Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Annals of Hepatology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.es/annalsofhepatology

# Prevalence and impact of Sjögren's syndrome in primary biliary cholangitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Xiaoling Deng<sup>a,1</sup>, Jiahuan Li<sup>b,1</sup>, Shuhui Hou<sup>a</sup>, Bai Ci<sup>a</sup>, Beibei Liu<sup>c</sup>, Keshu Xu<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Gastroenterology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China
<sup>b</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China
<sup>c</sup> Department of Ultrasound, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 20 April 2022 Accepted 17 July 2022 Available online 13 August 2022

Keywords: Prevalence Biochemical characteristics Immunological indexes Autoimmune disease Overlap syndrome

### ABSTRACT

*Introduction and objectives:* We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the prevalence of concomitant Sjögren's syndrome (SS) with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) in adults and quantify the impact of SS on PBC.

*Methods*: PubMed, Web of Science and Cochrane library were searched using subject terms and predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria.

*Results:* Seventeen articles were included. The prevalence of SS in PBC patients ranged from 3.5 to 73% (35% pooled) (95% CI: 28–41%; p < 0.01). Seven studies included various biochemical indicators, including alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP),  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase ( $\gamma$ -GT), total bilirubin (TBiL), albumin (ALB) and platelet (PLT), and immunological indexes including IgG, IgM, antinuclear antibody (ANA), anti-mitochondrial antibody (AMA), AMA-M2 and anti-Ro/Sjögren's syndrome antigen A (SSA) antibodies. Meta-analysis showed that there were no significant differences in ALT, AST, ALP,  $\gamma$ -GT, TBiL and IgM levels between PBS and PBC with SS. Pooled analysis showed that ALB (MD=0.82; 95% CI: 0.08–1.56) and PLT (MD=30.41; 95% CI: 10.16–50.66) levels were lower, IgG levels (MD=1.55; 95% CI: -2.39 to -0.72) were higher, and the positive ratios of ANA (RR=0.92; 95% CI: 0.87–0.98), AMA (RR=0.94; 95% CI: 0.08–0.98), AMA-M2 (RR=0.77; 95% CI: 0.70–0.85) and anti-Ro/SSA antibodies (RR=0.29; 95% CI: 0.08–1.01) were significantly higher in PBC patients with SS than in PBC patients.

*Conclusions*: Our study confirms that SS is common in PBC. Comorbid SS appears to influence the clinical phenotype of PBC and may therefore influence the management of PBC.

© 2022 Fundación Clínica Médica Sur, A.C. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

#### 1. Introduction

Primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) (formerly known as primary biliary cirrhosis) is a chronic autoimmune liver disease characterized by inflammatory destruction of intrahepatic interlobular bile ducts that leads to chronic cholestatic liver disease and eventually progresses to cirrhosis. Population-based studies reported that both the yearly incidence and prevalence of PBC seem to be increasing, and the incidence and prevalence of PBC have an uneven distribution around the world.

\* Corresponding author.

The incidence of this disease is 1.7-54 cases per 100 000 people, while the prevalence is 18-492 cases per 100 000 people globally [1]. PBC has brought severe financial burdens to patients and these countries. The pathogenesis of PBC remains poorly understood. The causes of PBC appear to be a complex multistep process involving genetic and environmental factor interactions [2]. Furthermore, PBC may involve overlapping synthesis between autoimmune hepatology and systemic autoimmune disorders.

Sjögren's syndrome (SS) is a chronic, systemic autoimmune disease characterized by autoimmune epithelitis that leads to the destruction of exocrine glands, such as salivary glands and lacrimal glands, and commonly manifests as dry mouth and eyes. SS is divided into primary SS (pSS), which occurs alone, and secondary SS, which is usually associated with various other autoimmune diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis and PBC [3, 4].

PBC is often associated with various systemic autoimmune diseases, such as thyroid disorders, systemic sclerosis (SSc) and SS. Several studies have indicated that the most common autoimmune

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aohep.2022.100746



Original article





Abbreviation: PBC, primary biliary cholangitis; SS, Sjögren's syndrome; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase;  $\gamma$ -GT,  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase; TBiL, total bilirubin; ALB, albumin; PLT, platelet; ANA, antinuclear antibody; AMA, anti-mitochondrial antibody; SSA, Sjögren's syndrome antigen A; SE, standard error; MD, mean difference; RR, relative risk; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; CTDs, connective tissue diseases; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid

E-mail address: xl2017@hust.edu.cn (K. Xu).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These authors have contributed equally to this work.

<sup>1665-2681/© 2022</sup> Fundación Clínica Médica Sur, A.C. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

disease related to PBC is SS; however, the prevalence of SS in PBC patients differs widely, ranging from 3.5% to 73% [5, 6]. Correspondingly, several studies have shown that the coexistence of SS and PBC highlights the different characteristics of clinical manifestation, laboratory data, and even prognosis compared to PBC alone. However, the characteristics remain a subject of debate.

Thus, the primary aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to evaluate the prevalence of SS in PBC in adult patients. The secondary aim is to compare index conditions between PBC with and without SS, and thereby assess the impact of comorbid SS on PBC.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Search strategy

This study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA). PubMed, Web of Science and Cochrane library were searched independently by two reviewers on 30 June 2021 using search terms: ("Primary biliary cholangitis" OR "primary biliary cirrhosis" OR "PBC") AND ("Sjogren's syndrome" OR "Sjogren syndrome" OR "sicca syndrome" OR "SS"). No language restriction was placed.

#### 2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies met the following inclusion criteria if they: included patients of SS with a pre-existing diagnosis of PBC; stated the percentage of SS in PBC patients; evaluated laboratory parameters in PBC patients with or without SS; and were available in full text.

Studies were excluded if they: included patients of PBC with a pre-existing diagnosis of SS; included insufficient data; were

irrelevant topics, reviews, case reports, letters, comments and nonhuman studies.

#### 2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

The relevant data were independently extracted by two reviewers into predefined tabulated summaries. Data included: first author, year, country; important characteristics of the patients (number, gender, age); the prevalence of SS with PBC; the biochemical and immunological characteristics in PBC patients with or without SS.

The methodological quality of each included study was assessed by two investigators using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). Studies with seven stars or more were deemed high quality.

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

A meta-analysis of prevalence was performed using R4.1.0 software (Wolfgang Viechtbauer). Prevalence estimates were presented as percentages. Meta-analysis of the biochemical and immunological characteristics was performed using Review Manager version 5.3 (RevMan, the Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark).

We calculated the mean differences (MD) and relative risk (RR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% Cls) for the metaanalysis to compare the levels of hematological parameters between patients with PBC and PBC complicating SS. The mean and standard deviation (SD) that reported the median and its interquartile range (IQR) in two studies was calculated using formulas [7].

The  $I^2$  statistic was used to assess the statistical heterogeneity of the meta-analysis. Random effects methods were used when statistical heterogeneity was high ( $I^2$ >75%); otherwise, fixed effects models



Fig. 1. The details of the PRISMA flowchart of the literature search

						Weight	Weight
Study	Events	lotal		Proportion	95%-CI	(fixed)	(random)
Uddenfeldt P et al. 1991 [1]	19	26		0.73	[0.52; 0.88]	0.2%	4.0%
Sakauchi F, et al. 2005 [2]	683	5041	+	0.14	[0.13; 0.15]	36.5%	6.2%
Muratori P et al. 2015 [3]	41	119		0.34	[0.26; 0.44]	0.9%	5.6%
Sakauchi F et al. 2007 [4]	310	1827	<b>H</b>	0.17	[0.15; 0.19]	13.2%	6.2%
Sakauchi F et al. 2007 [4]	895	4322		0.21	[0.20; 0.22]	31.3%	6.2%
Marasini B et al. 2001 [5]	6	170 .	⊷ ¦	0.04	[0.01; 0.08]	1.2%	5.7%
Floreani A et al. 2014 [6]	124	361	<u> </u>	0.34	[0.29; 0.39]	2.6%	6.0%
Mang FW et al. 1997 [7]	65	95	· · · · · ·	0.68	[0.58; 0.78]	0.7%	5.4%
Tsianos EV et al. 1990 [8]	18	38		0.47	[0.31; 0.64]	0.3%	4.5%
Culp K S et al. 1982 [9]	75	113	· · · · ·	0.66	[0.57; 0.75]	0.8%	5.5%
Ni P et al. 2019 [10]	56	282	<u>+</u>	0.20	[0.15; 0.25]	2.0%	5.9%
Watt FE et al. 2004 [11]	40	160	<b>→→</b>	0.25	[0.19; 0.32]	1.2%	5.7%
Wang L et al. 2013 [12]	121	322		0.38	[0.32; 0.43]	2.3%	6.0%
Zhu Y et al. 2016 [13]	13	30		0.43	[0.25; 0.63]	0.2%	4.2%
Zhang FC et al. 2013[14]	93	157		0.59	[0.51; 0.67]	1.1%	5.7%
Liu B et al. 2008 [15]	46	109	<del>  + + −</del>	0.42	[0.33; 0.52]	0.8%	5.5%
Chen CT et al. 2016 [16]	48	125	- <del></del>	0.38	[0.30; 0.48]	0.9%	5.6%
Liu YW et al. 2021 [17]	133	505		0.26	[0.23; 0.30]	3.7%	6.0%
Fixed effect model		13802	0	0.19	[0.19: 0.20]	100.0%	
Random effects model			-	0.35	[0.28: 0.41]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 98\% \tau^2 =$	0 0176 p	< 0.01					
	0.0170, p	0.01	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8				

Fig. 2. Pooled prevalence of SS in PBC patients. CI, confidence interval.

were selected when statistical heterogeneity was low or moderate ( $l^2$ <75%). p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant [8]. Forest plots were produced in order to assess publication bias.

#### 2.5. Register name and registration number

CRD42022346203

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Literature search and study characteristics

A total of 630 relevant articles were identified through the database search. In total, 17 studies met the inclusion criteria in this systematic review and meta-analysis. Of the included studies,

Tabla 1

17 studies reported data on the prevalence of SS in PBC [4–6, 9-22], and 7 studies presented laboratory data [4, 17-20]. The details of the PRISMA flowchart of literature search are provided in Fig. 1.

#### 3.2. Prevalence of SS in PBC

Seventeen studies containing 13802 PBC patients reported the prevalence of SS, which ranged from 3.5% to 73%. In the proportional meta-analysis, the overall prevalence rate of PBC with SS was 35% (95% CI: 28%–41%; p < 0.01) across all studies. Heterogeneity was high between these studies for meta-analysis of prevalence ( $l^2$ =98%), and the random model was selected (Fig. 2). Funnel plots showed the existence of publication bias (Supplementary Fig. S1). The studies with their characteristics are outlined in Table 1.

The characteristics of included	studies in	the meta-analysis.

			-			
Study	Country		Number		Prevalence of SS in PBC	Quality score
		Total	PBC	PBC+SS		
Uddenfeldt et al. 1991 [6]	Sweden	26	26	19	73.0%	5
Sakauchi et al. 2005 [9]	Japan	5805	5041	683	13.5%	7
Muratori et al. 2015 [10]	Italy	327	119	41	34.4%	5
Sakauchi et al. 2007 [11] <sup>a</sup>	Japan	2127	1827	310	17.0%	7
Sakauchi et al. 2007 [11] <sup>b</sup>	Japan	6423	4322	895	20.7%	7
Marasini et al. 2001 [5]	Italy	170	170	6	3.5%	6
Floreani et al. 2014 [12]	Italy	361	361	124	34.3%	8
Mang et al. 1997 [13]	Canada	95	95	65	68.4%	6
Tsianos et al. 1990 [14]	USA	38	38	18	47.4%	7
Culp et al. 1982 [15]	USA	113	113	75	66.0%	5
Ni et al. 2019 [4]	China	282	282	56	20.0%	8
Watt et al. 2004 [16]	UK	160	160	40	25.0%	8
Wang et al. 2013 [17]	China	322	322	121	37.6%	8
Zhu et al. 2016 [18]	China	42	30	13	43.3%	8
Zhang et al. 2013 [19]	China	214	157	93	59.2%	7
Liu et al. 2008 [20]	China	109	109	46	42.2%	8
Chen et al. 2016 [21]	China	125	125	48	38.4%	8
Liu et al. 2021 [22]	China	505	505	133	26.3%	8

<sup>a</sup> and <sup>b</sup>, two different clinical data in the two different years (1999 and 2004) from the same article.

	me
	the
	Ξ.
	studies
	f included
	5
N	data
able	Aain

ta-analysis

haracteristics	Ni et al.	1.2019 [4]	Wang et a	l. 2013 [17]	Zhu et al.	2016 [18]	Zhang et al.	2013 [19]	Liu et al. 2	2008 [20]	Chen et al.	2016 [21]	Liu et al. 2	021 [22
	PBC	PBC+SS	PBC	PBC+SS	PBC	PBC+SS	PBC	PBC+SS	PBC	PBC+SS	PBC	PBC+SS	PBC	PBC+S
\ge	52.5 (43.0-62.0)	51.5 (45.0–58.8)	53±11	53±12	<b>47.18±8.74</b>	$51.2 \pm 10.0$	$50.0 \pm 11.0$	53.0±10.0	$53.0\pm11.0$	58.0±10.0	47.6±7.8	47.8±7.1	$62.7 \pm 12.4$	N/A
emale (%)	189 (83.6)	52 (92.9)	153(89.0)	112 (92.3)	15(88.2)	12 (92.3)	56 (87.5)	90 (96.8)	53(84.1)	40 (87.0)	62 (80.5)	40 (83.3)	N/A	29 (80
ALT	56.5 (34.8-82.0)	62.0(40.0 - 88.5)	79.0±76.0	91.0±75.0	97.51±78.92	$158.05 \pm 111.64$	N/A	N/A	$116.6 \pm 75.8$	112.5±78.8	50.53±20.17	$51.75\pm 20.26$	56.60 (28.70-111.10)	54.20 (
AST	75.0 (48.0-110.0)	65.5 (44.0–97.0)	$76.0\pm62.0$	$90.0\pm 64.0$	$72.43\pm56.59$	74.73±71.43	N/A	N/A	93.6±26.6	96.4±27.3	48.53±22.91	51.79±24.04	70.30 (41.55-114.90)	74.20 (
ALP	280.5 (159.8-431.3)	) 274.0 (143.0-405.6	) 250.0±221.0	287.0±224.0	275.38±275.62	281.75±183.09	N/A	N/A	$519.5\pm471.9$	321.9±324.8	257.31±71.48	262.48±83.44	189.30 (114.40-340.80)	245.8 (
y−GT	257.5 (127.8-459.0)	) 237.0 (95.8-421.5)	320.0±340.0	309.0±290.0	$252.46\pm 202.72$	304.99±186.31	N/A	N/A	367.9±251.2	$255.6 \pm 179.4$	125.66±47.65	$131.81\pm52.47$	168.5 (76.9–358.8)	156.3 (
TBiL	19.3(13.4 - 29.9)	16.1 (12.8-25.3)	N/A	N/A	22.66±23.58	39.22±42.30	N/A	N/A	27.36±3.42	22.23±18.81	23.94±9.92	$26.16\pm8.04$	27.80 (14.90-75.30)	27.90 (
ALB	41.4(35.1 - 44.9)	40.2 (36.5-43.6)	N/A	N/A	36.37±5.52	36.27±4.94	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35.60±3.40	35.00±3.30	34.80 (29.60–38.80)	33.30 (
PLT	127.0 (84.0-192.8)	112.0 (70.5-171.8)	$189.0\pm 82.0$	$135.0\pm69.0$	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	$190.36\pm50.57$	$183.71 \pm 53.51$	129.90 (77.30-196.20)	84.40 (
lgG	16.3(14.2 - 19.4)	19.3 (15.5–22.2)	$17.1 \pm 6.2$	<b>21.0±12.4</b>	$15.68 \pm 7.06$	$16.28 \pm 5.52$	$16.0 \pm 5.0$	20.0±11.0	$19.1 \pm 9.7$	16.1±4.2	N/A	N/A	15.40 (12.50-19.00)	17.70 (
IgM	3.6 (2.3-5.9)	3.7 (2.3-5.0)	4.5±4.7	4.3±3.9	3.34±2.41	2.57±2.76	3.9±2.8	4.8±4.3	5.1±4.1	3.6±2.5	N/A	N/A	2.79(1.58 - 4.25)	2.62 (1
ANA	210/226 (92.9)	56/56 (100.0)	142/169	111/119	7/17 (41.18)	7/13 (53.85)	53/64	83/93	49/63 (77.78)	40/46 (86.96)	N/A	N/A	164/280(58.57)	76/125
positive (%)			(84.02)	(93.28)			(82.81)	(89.25)						
AMA positive	162/226 (71.7)	39/56 (69.6)	153/171	109/120	16/17 (94.12)	13/13 (100.0)	57/64	87/93	57/63 (90.48)	45/46 (97.83)	N/A	N/A	218/312 (69.87)	107/13
(%)			(89.47)	(90.83)			(89.06)	(93.55)						
AMA-M2	N/A	N/A	262/290	N/A	12/17 (70.59)	10/13 (76.92)	N/A	N/A	44/63 (69.84)	45/46 (97.83)	N/A	N/A	191/314(60.83)	101/12
positive (%)			(60.3)											
anti-Ro/SSA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0/64(0)	32/93	9 /63 (14.29)	24/46 (52.17)	N/A	N/A	95/287 (33.10)	57/118
antibodies								(34.41)						
positive (%)														

#### 3.3. Laboratory Analyses

20

0 (82.31) 9 (78.29)

(60.80)

(48.31)

ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase, y-GT, y-glutamyltransferase; TBiL, total bilirubin; ALB, albumin; PLT, platelet; ANA, antinuclear antibody; AMA, anti-mitochondrial antibody; N/A,

Of the included studies, seven articles reported the biochemical and immunological characteristics in PBC patients with or without SS. In terms of different biochemical and immunological indexes, we performed thirteen subgroup meta-analyses, including ALT, AST, ALP,  $\gamma$ -GT, TBiL, ALB, PLT, IgG, IgM, ANA, AMA, AMA-M2, and anti-Ro/SSA antibodies. The characteristics of the studies and clinical parameters are listed in Table 2.

The results from six studies showed that there were no significant differences in ALT, AST, ALP,  $\gamma$ -GT and IgM levels between PBS and PBC with SS [4, 17, 18, 20–22]. Total pooled analysis results from five studies showed that there were no significant differences in TBiL levels between the two groups [4, 18, 20–22]. Other hematological indexes were significantly different between the PBC and PBC with SS groups (Fig. 3).

Four studies consisting of 648 PBC subjects and 250 PBC with SS subjects reported data on ALB [4, 18, 21, 22]. Our meta-analysis demonstrated significantly lower ALB in patients suffering from PBC with SS compared to PBC alone with MD of 0.82 (95% CI: 0.08–1.56; p = 0.03;  $l^2=0\%$ ) (Fig. 4).

Four studies comprised 803 PBC patients and PBC with SS patients and approached the values of PLT [4, 18, 21, 22]. The analysis results showed that PBC patients with comorbid SS had significantly lower levels of PLTs than those with PBC alone (MD=30.41; 95% CI: 10.16 -50.66; p = 0.003;  $I^2=82\%$ ) (Fig. 4).

A total of 870 PBC patients and 462 PBC with SS patients were included from six studies, and the IgG values were evaluated [4, 17–20, 22]. The pooled result in PBC with SS demonstrated a significant increase in IgG compared with PBC alone (MD = -1.55; 95% CI: -2.39 to -0.72; p = 0.0003;  $l^2=73\%$ ) (Fig. 5).

Data from six studies comprised 819 patients with PBC alone and 452 patients with PBC with SS, which were pooled to compare the positive ratio of ANA [4, 17–20, 22]. Pooled analysis indicated that the positive ratio of ANA was higher in PBC patients with SS than in PBC patients (RR=0.92; 95% CI: 0.87–0.98; p = 0.006;  $l^2=0\%$ ) (Fig. 5).

Six studies comprised 853 patients with PBC and 458 patients with PBS and SS reported the ratio of positive AMA [4, 17–20, 22]. Pooled analysis indicated that PBC patients with SS had a higher ratio of positive AMA than PBC patients, with RR 0.94 (95% CI: 0.89–0.98; p = 0.009;  $I^2=17\%$ ) (Fig. 5).

Only three studies contained 394 PBC patients and 188 PBC with SS patients and reported the ratio of positive AMA-M2 [17, 18, 20, 22]. The overall pooled analyses showed that PBC patients with SS were associated with a higher ratio of positive AMA-M2 (RR=0.77; 95% CI: 0.70–0.85; p < 0.0001;  $l^2=0\%$ ) (Fig. 5).

Only three studies contained consisting of 414 PBC subjects and 257 PBC with SS subjects reported a positive ratio of anti-Ro/SSA antibodies [19, 20, 22]. The total pooled analysis result showed that PBC patients with concomitant SS had a higher positive ratio of anti-Ro/SSA antibodies than PBC patients (RR=0.29; 95% CI: 0.08–1.01; p = 0.05;  $I^2$ =87%) (Fig. 5).

#### 3.4. Evaluation of publication bias

The publication bias among the studies was assessed based on the funnel plot. All the results except the proportional meta-analysis indicated that there was no evidence of publication bias (Supplementary Fig. S1).

#### 4. Discussion

PBC is a liver tissue-specific disease characterized by chronic nonsuppurative destructive cholangitis. However, PBC is also associated with other autoimmune diseases, including intrahepatic diseases, such as autoimmune hepatitis, and extrahepatic connective tissue

available

30.20-90.10) 49.30-107.80) 162.4-454.7) 118-305.6) 18.30-64.30) 29.70-36.80) 76.00-124.00)

29.70–36.80) 76.00–124.00 11.33–22.65) 47–5.10)



<b>B</b> AST	Р	BC	Р	BC+SS			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI	I IV. Fixed, 95% CI	
Chen CT et al. 2016	48.53 2	22.91 77	51.79	24.04	48	29.9%	-3.26 [-11.77, 5.25]	-	
Liu B et al. 2008	93.6	26.6 63	96.4	27.3	46	20.5%	-2.80 [-13.07, 7.47]	-	
Liu YW et al. 2021	74.26 5	54.62 328	76.38	43.84	133	23.9%	-2.12 [-11.63, 7.39]	-	
Ni P et al. 2019	77 4	6.26 226	68.05	40.33	56	14.6%	8.95 [-3.21, 21.11]	<b>+-</b> -	
Wang L et al. 2013	76	62 172	90	64	121	10.0%	-14.00 [-28.69, 0.69]		
Zhu Y et al. 2016	72.43 5	56.59 17	74.73	71.43	13	1.0%	-2.30 [-49.54, 44.94]		
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	5.78, df = 5 Z = 0.92 (F	883 5 (P = 0.33); P = 0.36)	l² = 139	6	417	100.0%	-2.17 [-6.83, 2.48]	-100 -50 0 50 PBC PBC+SS	

2	ATD
	ALP

Study or Subgroup         Mean         SD         Total         Mean         SD         Total         Weight         IV. Fixed, 95% Cl         IV. Fixed, 95% Cl           Chen CT et al. 2016         257.31         71.48         77         262.48         83.44         48         48.1%         -5.17         57.27.23.33           Liu B et al. 2008         519.5         471.9         63         321.9         324.8         46         1.7%         197.60 (47.97, 347.23.33)           Liu YW et al. 2021         208.45         168.58         328         277.18         219.07         133         22.7%         -68.73 [-110.19, -27.27]           Ni P et al. 2019         288.03         202.57         226         274.15         199.81         56         11.4%         13.88 [44.74,72.50]           Vang L et al. 2016         275.38         275.62         17         281.75         183.09         13         1.4%         -6.37 [-170.90, 158.16]           Total (95% Cl)         883         417         100.0%         -18.57 [-38.34, 1.20]         100.50         50.0         50.0         100.50         50.0         100.50         50.0         100.50         50.0         100.50         50.0         100.50         50.0         50.0         100.50 <th><b>U</b> ALP</th> <th></th> <th>PBC</th> <th></th> <th>Р</th> <th>BC+SS</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Mean Difference</th> <th>r</th> <th>lean Differ</th> <th>ence</th> <th></th>	<b>U</b> ALP		PBC		Р	BC+SS			Mean Difference	r	lean Differ	ence	
Chen CT et al. 2016 257.31 71.48 77 262.48 83.44 48 48.1% -5.17 [-33.67, 23.33] Liu B et al. 2008 519.5 471.9 63 321.9 324.8 46 1.7% 197.60 [47.97, 347.23] Liu V et al. 2012 1208.45 168.58 328 277.18 219.07 133 22.7% -68.73 [-110.19, -27.27] Ni P et al. 2019 288.03 202.57 226 274.15 199.81 56 11.4% 13.88 [-44.74, 72.50] Wang L et al. 2013 250 221 172 287 224 121 14.6% -37.00 [-88.61, 14.81] Zhu Y et al. 2016 275.8 275.62 17 281.75 183.09 13 1.4% -6.37 [-170.90, 158.16] Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 16.17, df = 5 (P = 0.006); l <sup>2</sup> = 69%	Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	1	V. Fixed. 9	5% CI	
Liu B et al. 2008 519.5 471.9 63 321.9 324.8 46 1.7% 197.60 (47.97, 347.23) Liu YW et al. 2021 208.45 168.58 328 277.18 219.07 133 22.7% -68.73 [-110.19, -27.27] Wi P et al. 2019 288.03 202.57 226 274.15 199.81 56 11.4% 13.88 [-44.74, 72.50] Wang L et al. 2013 250 221 172 287 224 121 14.6% -37.00 [-88.81, 14.81] Zhu Y et al. 2016 275.38 275.62 17 281.75 183.09 13 1.4% -6.37 [-170.90, 158.16] Total (95% CI) 883 417 100.0% -18.57 [-38.34, 1.20] Heterogeneity: Ch <sup>2</sup> = 16.17, df = 5 (P = 0.006); l <sup>2</sup> = 69%	Chen CT et al. 2016	257.31	71.48	77	262.48	83.44	48	48.1%	-5.17 [-33.67, 23.33]		-	-	
Liu YW et al. 2021 208.45 168.58 328 277.18 219.07 133 22.7% -68.73 [-110.19, -27.27] Ni P et al. 2019 288.03 202.57 226 274.15 199.81 56 114.4% 13.88 [-44.74, 72.50] Zhu Y et al. 2016 275.38 275.62 17 2281 72 248 72 244 121 14.6% -37.00 [-88.81, 14.41] Total (95% Cl) 883 417 100.0% -18.57 [-38.34, 1.20] Heterogeneity: Ch <sup>2</sup> = 16.17, df = 5 (P = 0.006); l <sup>2</sup> = 69% $-50$	Liu B et al. 2008	519.5	471.9	63	321.9	324.8	46	1.7%	197.60 [47.97, 347.23]				
Ni P et al. 2019 288.03 202.57 226 274.15 199.81 56 11.4% 13.88 [44.74, 72.50] Wang L et al. 2013 250 221 172 287 224 121 14.6% -37.00 [-88.81, 14.81] Zhu Y et al. 2016 275.8 275.62 17 281.75 183.09 13 1.4% -6.37 [-170.90, 158.16] Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 16.17, df = 5 (P = 0.006); l <sup>2</sup> = 69%	Liu YW et al. 2021	208.45	168.58	328	277.18	219.07	133	22.7%	-68.73 [-110.19, -27.27]	<b>←</b>	_		
Wang L et al. 2013       250       221       172       287       224       121       14.6%       -37.00 [-88.81, 14.81]         Zhu Y et al. 2016       275.38       275.62       17       281.75       183.09       13       1.4%       -6.37 [-170.90, 158.16]         Total (95% Cl)       883       417       100.0%       -18.57 [-38.34, 1.20]       -100.50       0       50.1         Heterogeneity: Chi² = 16.17, df = 5 (P = 0.006); l² = 69%       50.1       50.1       50.1       50.1	Ni P et al. 2019	288.03	202.57	226	274.15	199.81	56	11.4%	13.88 [-44.74, 72.50]	-			-
Zhu Y et al. 2016 275.38 275.62 17 281.75 183.09 13 1.4% -6.37 [-170.90, 158.16] Total (95% Cl) 883 417 100.0% -18.57 [-38.34, 1.20] Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 16.17, df = 5 (P = 0.006); l <sup>2</sup> = 69%	Wang L et al. 2013	250	221	172	287	224	121	14.6%	-37.00 [-88.81, 14.81]				
Total (95% CI) 883 417 100.0% -18.57 [-38.34, 1.20]	Zhu Y et al. 2016	275.38	275.62	17	281.75	183.09	13	1.4%	-6.37 [-170.90, 158.16]	+			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.84 (P = 0.07) PBC PBC+SS	Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	16.17, df Z = 1.84	= 5 (P = (P = 0.07	883 0.006); ')	l² = 69%		417	100.0%	-18.57 [-38.34, 1.20]	-100 -50		50 50 555	100

#### n v-GT

		PBC		P	BC+SS			Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% CI	
Chen CT et al. 2016	125.66	47.65	77	131.81	52.47	48	66.6%	-6.15 [-24.41, 12.11]			
Liu B et al. 2008	367.9	251.2	63	255.6	179.4	46	3.4%	112.30 [31.46, 193.14]			
Liu YW et al. 2021	193.18	209.9	328	184.05	140.6	133	20.4%	9.13 [-23.84, 42.10]			
Ni P et al. 2019	275.45	247.11	226	247.83	247.83	56	4.2%	27.62 [-44.84, 100.08]			
Wang L et al. 2013	320	340	172	309	290	121	4.2%	11.00 [-61.47, 83.47]	_		
Zhu Y et al. 2016	252.46	202.72	17	304.99	186.31	13	1.1%	-52.53 [-192.33, 87.27]	• • •		
Total (95% CI)			883			417	100.0%	2.62 [-12.28, 17.53]		+	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	9.21, df =	5(P = 0)	.10); l²	= 46%					-100 -5	0 0 5	0 100
rescior overall effect.	2 = 0.55	(F = 0.73)	"							PBC PBC+SS	

E TRiI

		PBC		P	BC+SS			Mean Difference		M	ean Diff	ference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	1	IV	, Fixed	95% CI	
Chen CT et al. 2016	23.94	9.92	77	26.16	8.04	48	37.4%	-2.22 [-5.40, 0.96]					
Liu B et al. 2008	27.36	3.42	63	22.23	18.81	46	12.5%	5.13 [-0.37, 10.63]			t t	-	
Liu YW et al. 2021	36.45	44.97	328	34.6	34.48	133	6.5%	1.85 [-5.77, 9.47]			- +	-	
Ni P et al. 2019	20.48	12.31	226	17.58	9.51	56	43.0%	2.90 [-0.06, 5.86]					
Zhu Y et al. 2016	22.66	23.58	17	39.22	42.3	13	0.6%	-16.56 [-42.14, 9.02]		-		-	
Total (95% CI)			711			296	100.0%	1.08 [-0.86, 3.02]			•		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5	9.55, df	= 4 (P =	: 0.05);	l² = 58%	6				-100	-50		50	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.09	(P = 0.	28)						-100	-00	PBC	PBC+SS	, 100

F IgM		PBC		PE	3C+SS	6		Mean Difference		lean Differen	ce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		V. Fixed, 95%	CI	
Liu B et al. 2008	5.1	4.1	63	3.6	2.5	46	7.2%	1.50 [0.26, 2.74]				
Liu YW et al. 2021	2.85	1.99	328	2.95	2.72	133	42.5%	-0.10 [-0.61, 0.41]				
Ni P et al. 2019	3.85	2.69	226	3.68	2.05	56	26.9%	0.17 [-0.47, 0.81]		•		
Wang L et al. 2013	4.5	4.7	172	4.3	3.9	121	11.3%	0.20 [-0.79, 1.19]		- +		
Zhang FC et al. 2013	3.9	2.8	64	4.8	4.3	93	9.0%	-0.90 [-2.01, 0.21]		1		
Zhu Y et al. 2016	3.34	2.41	17	2.57	2.76	13	3.1%	0.77 [-1.12, 2.66]		t		
Total (95% CI)			870			462	100.0%	0.08 [-0.26, 0.41]				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 9.	.12, df =	= 5 (P =	= 0.10);	$l^2 = 45^{\circ}$	%				-100 -50	0	50	100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.45	(P = 0	.65)							PBC PBC+	SS	100

Fig. 3. The forest plots of the biochemical and immunological indexes (ALT, AST, ALP,  $\gamma$ -GT, TBiL and IgM) for patients PBC with and without SS. (A) ALT; (B) AST; (C) ALP; (D)  $\gamma$ -GT; (E) TBiL; (F) IgM. CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance; SD, standard deviation; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase,  $\gamma$ -GT, γ-glutamyltransferase; TBiL, total bilirubin.



Fig. 4. Forest plots of the biochemical indexes (ALB and PLT) for patients PBC with and without SS. (A) ALB; (B) PLT. CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance; ALB, albumin; PLT, platelet.

diseases (CTDs), such as SS, SSs, and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Current studies have shown that SS is one of the most common CTDs that coexist with PBC [18]. There is a difference in clinical symptoms, therapies and prognosis between patients with PBC alone and PBC accompanied by SS.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to conclude the pooled prevalence of SS among PBC patients and analyze the difference in hematological parameters between the two groups. This present review found that concomitant SS is common in PBC. Our results showed that the overall prevalence of SS was 35% in PBC (range 3.5–73%). Heterogeneity was high in the meta-analysis of prevalence, and which suggesting that pooled results should be treated with caution. We stratified the meta-analysis by Asian/non-Asian region and study sample selection methods; however, there was no significant influence on heterogeneity by these factors (data not shown). The prevalence estimates are likely accounted for by factors including sample size, age and gender.

PBC presents with inflammatory lesions of intrahepatic small bile ducts but not hepatocyte destruction, so classification criteria for PBC include an elevated ALP and/or  $\gamma$ -GT level but not a change in ALT or AST. Although some studies revealed that SS might cause secretion impairment and inflammation in different organs involved in exocrine glands and other parenchymal organs, such as the lungs, pancreas and liver, some patients with SS have different degrees of elevation in the laboratory indexes, including ALT and AST levels. Of six studies included in this analysis, four studies and five studies suggested that ALT and AST levels were only slightly elevated, respectively [17, 18, 20-22]. Consistently, the results of our metaanalysis showed that both ALT and AST levels were not statistically significant between patients with PBC and PBC accompanied by SS. Similarly, of six studies included in the analysis, four studies indicated that a mildly higher level of ALP and  $\gamma$ -GT was detected in the PBC+SS group compared to the PBC group [17, 18, 21, 22]; however, our analyses showed that none were statistically significant in either ALP or  $\gamma$ -GT levels between the two groups. In addition, two studies discovered that ALP and  $\gamma$ -GT levels were reduced after ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) treatment for 12 months in both PBC alone and PBC+SS groups [4, 22]. These results appear to suggest that although SS may cause liver damage, SS does not aggravate hepatocyte and bile duct inflammation in PBC patients and does not affect the biochemical response of UDCA-treated patients with PBC.

In addition, of four studies included in the analysis, although all studies displayed lower ALB levels in the PBC+SS group than in the PBC group, only one study reached statistical significance [4, 18, 21, 22]. Surprisingly, our meta-analysis revealed that ALB levels showed a statistically significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.03). A

Study by Liu reported that patients with PBC+SS had significantly elevated cirrhosis levels and a poor prognosis compared with patients having PBC [22]. Hence, patients with PBC+SS may have a longer course and advanced histological stage of PBC, leading to decreased serum albumin levels. Additionally, SS can affect gastrointestinal tract absorption function or cause intestinal inflammatory cell infiltration and ultimately result in protein loss in the intestinal lumen and hypoalbuminemia [23]. However, further studies will be needed to assess whether patients with PBC+SS who develop hypoalbuminemia have worse outcomes than patients with PBC alone. Furthermore, the results showed that PLT counts were lower in the PBC+SS group than in the PBC group (p = 0.003). The AST to PLT ratio index is usually used to evaluate the progression states of liver fibrosis; however, Chun-Ting Chen's study has reported that there were no significant differences in PLT counts, and the incidence of advanced liver fibrosis was also not significantly different between PBC patients and PBC+SS patients [21, 24]. Hence, the relationship between coexisting diseases and reduced blood PLT counts remains unclear and may send a signal of more extensive liver damage in the coexistence of SS in PBC patients.

Next, we reviewed the difference in immunological indexes between PBC alone and comorbid SS in PBC. Our results revealed that patients in the PBC+SS group had the higher positive rates of ANA (*p* = 0.006), AMA (*p* = 0.009), AMA-M2 (*p* < 0.0001), and anti-Ro/SSA antibodies (p = 0.05), and significantly elevated levels of serum IgG (p < 0.0001) than those with PBC. However, although 1 study showed that the serum IgM levels of PBC patients without SS were higher than those of patients with SS [20], our analysis suggested that the serum IgM levels in PBC patients without SS were not significantly different from those in PBC patients with SS. Serum ANA is the most common non-organ-specific autoantibody, with positive rates of 31% to 92.9% in patients with PBC [25]. The difference in positive rate is associated with the detection method and sample size. Previous research demonstrated that the positive rate of ANA was higher in AMA-negative patients with PBC than in AMA-positive patients; however, some studies revealed that PBC-specific ANAs, such as nuclear pore membrane protein gp210 and nuclear body protein sp100, correlate with disease severity [26]. Notably, the rates of positive ANA were significantly higher in PBC patients with SS than in both PBC alone patients and SS alone patients. Meanwhile, it is agreed that hyperimmunoglobulinemia often occurs in SS patients, so the IgG levels were significantly higher in PBC+SS patients than in PBCalone patients. Furthermore, anti-Ro/SSA antibodies are an important antibody in SS, with positive rates of approximately 75% [27]. This is an indication that clinicians should screen for CTDs, especially SS, in PBC patients with positive ANA or anti-Ro/SSA antibodies or elevated serum IgG levels, even in patients with early atypical symptoms of

A IgG		PBC		PE	3C+SS	5		Mean Difference		Me	an Differe	nce	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI		IV	Fixed, 95	% CI	
Liu B et al. 2008	19.1	9.7	63	16.1	4.2	46	9.7%	3.00 [0.31, 5.69]			-		
Liu YW et al. 2021	15.58	4.84	328	17.35	8.48	133	29.7%	-1.77 [-3.30, -0.24]			•		
Ni P et al. 2019	16.55	3.88	226	19.08	5.1	56	34.2%	-2.53 [-3.96, -1.10]			-		
Wang L et al. 2013	17.1	6.2	172	17.1	12.4	121	12.2%	0.00 [-2.40, 2.40]			- +		
Zhang FC et al. 2013	16	5	64	20	11	93	10.7%	-4.00 [-6.55, -1.45]			-		
Zhu Y et al. 2016	15.68	7.06	17	16.28	5.52	13	3.4%	-0.60 [-5.10, 3.90]			+		
Total (95% CI)			870			462	100.0%	-1.55 [-2.39, -0.72]			•		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1	8.24, df	= 5 (P	= 0.00	3); I <sup>2</sup> = 1	73%				-100	-50	0	50	100
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 3.64	(P = 0)	.0003)							50	PRC PRC	2+55	

<b>B</b> ANA	PBC	PBC+SS		Risk Ratio	Ris	k Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	<b>Events Total</b>	Events Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, F	ixed, 95% CI	
Liu B et al. 2008	49 63	40 46	10.3%	0.89 [0.75, 1.06]		4	
Liu YW et al. 2021	164 280	76 125	23.5%	0.96 [0.81, 1.14]		+	
Ni P et al. 2019	210 226	56 56	20.2%	0.94 [0.90, 0.98]		•	
Wang L et al. 2013	142 169	111 119	29.1%	0.90 [0.83, 0.98]		•	
Zhang FC et al. 2013	53 64	83 93	15.1%	0.93 [0.81, 1.06]		1	
Zhu Y et al. 2016	7 17	7 13	1.8%	0.76 [0.36, 1.63]	-	+	
Total (95% CI)	819	452	100.0%	0.92 [0.87, 0.98]		•	
Total events	625	373					
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.3	30, df = 5 (P = 0	.94); l <sup>2</sup> = 0%				1 10	100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.76 (P = 0.00	06)			PB	C PBC+SS	100

<b>U</b> AMA	PBC	PBC PBC+S		SS Risk		<b>Risk Ratio</b>	Ratio		Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	1	M-H, Fix	ed, 95% CI		
Liu B et al. 2008	57	63	45	46	10.8%	0.92 [0.84, 1.01]			•		
Liu YW et al. 2021	218	312	107	130	31.5%	0.85 [0.76, 0.95]			•		
Ni P et al. 2019	162	226	39	56	13.0%	1.03 [0.85, 1.25]			•		
Wang L et al. 2013	153	171	109	120	26.7%	0.99 [0.91, 1.06]			•		
Zhang FC et al. 2013	57	64	87	93	14.8%	0.95 [0.86, 1.05]		1	•		
Zhu Y et al. 2016	16	17	13	13	3.2%	0.95 [0.80, 1.13]			t		
Total (95% CI)		853		458	100.0%	0.94 [0.89, 0.98]					
Total events	663		400								
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 6.02, df = 5 (P = 0.30); l <sup>2</sup> = 17%									100		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.60 (F	= 0.00	9)				0.01	PBC	PBC+SS	100	
								100	1 00.00		

	D AMA-M2	PBC	;	PBC+	SS		Risk Ratio			Risk I	Ratio	
_	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-l	H, Fixe	d, 95% CI	
1	Liu B et al. 2008	44	63	45	46	25.2%	0.71 [0.60, 0.84]			=		
1	Liu YW et al. 2021	191	314	101	129	69.3%	0.78 [0.68, 0.88]					
1	Zhu Y et al. 2016	12	17	10	13	5.5%	0.92 [0.60, 1.41]			-	_	
1	Total (95% CI)		394		188	100.0%	0.77 [0.70, 0.85]			٠		
	Total events	247		156								
	Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1 Test for overall effect: Z	.43, df = := 5.11 (	2 (P = ( P < 0.0	0.49); I² = 0001)	0%			0.01	0.1	1 PBC	10 PBC+SSI	100

E SSA	PBC	PBC+SS		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Tota	Events Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Liu B et al. 2008	9 63	3 24 46	40.7%	0.27 [0.14, 0.53]	
Liu YW et al. 2021	95 28	7 57 118	45.3%	0.69 [0.53, 0.88]	=
Zhang FC et al. 2013	0 64	4 32 93	13.9%	0.02 [0.00, 0.36]	<b>+</b>
Total (95% CI)	414	257	100.0%	0.29 [0.08, 1.01]	-
Total events	104	113			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	.87; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 15.9	97, df = 2 (P = 0.0	0003); l <sup>2</sup> =	87%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.94 (P = 0.0	05)			0.01 0.1 1 10 100 PBC PBC+SS

Fig. 5. Forest plots of the immunological indexes (IgG, ANA, AMA, AMA-M2 and anti-Ro/SSA antibodies) for patients PBC with and without SS. (A) IgG; (B) ANA; (C) AMA; (D) AMA-M2; (E) anti-Ro/SSA antibodies. CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance; SD, standard deviation; ANA, antinuclear antibody; AMA, anti-mitochondrial antibody; SSA, Sjögren's syndrome antigen A.

dry. Interestingly, serum AMA and AMA-M2 antibodies are specific autoantibodies for PBC, with positive rates of up to 94%, but higher positive rates of AMA and AMA-M2 were detected in the PBC+SS patients than in the PBC-alone patients [18]. A plausible possibility is that PBC accompanied by SS has an enhanced immune response, thus resulting in higher antibody positivity; however, the direct pathogenic role in PBC+SS patients with higher positive rates of AMA and AMA-M2 is still unclear and needs further study.

Our meta-analysis has some limitations. First, high heterogeneity is a general concern in epidemiological meta-analyses included in our meta-analysis. Second, observational studies predispose the aggregate results to be susceptible to selection bias. Third, the different diagnostic criteria, because there is no clear sign of SS were used among these included studies, thus influencing the number of patients with SS and representing certain publication bias in the results of the proportional meta-analysis. In addition, there are large differences in sample sizes, leading to a dilution effect on the metaanalysis. Finally, limited data were available on the treated response and clinical outcomes of PBC patients with concomitant SS; however, in fact, our main aim is to identify the potential impact of PBC on outcomes affected by concomitant SS. For these reasons, these findings should be interpreted prudently.

#### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this review highlights that comorbid SS is very common in patients with PBC, affecting 35% of patients. In addition, there were some differences in the biochemical responses and immunological indicators of the PBC and PBC with SS groups. In particular, PBC+SS patients have lower ALB and PLT, and the presence of advanced fibrosis is much more likely in these patients. However, further studies with more strictly randomized controlled trials and larger sample sizes are needed to explain the clinical significance of these differences.

### Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province (2020CFB774) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81800519).

#### Data availability statement

Data is openly available in a public repository.

#### **Authors' contributions**

Xiaoling Deng: conceptualization; Jiahuan Li, Shuhui Hou, Bai Ci, and Beibei Liu: data curation, formal analysis, software, visualization, and methodology; Xiaoling Deng, and Keshu Xu: project administration and resources; Xiaoling Deng, and Jiahuan Li: writing and editing; Jiahuan Li, and Keshu Xu: supervision. All the authors gave their final approval of the version to be published, and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the article are appropriately investigated and resolved.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

None.

#### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.aohep.2022.100746.

#### References

- Lv T, Chen S, Li M, Zhang D, Kong Y, Jia J. Regional variation and temporal trend of primary biliary cholangitis epidemiology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36(6):1423–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.15329.
- [2] Lleo A, Wang GQ, Gershwin ME, Hirschfield GM. Primary biliary cholangitis. Lancet 2020;396(10266):1915–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31607-X.
- [3] Wen W, Liu Y, Zhao C, Sun X, Zhang C, Li Z. Clinical and serologic features of primary Sjögren's syndrome concomitant with autoimmune hemolytic anemia: a

large-scale cross-sectional study. Clin Rheumatol 2015;34(11):1877-84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-015-3081-0.

- [4] Ni P, Men R, Shen M, Wang T, Huang C, Fan X, et al. Concomitant Sjögren's syndrome was not associated with a poorer response or outcomes in ursodeoxycholic acid-treated patients with primary biliary cholangitis. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;2019:7396870. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7396870.
- [5] Marasini B, Gagetta M, Rossi V, Ferrari P. Rheumatic disorders and primary biliary cirrhosis: an appraisal of 170 Italian patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60(11):1046– 9. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.60.11.1046.
- [6] Uddenfeldt P, Danielsson A, Forssell A, Holm M, Ostberg Y. Features of Sjögren's syndrome in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. J Intern Med 1991;230 (5):443-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.1991.tb00470.x.
- [7] Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:135. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-135.
- [8] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in metaanalyses. BMJ 2003;327(7414):557–60. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557.
- [9] Sakauchi F, Mori M, Zeniya M, Toda G. A cross-sectional study of primary biliary cirrhosis in Japan: utilization of clinical data when patients applied to receive public financial aid. J Epidemiol 2005;15(1):24–8. https://doi.org/10.2188/jea.15.24.
- [10] Muratori P, Fabbri A, Lalanne C, Lenzi M, Muratori L. Autoimmune liver disease and concomitant extrahepatic autoimmune disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;27(10):1175–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.00000000000424.
- [11] Sakauchi F, Oura A, Ohnishi H, Mori M. Comparison of the clinical features of Japanese patients with primary biliary cirrhosis in 1999 and 2004: utilization of clinical data when patients applied to receive public financial aid. J Epidemiol 2007;17(6):210–4. https://doi.org/10.2188/jea.17.210.
- [12] Floreani A, Franceschet I, Cazzagon N. Primary biliary cirrhosis: overlaps with other autoimmune disorders. Semin Liver Dis 2014;34(3):352–60. https://doi. org/10.1055/s-0034-1383734.
- [13] Mang FW, Michieletti P, O'rourke K, Cauch-Dudek K, Diamant N, Bookman A, Heathcote J. Primary biliary cirrhosis, sicca complex, and dysphagia. Dysphagia 1997;12(3):167-70. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00009532.
- [14] Tsianos EV, Hoofnagle JH, Fox PC, Alspaugh M, Jones EA, Schafer DF, et al. Sjögren's syndrome in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology 1990;11(5):730-4. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.1840110504.
- [15] Culp KS, Fleming CR, Duffy J, Baldus WP, Dickson ER. Autoimmune associations in primary biliary cirrhosis. Mayo Clin Proc 1982;57(6):365–70.
- [16] Watt FE, James OF, Jones DE. Patterns of autoimmunity in primary biliary cirrhosis patients and their families: a population-based cohort study. QJM 2004;97 (7):397–406. https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hch078.
- [17] Wang L, Zhang FC, Chen H, Zhang X, Xu D, Li YZ, et al. Connective tissue diseases in primary biliary cirrhosis: a population-based cohort study. World J Gastroenterol 2013;19(31):5131–7. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v19.i31.5131.
- [18] Zhu Y, Ma X, Tang X, Hua B. Liver damage in primary biliary cirrhosis and accompanied by primary Sjögren's syndrome: a retrospective pilot study. Cent Eur J Immunol 2016;41(2):182–7. https://doi.org/10.5114/ceji.2016.60993.
- [19] Gao LX, Wang L, Zhang FC. Clinical characteristics of primary biliary cirrhosis complicating Sjögren syndrome. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 2013;93(43):3457–9.
- [20] Liu B, Zhang FC, Zhang ZL, Zhang W, Gao LX. Interstitial lung disease and Sjögren's syndrome in primary biliary cirrhosis: a causal or casual association? Clin Rheumatol 2008;27(10):1299–306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-008-0917-x.
- [21] Chen CT, Tseng YC, Yang CW, Lin HH, Chen PJ, Huang TY, et al. Increased risks of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and interstitial lung disease in primary biliary cirrhosis patients with concomitant Sjögren syndrome. Medicine 2016;95(2): e2537. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000002537.
- [22] Liu Y, Han K, Liu C, Duan F, Cheng J, Yang S. Clinical characteristics and prognosis of concomitant primary biliary cholangitis and autoimmune diseases: a retrospective study. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;2021:5557814. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2021/5557814.
- [23] De Carvalho JF, Lerner A, Gonçalves CM, Shoenfeld Y. Sjögren syndrome associated with protein-losing enteropathy: case-based review. Clin Rheumatol 2021;40(6):2491–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-020-05487-5.
- [24] Beime J, Krech T, Hischke S, Grabhorn E, Brinkert F. Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 and AST-to-Platelet Ratio Index as noninvasive biomarkers predict allograft fibrosis after pediatric liver transplantation. Clin Transplant 2019;33(10): e13676. https://doi.org/10.1111/ctr.13676.
- [25] Li YZ, Hu CJ, Leng XM, Zhao GF, Li N, Xu Y. Promising diagnostic biomarkers for primary biliary cirrhosis identified with magnetic beads and MALDI-TOF-MS. Anat Rec (Hoboken) 2009;292(3):455–60. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.20870.
- [26] Worman HJ. Nuclear envelope protein autoantigens in primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatol Res 2007;37(Suppl 3):S406–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1872-034X.2007.00227.x.
- [27] Corominas H, Ortiz-Santamaría V, Castellví I, Moreno M, Morlà R, Clavaguera T, et al. Nailfold capillaroscopic findings in primary Sjögren's syndrome with and without Raynaud's phenomenon and/or positive anti-SSA/Ro and anti-SSB/La antibodies. Rheumatol Int 2016;36(3):365–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-015-3396-9.