



## Opinion

## Glycemic control, the unconsidered outcome in the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

Diego García-Compeán<sup>a,\*</sup>, Emanuela Orsi<sup>b</sup>, Tsutomu Nishida<sup>c</sup>, Ramesh Kumar<sup>d</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital, Autonomous University of Nuevo León, Madero y Gonzalitos Colonia Mitras CP 64700 Monterrey, N.L. México<sup>b</sup> Diabetes Service, Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases Unit, IRCCS "Ca Granda – Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico" Foundation, and Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan, Milan, Italy<sup>c</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Toyonaka Municipal Hospital, 4-14-1 Shibahara, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8565 Japan<sup>d</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, OPD Block, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Phulwari Sharif, Patna -801507, India

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease

Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

Glycemic control

Diabetes Mellitus

Liver fibrosis

NAFLD is the most common chronic liver disease (CLD) in the world affecting around one third of the population, and 55 % of individuals with diabetes mellitus (DM) [1]. NASH is the severe manifestation of the disease, since it causes steatosis, portal inflammation, ballooning necrosis, and fibrosis, which can progress to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), making NASH the most common indication for liver transplantation in USA and Europe. NAFLD is closely related to metabolic syndrome (MS), which is a constellation of abnormalities, such as obesity, arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia, and DM. It has been known for years that type 2 DM (T2DM) is a major risk factor for the development NAFLD [2], and the progression of liver fibrosis, and is significantly associated to liver complications and mortality [3]. On the other hand, some clinicians have hypothesized that DM and NAFLD are both consequences of prolonged adipose tissue and hepatic insulin resistance, and that subclinical NAFLD likely precedes diabetes in most cases, as it has been demonstrated in lean subjects with NAFLD [4]. The pathophysiologic mechanisms of liver injury, such as inflammation and fibrosis due to T2DM and other metabolic abnormalities, are complex [5] and will not be discussed here.

*Abbreviations:* T2DM, Type 2 diabetes mellitus; NAFLD, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; HCC, Hepatocellular carcinoma; DPP-4, Dipeptidyl peptidase 4; GLP-1R, Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor; SGLT-2, Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2; PPAR, Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor; FXR, Farnesoid X receptor; LXR $\alpha$ , Liver X receptor  $\alpha$ ; FGF, Fibroblast growth factor; ACC, Acetyl CoA carboxylase; SCD1, Steroyl-CoA desaturase; THR $\beta$ , Thyroid hormone receptor  $\beta$ ; CCR, Chemokine receptors

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [digarciacompean@prodigy.net.mx](mailto:digarciacompean@prodigy.net.mx) (D. García-Compeán).

Currently, no pharmacological agent has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of NAFLD. Therefore, an intensive pharmacotherapy research is ongoing, which has focused mainly on agents that act on specific molecular targets switched to liver inflammation and/or fibrosis mechanisms, such as: a) modulators of bile acid agents, such as FXR agonists (obeticholic acid), LXR  $\alpha$  inhibitors (oltipraz), FGF19, and 21 analogues (adalferrin and pegbelferrin); b) modulators of lipid metabolism, such as ACC inhibitors (firsocostat), SCD1 inhibitors (aramchol), diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2 inhibitors, THR $\beta$  selective agonists (resmetirom); c) antifibrotic agents such as CCR2 and 5 inhibitor (ceniciviroc), and others as selonsertif and simtuzumab; and e) antidiabetic agents, such as metformin, DPP4 inhibitors (sitagliptin), GLP-1 agonists (liraglutide), SGLT2 inhibitors (dapagliflozin, canagliflozin), and PPAR agonists (pioglitazone and rosiglitazone), among others. [6]. However, these therapeutic studies have yielded limited and conflicting results and some of them are still in early phases of study. Moreover, glycemic control has not been contrasted with histological changes of NASH in the few studies using antidiabetic drugs [7,8].

In a very recently published study performed in USA by Angelopoulos et al. [9] with 713 biopsy-confirmed NAFLD patients, 49% with DM, 51.3% with advanced fibrosis/cirrhosis, and 69.6% with NASH, glycemic control was assessed through glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) measurements performed for several years preceding liver biopsy. It was found that high HbA1c levels were associated to ballooned hepatocytes, steatosis, and increased fibrosis. Patients with moderate glycemic control had significantly higher severity of ballooned hepatocytes and liver fibrosis than those with good glycemic control. The authors concluded that optimizing glycemic control may be a

means of modifying risk of NASH-related inflammation, and fibrosis progression.

Other studies have obtained similar findings. Hamaguchi et al. [10], in a Japanese study with 39 NAFLD patients who had undergone consecutively liver biopsies in a median follow up of 2.4 years, observed, in a multivariate analysis, that decreased HbA1c and the use of insulin were significantly associated with improvement of liver fibrosis independently of age, sex, and body mass index (BMI). The authors concluded that tight glycemic control may prevent histological progression of NASH. However, this study was limited by the low number of patients and by the fact that histological inflammation markers were not included in the assessment.

Other studies evaluating the impact of glycemic control on NAFLD have used noninvasive methods for assessing liver fibrosis. Tanaka et al. [11] in a study with 1935 NAFLD subjects found, in multivariate analysis, that an HbA1c level  $\geq 6.5\%$  was significantly associated to potential liver fibrosis assessed by Fib-4 ( $p = 0.017$ , hazard ratio = 1.7). The prevalence of NAFLD and liver fibrosis of NAFLD increased according to glycaemia up to 8.0% HbA1c. In a Chinese cross-sectional study performed by Yu CH et al. [12] on 1630 NAFLD patients, a strong association between HbA1c levels and the risk of fibrosis assessed by NAFLD fibrosis Score was observed using a multivariate analysis (OR; 2.69, 95%CI: 1.60–4.53,  $p < 0.001$ ). This association remained significant, even in subjects without diabetes mellitus.

In the above described studies, the antidiabetic pharmacological and/or lifestyle changes regimen in patients who achieved glycemic control, were not described. It has been demonstrated that at least 7–10% of weight loss induces improvement in NAFLD activity score (NAS) and their components (steatosis, lobular inflammation, and ballooning), as well as fibrosis. Improvement of fasting plasma glucose and insulin sensitivity may explain in part the beneficial effects of weight loss and physical exercise. [13].

The effects of glycemic control on histological parameters (particularly fibrosis) of patients with NAFLD had not been demonstrated. The important results of these pioneering studies guarantee the execution of prospective studies with large number of NAFLD patients with intermittent and regular assessment of glycemic control along with sequential histological evaluation, which more clearly determines its effects on the natural history of NAFLD, including clinical surrogates (cardiovascular and liver-related complications, and mortality). It is important to remember that maintaining a tight glycemic control is also essential to prevent both macrovascular and microvascular complications driving to reduction in cardiovascular complications. If the results of these studies are confirmed, they will probably lead to expanding the primary outcomes of pharmacological treatment of NAFLD, and certainly prioritize the objective of obtaining a tight glycemic control with highly effective antidiabetic medication and accessory measures (such as life style changes) as the relevant endpoint in diabetic and possibly non-diabetic patients.

Finally, although HbA1c is considered the “gold standard” for glycemic control in DM, it has some limitations in patients with CLD. Its sensitivity and specificity are lower in patients with moderate to

severe anemia and hepatic impairment due to the curvilinear relationship between HbA1c and erythrocyte turnover as a result of hemorrhage, hemolysis caused by splenomegaly, and impaired erythropoiesis [14]. Therefore, in future studies, in addition of HbA1c, other complementary methods especially those evaluating variability of glycemia such as, self-monitoring, flash or continuous glucose monitoring should be included [15].

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors have not conflicts of interest

## References

- [1] European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), European association for the study of diabetes (EASD), European association for the study of obesity (EASO). EASL-EASD-EASO clinical practice guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2016;64:1388–402.
- [2] Nakahara T, Hyogo H, Yoneda M, Sumida Y, Eguchi Y, Fujii H, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus is associated with the fibrosis severity in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in a large retrospective cohort of Japanese patients. *J Gastroenterol* 2014;49:1477–84.
- [3] Rhee EJ. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetes: an epidemiological perspective. *Endocrinol Metab* 2019;34:226–33.
- [4] Fukuda T, Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Hashimoto Y, Ohbora A, Kato T, et al. The impact of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease on incident type 2 diabetes mellitus in non-overweight individuals. *Liver Int* 2016;36:275–83.
- [5] Parthasarathy G, Revelo X, Malhi H. Pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: an overview. *Hepatol Commun* 2021;4:478–92.
- [6] Targher G, Corey KE, Byrne CD, Roden M. The complex link between NAFLD and type 2 diabetes mellitus - mechanisms and treatments. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;13:599–612.
- [7] Newsome PN, Buchholtz K, Cusi K, Linder M, Okanou T, Ratzju V, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of subcutaneous semaglutide in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *New Engl J Med* 2021;384:1113–24.
- [8] Armstrong MJ, Gaunt P, Aithal GP, Barton D, Hull D, Parker R, et al. Liraglutide safety and efficacy in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. *Lancet* 2016;387:679–90.
- [9] Alexopoulos AS, Matthew J, Crowley MJ, Wang Y, Cynthia A, Moylan CA, et al. Glycemic control predicts severity of hepatocyte ballooning and hepatic fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2021;74:1220–33.
- [10] Hamaguchi E, Takamura T, Sakurai M, Mizukoshi E, Zen Y, Takeshita Y, et al. Histological course of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Japanese patients: tight glycemic control, rather than weight reduction, ameliorates liver fibrosis. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:284–6.
- [11] Tanaka K, Takahashi H, Hyogo H, Ono M, Oza N, Kitajima Y, et al. Epidemiological survey of hemoglobin A1c and liver fibrosis in a general population with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatol Res* 2019;49:296–303.
- [12] Yu C, Wang L, Xue H, Lin H, Li Y, Chan SO. Association of glycated hemoglobin with the risk of advanced fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients without diabetes. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2019;43:58–66.
- [13] Vilar-Gomez E, Martinez-Perez Y, Calzadilla-Bertot L, Torres-Gonzalez A, Gra-Oramas B, Gonzalez-Fabian L, et al. Weight loss through lifestyle modification significantly reduces features of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2015;149:367–78.
- [14] Sehrawat T, Jindal A, Kohli P, Thour A, Kaur J, Sachdev A, et al. Utility and limitations of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in patients with liver cirrhosis as compared with oral glucose tolerance test for diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Ther* 2018;9:243–51.
- [15] Parrinello CM, Selvi E. Beyond HbA1c and glucose: the role of nontraditional glycemic markers in diabetes diagnosis, prognosis and management. *Curr Diab Rep* 2014;14:580.