

Immunohistochemical angiogenic biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis: correlation with pathological features

Osmar Damasceno Ribeiro,^{I,II,*} Nathalie Henriques Silva Canedo,^{III} Vera Lucia Pannain^{III}

¹ Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Anatomia-Patológica, Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Brazil. ^{III} Universidade do Oeste de Santa Catarina (UNOESC), Faculdade de Medicina, Joaçaba/SC, Brazil. ^{III} Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Faculdade de Medicina, Departamento de Patologia, Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Brazil.

OBJECTIVE: To investigate immunohistochemical markers of angiogenesis and their association with pathological prognostic features in hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhotic liver.

METHODS: Vascular endothelial growth factor, CD105, and cyclooxygenase-2 were immunohistochemically detected in 52 hepatocellular carcinoma tissue samples and 48 cirrhotic liver tissue samples. Semiquantitative measurements of vascular endothelial growth factor and cyclooxygenase-2 were evaluated considering the degree and intensity of immunostaining based on a 7-point final scoring scale. CD105 microvascular density (MVD-CD105) was measured using automated analysis. Morphological aspects evaluated in the hepatocellular carcinoma samples included size (≤ 2 and > 2 cm), differentiation grade, and microvascular invasion.

RESULTS: The mean vascular endothelial growth factor immunoreactivity score was slightly higher in the hepatocellular carcinoma samples (4.83 ± 1.35) than the cirrhotic liver (4.38 ± 1.28) samples. There was a significant and direct correlation between these mean scores (r_s =0.645, p=0.0001). Cyclooxygenase-2 was expressed in all the cirrhotic liver samples but was only found in 78% of the hepatocellular carcinoma samples. The mean cyclooxygenase-2 score was higher in the cirrhotic liver samples (4.85 ± 1.38) than the hepatocellular carcinoma samples (2.58 ± 1.68), but there was no correlation between the scores (r_s =0.177, p=0.23). The mean CD105 percentage in the hepatocellular carcinoma samples (11.2%) was lower than that in the cirrhotic samples (16.9%). There was an inverse relationship in MVD-CD105 expression between the hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhotic samples (r_s =0.78, p=0.67). There were no significant associations between vascular endothelial growth factor expression and morphological characteristics. Cyclooxygenase-2 and CD105 were associated with hepatocellular carcinoma differentiation grade (p=0.003 and p=0.05, respectively).

CONCLUSION: Vascular endothelial growth factor, cyclooxygenase-2, and MVD-CD105 were highly expressed in cirrhotic liver compared to hepatocellular carcinoma and might be involved in liver carcinogenesis. Additionally, cyclooxygenase-2 and CD105 might be involved in hepatocellular carcinoma differentiation grade.

KEYWORDS: VEGF; CD105; COX-2; Hepatocellular Carcinoma; Cirrhosis; Pathology.

Ribeiro OD, Canedo NH, Pannain VL. Immunohistochemical angiogenic biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis: correlation with pathological features. Clinics. 2016;71(11):639-643

Received for publication on April 27, 2016; First review completed on June 21, 2016; Accepted for publication on August 9, 2016

*Corresponding author. E-mail: osmardr@yahoo.com.br

■ INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a peculiar neoplasm with characteristics that differ from other tumor types, the major difference being that it most often occurs in association with chronic liver disease.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

No potential conflict of interest was reported.

DOI: 10.6061/clinics/2016(11)04

Gene expression studies in tumorous and surrounding non-tumorous liver tissues have identified molecular profiles associated with tumor differentiation, recurrence, vascular invasion, and patient survival (1-3). Angiogenesis activation pathways have been reported to play a role in HCC carcinogenesis (4).

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is one of the most important factors involved in tumoral angiogenesis. VEGF is a potent endothelial cell mitogen that induces the formation of new vessels and increases vascular permeability (5). In addition, VEGF expression in HCC has been correlated with tumor aggressiveness (capsular infiltration, vascular invasion and intrahepatic metastasis) (6-8) and microvascular density (MVD) (9).



Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) participates in carcinogenesis via different mechanisms, such as by promoting angiogenesis and cellular proliferation and inhibiting apoptosis (11). High COX-2 expression has been demonstrated in non-HCC tumors, including gastrointestinal cancers (12-14). In addition, COX-2 (15) (17), VEGF (6,16) and CD105 (17) are expressed in chronic liver diseases.

Considering that VEGF, COX-2, and CD105 are involved in tumoral angiogenesis and that HCC is a highly vascularized tumor that occurs mainly in chronically diseased livers exhibiting neoangiogenesis, we investigated immunohistochemical expression patterns of VEGF, COX-2, and MVD-CD105 in HCC and surrounding cirrhotic liver tissues. Furthermore, we evaluated the putative association of these markers with HCC pathological features, including tumor size, differentiation grade, and microvascular invasion status.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients and pathology findings

We studied 52 HCC and 48 surrounding cirrhotic liver tissue samples obtained during orthotopic liver transplantation or partial hepatectomy procedures performed in 38 male and 14 female adult patients with cirrhosis (aged 18–69 years). Forty-three patients had hepatitis C virus infection in isolation or in association with other etiologies. Twenty-six tumors were ≤ 2 cm in diameter, and 26 were >2 cm in diameter. According to grading, 40 of the 52 HCC tumors were well differentiated (grade I and II), 7 were moderately differentiated (grade III), and 5 were poorly differentiated (grade IV). In cases with more than one histological grade, the highest grade was considered. Microvascular invasion was present in 23 (44.2%) tumors.

This study was approved by the local ethics committee of our institution (N° 163/11)

Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned. The sections (5 μ m) were deparaffinized in xylene, dehydrated and rehydrated in ethanol, and subjected to steamer antigen retrieval. The sections were then processed using a Novocastra Novolink polymer detection system (Leica Biosystems Newcastle Ltd, UK) with the following primary antibodies: mouse anti-human VEGF C-1 (sc-7269, 1:6000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc, USA), mouse anti-human CD105 (Endoglin) (clone 4G11, 1:60; Leica Biosystems Newcastle Ltd, UK), and rabbit anti-human COX-2 (clone SP21,SPB-M3212, 1:500; Spring Bioscience, USA). Negative controls lacked the primary antibody and were processed simultaneously.

Evaluation of immunohistochemical staining

VEGF and COX-2 immunostaining was scored semiquantitatively according to modification of a previous method (18). Briefly, positive immunostaining was assessed in tumor cells for the HCC samples or hepatocytes for the cirrhotic tissues. Staining intensity was scored as 0, negative; 1, weak; 2, moderate; or 3, strong. The degree of staining was scored based on the percentage of positive cells as follows: 0, 0–4.9%; 1, 5–25%; 2, 26–50%; 3, 51–75%; and 4, 76–100%. When there was any doubt in the score to be assigned, the highest score was chosen. The sum of the intensity and the degree of the staining scores was used to produce a final overall score as follows: 0–1, negative; 2–3, weak; 4–5, moderate; and 6–7, strong. Lastly, the final mean scores were evaluated for all tissues.

CD105-stained tissues were evaluated using computerbased quantitative analysis to assess MVD. For the analyses, 3 microscope fields showing the highest staining intensity (hot spots), such as blood vessels or endothelial cells, were examined using an Olympus microscope, model BX-40. All images were captured using an Olympus digital 3.3megapixel camera attached to the microscope. The images were analyzed using the "color function" and area/density measurement function in Imagelab[®] software. The MVD-CD105 percentages were calculated over a fixed area of 216 µm for each field. The average of the 3 fields was recorded as the mean MVD score.

Statistical analysis

Fisher's exact test or the χ^2 test was used to compare categorical variables. The Mann-Whitney test or Spearman's rank (rs) correlation coefficient was used to compare continuous variables. Quantitative data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were performed using SAS[®] System software, version 6.11 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina), and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical methods used in this study were reviewed by a biomedical statistician from the Division of Biostatistics at Clementino Fraga Filho Hospital.

RESULTS

VEGF, COX-2, and MVD-CD105 expression in HCC samples and surrounding cirrhotic liver tissues

Diffuse cytoplasmic VEGF expression was detected in all HCC and cirrhotic liver tissue samples. The mean VEGF score was slightly higher in the HCC tissues (4.83 ± 1.35) compared with the cirrhotic tissues (4.38 ± 1.28). There was a significant and direct association between VEGF expression in the HCC and cirrhotic tissues ($r_s=0.645$, p=0.0001). COX-2 was expressed in all the cirrhotic liver samples but only in 78% of the HCC samples. The mean COX-2 score was higher in the cirrhotic tissues (4.85 ± 1.38) compared with the HCC tissues (2.58 ± 1.68) , but there was no association between the two (r_s =0.177, p=0.23). The mean MVD-CD105 values were lower in all HCC samples (11.2%) compared to cirrhotic tissues (16.9%). Although there was no significant correlation in MVD-CD105 percentages between the HCC and cirrhotic tissues, an inverse relationship was apparent (rs=-0.78, p=0.67). Examples of immunohistochemical staining in the HCC and cirrhotic tissues are shown in Figure 1.

Correlation of VEGF, COX-2, and MVD-CD105 with pathological features

In the HCC cases, cytoplasmic VEGF expression in tumor cells was mostly moderate or strong (48.32% or 36.53%, respectively). Although expression intensity did not significantly differ in relation to tumor size (p=0.37), a moderate expression pattern predominated in smaller tumors (<2 cm). There was no association between VEGF expression and HCC differentiation grade or microvascular invasion status,





Figure 1 - Immunohistochemical staining in HCC and cirrhotic tissues. Immunohistochemical staining in HCC samples: VEGF (A), COX-2 (C), and CD-105 (E). Immunohistochemical staining in cirrhotic liver samples: VEGF (B), * refers to the area of expression of COX-2 (D), and CD-105 (F).

although moderate-intensity staining predominated in welldifferentiated HCC (Table 1). COX-2 expression was significantly associated with HCC differentiation grade (p=0.003), but not with size or microvascular invasion status (Table 2). Likewise, the mean MVD-CD105 values were not associated with microvascular invasion status or tumor size in the HCC tissues, although the mean MVD-CD105 expression tended to be higher in smaller tumors (≤ 2 cm). However, MVD-CD105 expression was significantly higher in well-differentiated HCC tissues compared with poorly and moderately differentiated tissues (p=0.05) (Table 3). There were no correlations between the mean VEGF, COX-2, or MVD-105 scores. However, inverse relationships were noted between the MVD-105 and VEGF scores (r_s =-0.13, p=0.33) and the MVD-105 and COX-2 scores (r_s =-0.15, p=0.29).

DISCUSSION

VEGF acts as a key mediator of tumoral angiogenesis by increasing vascular permeability and promoting endothelial proliferation and migration (19). In the liver carcinogenesis, the VEGF expression increases gradually from its early stages (20). VEGF expression has been associated with patient prognosis in terms of overall survival and recurrence (21), including after liver transplantation when observed in adjacent cirrhotic tissue (22).



 Table 1 - VEGF expression patterns according to morphological features.

			VEGF expression (%)			
Variables	n	N	Weak	Mod	Strong	p
Size						0.37
≼2.0 cm	26	-	15.4	57.7	26.9	
>2.0 cm	26	-	15.4	38.5	46.1	
Differentiation						0.91
Grade I/II	40	-	15.0	50.0	35.0	
Grade III/IV	12	-	16.7	41.7	41.6	
MI invasion						0.53
Present	23	-	17.4	39.1	43.5	
Absent	29	-	13.8	55.2	31.0	

N - negative; Mod - moderate; MI - microvascular

 Table 2 - Cox-2 expression patterns according to morphological features.

			COX-2 expression (%)			
Variables	n	N	Weak	Mod	Strong	р
Size						0.12
≼2.0 cm	26	15.4	57.6	23.1	3.9	
>2.0 cm	26	26.9	30.8	42.3	-	
Differentiation						0.003
Grade I/II	40	10.0	52.5	35.0	2.5	
Grade III/IV	12	58.3	16.7	25.0	-	
MI invasion						0.84
Present	23	26.1	39.1	34.8	-	
Absent	29	17.2	48.3	31.0	3.5	

N - negative; Mod - moderate; MI - microvascular

Our data showed that mean VEGF expression was slightly higher in HCC tissues compared with surrounding cirrhotic tissues, and there was a positive correlation between them. This suggested a close relationship between VEGF expression and carcinogenesis, which was congruent with previous observations (23) and reinforces the importance of VEGF in HCC. Conversely, other studies have reported the predominance of VEGF in non-tumorous cirrhotic tissue (6,24), but not in cirrhotic nodules distant from HCC (25). It is possible that we observed higher VEGF scores in HCC because we evaluated smaller tumors (≤ 2 cm) than those examined in other studies (5 cm). In the present study, all HCC and surrounding cirrhotic tissues expressed VEGF, which is in agreement with the findings of Imura et al. (26), who observed VEGF expression in all HCC tissues. In contrast, in another study, VEGF positivity was reported in 66.7% of HCC samples and 79.4% of cirrhotic tissues (6). Disagreements between studies using immunohistochemical profiling might arise because of the different methods employed to score staining. However, in accordance with the same study (6), we did not observe any significant associations between VEGF expression and differentiation grade, microvascular invasion status, or tumor size. This might suggest that VEGFstimulated angiogenesis does not change significantly during HCC progression.

In HCC, vascularization can result in the development of new vessels by neoangiogenesis or from the preexisting sinusoidal network by angiogenesis (27). MVD, assessed by CD105 immunostaining, has been suggested as a quantitative measure of tumoral angiogenesis and has been reported as a prognostic indicator of outcome in malignant tumors,

Table 3 - Microvascular	density	of CD105	according to	
morphological features.				

		MDV-	MDV-CD105		
Variables	n	Average	SD	p	
Size				0.1	
≼2.0 cm	26	12.5	5.2		
>2.0 cm	26	9.92	4.43		
Differentiation				0.05	
Grade I/II	40	11.9	5.0		
Grade III/IV	12	8.87	4.49		
MI invasion				0.12	
Present	23	9.8	3.9		
Absent	29	12.31	5.48		

N – negative; SD – standard deviation; MI – microvascular; MDV – microvascular density

including HCC (7). In the present study, MVD-CD105 expression was slightly higher in smaller tumors, although it was not significantly different compared to that in larger tumors (>2 cm). Similarly, MVD-CD105 expression was not associated with microvascular invasion status, but it was associated with well-differentiated HCC. Additionally, MVD-CD105 expression tended to decrease as grade increased. Ho et al. (28) found a correlation between low MVD-CD105 expression and a more aggressive tumor type, as indicated by a large size and microvascular invasion. It is possible that the lack of association between MVD-CD105 expression and microvascular invasion in the present study resulted from the smaller average size of the advanced lesions that were examined (4.8 cm); in contrast to our current work, Ho et al. compared tumors that were ≤ 5 cm in diameter with those >5 cm in diameter. Such findings in combination with our previous observations (29) suggest that MVD-CD105 expression decreases during carcinogenesis and tumor progression.

COX-2 overexpression has been documented in patients with HCC with concomitant hepatitis virus infection (14). Immunohistochemical staining revealed COX-2 expression in the majority of HCC tissues and all cirrhotic tissues evaluated in the present study, which was concordant with previous studies (15) (17). Moreover, previous studies have indicated that COX-2 RNA expression is higher in adjacent liver tissues than in HCC tissues (30). In contrast, other reports have found 100% of HCC samples showing COX-2 positivity (31,32). Hepatitis C virus infection can promote COX-2 expression (33), which might explain why all the nontumorous tissues in the present study were COX-2-positive. We found that COX-2 expression was associated with HCC differentiation grade, but not with microvascular invasion status or tumor size. COX-2 expression was higher in welldifferentiated HCC, suggesting that it may be involved in the early stages of carcinogenesis (31,32). Cervello et al. (32) suggested that COX-2 overexpression in tumor progression might cause growth disadvantages in certain cells. Regarding tumor size, Koga et al. (31) observed a predominance of COX-2 in small HCC tumors compared with large tumors. Epigenetic studies have implied that reduced COX-2 expression is associated with poor prognosis in patients with HCC (30).

In conclusion, this study shows that VEGF, COX-2, and MVD-CD105 expression were higher in cirrhotic liver tissues compared with HCC tissues, which suggests that these proteins play important roles in liver carcinogenesis. In



addition, both COX-2 and MVD-CD105 expression appeared to play roles in HCC differentiation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Adriana Caroli-Bottino, Professor of Pathology Department, for comments on our work and Rosangela Martins, Biomedical Statistician from the Biostatistical Research Division at Clementino Fraga Filho Hospital, for help with statistical analysis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Ribeiro OD performed the research and drafted the manuscript. Canedo NH analyzed the data. Pannain VL designed the research and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Wang SM, Ooi LL, Hui KM. Identification and validation of a novel gene signature associated with the recurrence of human hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13(21): 6275-83, http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/ 1078-0432.CCR-06-2236.
- Lee JS, Chu IS, Heo J, Calvisi DF, Sun Z, Roskams T, et al. Classification and prediction of survival in hepatocellular carcinoma by gene expression profiling. Hepatology. 2004;40(3): 667-76, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ hep.20375.
- Hoshida Y, Villanueva A, Kobayashi M, Peix J, Chiang DY, Camargo A, et al. Gene expression in fixed tissues and outcome in hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(19):1995-2004, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa0804525.
- Muto J, Shirabe K, Sugimachi K, Maehara Y. Review of angiogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatol Res. 2015;45(1):1-9, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/hepr.12310.
- Hoeben A, Landuyt B, Highley MS, Wildiers H, Van Oosterom AT, De Bruijn EA. Vascular endothelial growth factor and angiogenesis. Pharmacol Rev. 2004;56(4):549-80, http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.56.4.3.
- Deli G, Jin CH, Mu R, Yang S, Liang Y, Chen D, et al. Immunohistochemical assessment of angiogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma and surrounding cirrhotic liver tissues. World J Gastroenterol. 2005;11(7): 960-3, http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v11.i7.960.
- Yao Y, Pan Y, Chen J, Sun X, Qiu Y, Ding Y. Endoglin (CD105) expression in angiogenesis of primary hepatocellular carcinomas: analysis using tissue microarrays and comparisons with CD34 and VEGF. Ann Clin Lab Sci. 2007;37(1):39-48.
- Yamaguchi R, Yano H, Iemura A, Ogasawara S, Haramaki M, Kojiro M. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology. 1998;28(1):68-77, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep. 510280111.
- Yao DF, Wu XH, Zhu Y, Shi GS, Dong ZZ, Yao DB, et al. Quantitative analysis of vascular endothelial growth factor, microvascular density and their clinicopathologic features in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int. 2005;4(2):220-6.
- Miyata Y, Sagara Y, Watanabe S, Asai A, Matsuo T, Ohba K, et al. CD105 is a more appropriate marker for evaluating angiogenesis in urothelial cancer of the upper urinary tract than CD31 or CD34. Virchows Arch. 2013;463(5):673-9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00428-013-1463-8.
- Fosslien E. Review: molecular pathology of cyclooxygenase-2 in cancerinduced angiogenesis. Ann Clin Lab Sci. 2001;31(4): 325-48.
- Roelofs HM, Te Morsche RH, van Heumen BW, Nagengast FM, Peters WH. Over-expression of COX-2 mRNA in colorectal cancer. BMC Gastroenterol. 2014;14:1, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-230X-14-1.
- Yamac D, Ayyildiz T, Coşkun U, Akyürek N, Dursun A, Seckin S, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression and its association with angiogenesis, Helicobacter pylori, and clinicopathologic characteristics of gastric carcinoma. Pathol Res Pract. 2008;204(8):527-36, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.prp.2008.01.002.
- 14. Rahman MA, Dhar DK, Yamaguchi E, Maruyama S, Sato T, Hayashi H, et al. Coexpression of inducible nitric oxide synthase and COX-2 in hepatocellular carcinoma and surrounding liver: possible involvement of COX-2 in the angiogenesis of hepatitis C virus positive cases. Clin Cancer Res. 2001;7(5):1325-32.
- 15. Kwon SH, Jeong SW, Jang JY, Lee JE, Lee SH, Kim SG, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 and vascular endothelial growth factor in chronic hepatitis,

cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Mol Hepatol. 2012;18(3): 287-94, http://dx.doi.org/10.3350/cmh.2012.18.3.287.

- Mathonnet M, Descottes B, Valleix D, Labrousse F, Denizot Y. VEGF in hepatocellular carcinoma and surrounding cirrhotic liver tissues. World J Gastroenterol. 2006;12(5):830-1, http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i5.830.
- Nakamura T. Changes in expression of bile canalicular CD10 and sinusoidal CD105 (endoglin) in peritumoral hepatic tissue. Tumori. 2009;95(4): 495-500.
- Paik SY, Park YN, Kim H, Park C. Expression of transforming growth factor-beta1 and transforming growth factor-beta receptors in hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules. Mod Pathol. 2003;16(1):86-96, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.MP.0000047308.03300.9C.
- Hoeben A, Landuyt B, Highley MS, Wildiers H, Van Oosterom AT, De Bruijn EA. Vascular endothelial growth factor and angiogenesis. Pharmacol Rev. 2004;56(4):549-80, http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.56.4.3.
- Park YN, Kim YB, Yang KM, Park C. Increased expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and angiogenesis in the early stage of multistep hepatocarcinogenesis. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2000;124(7): 1061-5.
- Zhan P, Qian Q, Yu LK. Serum VEGF level is associated with the outcome of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr. 2013;2(4):209-15.
- Campillo A, Solanas E, Morandeira MJ, Castiella T, Lorente S, Garcia-Gil FA, et al. Angiogenesis and proliferation markers in adjacent cirrhotic tissue could predict hepatocellular carcinoma outcome after liver transplantation. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;26(8):871-9, http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/MEG.0000000000131.
- Shimoda K, Mori M, Shibuta K, Banner BF, Barnard GF. Vascular endothelial growth factor/vascular permeability factor mRNA expression in patients with chronic hepatitis C and hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Oncol. 1999;14(2): 353-9.
- Mathonnet M, Descottes B, Valleix D, Labrousse F, Denizot Y. VEGF in hepatocellular carcinoma and surrounding cirrhotic liver tissues. World J Gastroenterol. 2006;12(5):830-1, http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v12.i5.830.
- 25. Corradini SG, Morini S, Liguori F, Carotti S, Muda AO, Burza MA, et al. Differential vascular endothelial growth factor A protein expression between small hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis correlates with serum vascular endothelial growth factor A and alpha-fetoprotein. Liver Int. 2009;29(1):103-12, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1478-3231.2008. 01781.x.
- Imura S, Miyake H, Izumi K, Tashiro S, Uehara H. Correlation of vascular endothelial cell proliferation with microvessel density and expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and basic fibroblast growth factor in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Med Invest. 2004;51(3-4):202-9, http://dx.doi. org/10.2152/jmi.51.202.
- Zeng W, Gouw AS, van den Heuvel MC, Zwiers PJ, Zondervan PE, Poppema S, et al. The angiogenic makeup of human hepatocellular carcinoma does not favor vascular endothelial growth factor/angiopoietindriven sprouting neovascularization. Hepatology. 2008;48(5):1517-27, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.22490.
- Ho JW, Poon RT, Sun CK, Xue WC, Fan ST. Clinicopathological and prognostic implications of endoglin (CD105) expression in hepatocellular carcinoma and its adjacent non-tumorous liver. World J Gastroenterol. 2005;11(2):176-81, http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v11.i2.176.
- Paschoal JP, Bernardo V, Canedo NH, Ribeiro OD, Caroli-Bottino A, Pannain VL. Microvascular density of regenerative nodule to small hepatocellular carcinoma by automated analysis using CD105 and CD34 immunoexpression. BMC Cancer. 2014;14:72, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2407-14-72.
- Fernández-Alvarez A, Llorente-Izquierdo C, Mayoral R, Agra N, Boscá L, Casado M, et al. Evaluation of epigenetic modulation of cyclooxygenase-2 as a prognostic marker for hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncogenesis. 2012;1: e23, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/oncsis.2012.23.
- Koga H, Sakisaka S, Ohishi M, Kawaguchi T, Taniguchi E, Sasatomi K, et al. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human hepatocellular carcinoma: relevance to tumor dedifferentiation. Hepatology. 1999;29(3):688-96, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.510290355.
- 32. Cervello M, Foderàa D, Florena AM, Soresi M, Tripodo C, D'Alessandro N, et al. Correlation between expression of cyclooxygenase-2 and the presence of inflammatory cells in human primary hepatocellular carcinoma: possible role in tumor promotion and angiogenesis. World J Gastroenterol. 2005;11(30):4638-43, http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v11. i30.4638.
- Waris G, Siddiqui A. Hepatitis C virus stimulates the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 via oxidative stress: role of prostaglandin E2 in RNA replication. J Virol. 2005;79(15):9725-34, http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.79. 15.9725-9734.2005.