint).

**Conclusions:** Although seroprevalence of HEV was low, this infection may influence the severity of liver disease and may represent an additional risk for developing diabetes mellitus in HCV patients.

Key-words: Hepatitis E, Chronic hepatitis C, Diabetes mellitus, Liver fibrosis, Cirrhosis, Seroprevalence

**Table 1**

Demographic, clinical, and laboratorial exams characteristics of subjects with anti-HEV positive and negative antibodies.

Variable	HCV group		HEV-HCV group		P value
	n/total	mean $\pm$ SD <sup>†</sup> or %	n/total	mean $\pm$ SD <sup>†</sup> or %	
Age (years)	157	52.5 $\pm$ 12.9	24	57.0 $\pm$ 10.4	0.070
Male	73/157	47%	9/24	38%	0.546
Weight (kg)	150	71.8 $\pm$ 15.3	23	73.4 $\pm$ 23.3	0.757
Height (cm)	148	164.5 $\pm$ 9.9	23	161.2 $\pm$ 12.7	0.243
BMI <sup>a</sup> (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	148	28.5 $\pm$ 5.1	23	27.9 $\pm$ 6.8	0.343
Arterial hypertension	68/151	45%	13/23	57%	0.421
Diabetes mellitus	32/151	21%	12/23	52%	0.003*

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aohep.2021.100371>

## P-6 SOFOSBUVIR CONTAINING REGIMENS ARE SAFE AND EFFECTIVE IN ADOLESCENTS WITH CHRONIC HEPATITIS C INFECTION

Stefan Wirth<sup>1</sup>, Regino Gonzalez-Peralta<sup>2</sup>, Philip Rosenthal<sup>3</sup>, Winita Hardikar<sup>4</sup>, Jessica Wen<sup>5</sup>, Maureen M. Jonas<sup>6</sup>, Naveen Mittal<sup>7</sup>, Mary Whitworth<sup>8</sup>, Ronen Arnon<sup>9</sup>, Chuan-Hao Lin<sup>10</sup>, Yury Lobzin<sup>11</sup>, Rene Romero<sup>12</sup>, Vladimir Chulanov<sup>13</sup>, Girish Subbarao<sup>14</sup>, Jeffrey Teckman<sup>15</sup>, Vyacheslav Morozov<sup>16</sup>, Eric Bassetti<sup>17,\*</sup>,

Kathryn Kersey<sup>17</sup>, Benedetta Massetto<sup>17</sup>, Yanni Zhu<sup>17</sup>, Polina German<sup>17</sup>, Diana M. Brainard<sup>17</sup>, Sanjay Bansal<sup>18</sup>, Karen F. Murray<sup>19</sup>, Kathleen Schwarz<sup>20</sup>, William Balistreri<sup>21</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Helios Medical Center, Witten/Herdecke University, Wuppertal, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Gonzalez Peralta Regino, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

<sup>3</sup> Rosenthal Philip, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup> The Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne, VIC, Australia

<sup>5</sup> The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA

<sup>6</sup> Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>7</sup> UTHSCSA, SA, Texas, USA

<sup>8</sup> Cook Children's Health Care System, Fort Worth, TX, USA

<sup>9</sup> Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York, USA

<sup>10</sup> Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>11</sup> Research Institute of Children's Infections, Federal Biomedical Agency of Russia, Saint Petersburg, Russia

<sup>12</sup> Children's Healthcare of Atlanta and Emory University, Atlanta, USA

<sup>13</sup> Central Scientific-Research Institute of Epidemiology, Moscow, Russia

<sup>14</sup> Riley Hospital for Children at IU Health, Indianapolis, USA

<sup>15</sup> Saint Louis University, Cardinal Glennon Children's Medical Center, St Louis, MO, USA

<sup>16</sup> Medical State University, Samara, Russia

<sup>17</sup> Gilead Sciences, Foster City, CA, USA

<sup>18</sup> Kings College Hospital, London, UK

<sup>19</sup> Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA

<sup>20</sup> Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, US

<sup>21</sup> Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA

**Background:** HCV-specific DAAs have transformed treatment of chronic HCV, but few studies have evaluated these therapies in children.

**Methods:** Patients aged 12–17 years old with chronic GT1 HCV were enrolled into an open-label study to receive 12 weeks of LDV/SOF 90 mg/400 mg once daily, and those with HCV GT2 or GT3 to receive SOF (400 mg once daily) + RBV (15 mg/kg/day) for 12 (GT2) or 24 weeks (GT3), respectively. Primary efficacy endpoint was SVR12. Safety was assessed by adverse events and clinical/laboratory data. Pharmacokinetic (PK) sampling was conducted to confirm the appropriateness of the doses.

**Results:** 150 adolescents (100 GT1, 13 GT2 and 37 GT3) were enrolled and treated. The majority were female (56%), white (90%), treatment naïve (81%), and vertically infected (80%). The mean age was 15 years (range 12–17). LDV, SOF and GS-331007 (primary metabolite) exposures were within the range of adult exposures observed in the SOF and LDV/SOF phase 2/3 studies. The SVR12 rate was 98% in GT1, 100% in GT2 and 97% in GT3; all 3 patients who were considered not to have achieved SVR12 were lost to follow-up. No adverse event (AE) leading to study drug discontinuation or serious AEs have been reported.

**Conclusion:** In adolescents, LDV/SOF for 12 weeks and SOF + RBV for 12 or 24 weeks, resulted in a SVR12 rate of 97–100% with no virologic failures. These regimens were well tolerated, demonstrating their potential as an important treatment option for children with HCV infection.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aohep.2021.100372>