

## Evaluation of black bear (*Ursus americanus*) diet and consequences in its conservation in Sierra de Picachos, Nuevo León, Mexico

### Evaluación de la dieta del oso negro (*Ursus americanus*) y las consecuencias en su conservación en la sierra de Picachos, Nuevo León, México

Luis Antonio Juárez-Casillas<sup>1✉</sup> and Cora Varas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Colección Nacional de Mamíferos, Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Apartado postal 70-153, 04510 México, D. F., México.

<sup>2</sup>School of Natural Resources and the Environment, Arizona Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Tucson, Arizona, 85721, USA.

✉ ljuarez @ibiologia.unam.mx

**Abstract.** Black bears (*Ursus americanus*) are considered endangered in Mexico. In northern Mexico, bears are considered responsible for preying on livestock, damaging private property, and crops; therefore they are killed to protect locals' livelihoods. There is a need to understand bears' ecological requirements in order to create conservation plans that include human-bear conflict resolution and that reduce the hunting of this endangered species. We studied the diet of black bears in the Sierra de Picachos located in the Northeast portion of the State of Nuevo León, Mexico. Our objective was to determine the diet of black bears during 3 consecutive seasons (i.e., dry, rainy, and post-rainy), and to determine the presence and frequency of livestock and crops remains in bear scats. We collected 169 scats from December 2004 to November 2005, and estimated diet composition using percentage of occurrence and volume of each food item. Plants were the most common item (73.4%), animals (16.8%), and undetermined wood fragments (9.3%). There was no statistically significant difference among seasons. Our analysis also failed to find cattle residues in any of the scats; therefore, black bears in the study site either do not or rarely feed upon livestock. We recommend that management plans include informing local people on bear behavior. Also, specific actions to avoid bear proximity should be implemented along with government actions aimed at improving bear habitat that minimize bear-human conflict in the area.

Key words: Ursidae, habitat use, bear-human conflicts.

**Resumen.** El oso negro (*Ursus americanus*) es considerado en peligro de extinción en México y se cree que depreda ganado, destruye propiedad privada y cultivos, por lo que los pobladores locales los cazan para proteger su subsistencia. Existe la necesidad de investigar los requerimientos ecológicos de este úrsido para crear planes de conservación que minimicen conflictos entre humanos y osos. Se estudió la dieta del oso negro en la sierra de Picachos, ubicada en la región noreste de Nuevo León, México. Nuestro objetivo fue determinar la dieta del oso negro durante 3 temporadas (secas, lluvias y post-lluvias) y determinar la presencia y frecuencia de ganado y cultivos en los excrementos. Se recolectaron 169 excrementos de diciembre del 2004 a noviembre del 2005 y se estimó la composición de su dieta en porcentaje de presencia y en volumen de cada alimento. Las plantas fueron los elementos más comunes (73.4%), seguido de los animales (6.8%) y fragmentos sin determinar (9.3%). No hubo diferencias estadísticamente significativas entre estaciones. No hubo señales de ganado en las heces. Se recomienda un plan de gestión que incluya información a la población local sobre el comportamiento del oso y acciones específicas para mejorar el hábitat de estos animales y así minimizar los conflictos entre humanos y osos.

Palabras claves: Ursidae, uso del hábitat, conflictos oso-humano.

#### Introduction

Black bears (*Ursus americanus*) are considered endangered in Mexico (Nom-059-Semarnat-2010) despite their status, they are threatened by fragmentation, loss of

habitat, and poaching (Medellin et al., 2005). Black bears are known to feed on a variety of vegetation, insects and other animals (Holcrof and Herrero, 1991; Bull and Wertz, 2001). They have an opportunistic foraging behavior, and they are influenced by the availability of their food sources (Pelton, 2003). Black bear diet studies in Canada (MacHutchon, 1989), the US (Bull and Wertz, 2001; Greenleaf et. al., 2009), and Mexico (Sierra-Corona et

al., 2005; Niño-Ramírez 1989; Doan-Crider, 1995) have shown bears eating mostly plants and insects, with a lower percentage of vertebrates, from < 3% in Yukon (MacHutchon, 1989) to > 28% in the Rocky Mountain National Park (Baldwin and Bender, 2009). However, some of these studies are of limited local value because bear diet changes among habitats, years, and seasons.

Black bear habitat overlaps with people in parts of its distribution; bears are perceived as a threat to private property, crops and cattle. Private property damage by bears is common in rural areas; bears become accustomed to feeding from trash or food left outside households (McKinney and Delgadillo, 2004). Occasionally black bears destroy beehives, gardens and private infrastructure in search of food. In some cases, ranchers attract bears inadvertently by placing artificial feeders to supplement food for deer (mostly corn or other commercial foods). Deer feeders are an attraction for bears and many of them become accustomed to the feeders. Black bear predation on mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*), white tail deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), elk (*Cervus elaphus*), moose (*Alces alces*), cattle (*Bos taurus*), and sheep (*Ovis aries*) has been documented in United States and Canada (Murie, 1948; Smith and Follmann, 1993; Singer et al., 1997). Furthermore, black bears are considered as significant predators of calves in areas such as Alaska (Franzmann et al., 1980), Idaho, Wyoming, Alberta, and Minnesota (Schlegel, 1976; Kunkel and Mech, 1994; Horstman and Gunson, 1982).

In Mexico, black bear habitat overlaps with extensive cattle ranching and farmlands, which are the main source of local income. When bears become a nuisance, they are trapped and eliminated to protect cattle and crops. However, the role of bear predation on cattle and local crops in Nuevo León, Mexico, is unknown. Knowledge of bear diet in local areas provides insight into local food items, habitat selection, if and when they feed on cattle or crops. Thus, this information will aid in the effective management of bears in the area.

Fecal analysis is a common method to study diets of large and elusive animals such as black bears (Bull and Wertz, 2001). Food items collected from scats are identified and related to diets through frequency, relative density, or volume (Hellgren, 1993). Our objective is to provide information on the seasonal importance of food items in the diet of black bears inhabiting the Sierra de Picachos, Nuevo León, Mexico.

## Materials and methods

**Study area.** The study area included a region in the south central area of the Sierra de Picachos (Fig. 1), located in the Sierra Madre Oriental, in the sub-province of the Sierras

y Llanuras Coahuilenses, in the State of Nuevo León, Mexico. It has an area of 1 405 km<sup>2</sup>, between 25°50'55" and 26°19'22" N, 99°42'57" al 100°06'40" W (Conabio, 2004). The mean annual temperature is 18° C (low annual mean temperature is 12° C, and the high annual mean temperature is 22° C). The annual rainfall is between 500 cc -2 500 cc (García, 1981). The topography is irregular and the altitude ranges from 400-1 200 m asl (Detenal, 1978). The soil is predominantly litosol with secondary soils of rendzina and limestone regosol (www.inegi.org.mx). Sierra de Picachos includes 3 types of vegetation: scrub, pine, and oak forest (Ramos-Silva, 2004). There is poaching pressure on some wildlife species (e.g., black bear, mountain lion [*Puma concolor*], bobcat [*Lynx rufus*], and jaguarundi [*Puma yagouaroundi*]). The study site is on private land and it is considered a well-conserved sky island (Programa de manejo de la zona sujeta a conservación estatal cerro Picachos, 2002).

**Scat Collection.** We collected 169 scats along roads and trails opportunistically during weekly hikes in the study area during December of 2004-November of 2005, which covered 3 seasons: dry season (January-July), rainy season (August-October), and post-rainy season (November-December). Each scat was placed in individually labeled paper bags. We assigned each scat a number and recorded date of collection and location (Universal Transverse Mercator coordinates). Scats were air dried to avoid mold from growing until they were processed.

**Scat Analysis.** We placed the scats individually in cloth bags and washed them by water displacement until clean. We dried the samples at room temperature and separated hair, insects, and plants manually to identify the diet components from each sample. For the identification of the plant material we created a reference collection of plants from the study area. We used electron microscope at the laboratory of plant development at the Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM.

We used patterns in the hair medulla and cortex to determine mammal species using reference catalogues (Arita, 1985; Baca-Ibarra y Sánchez-Cordero, 2004). We present the results as percent occurrence (PO) and importance index (PV). The PO was expressed as the number of times they appeared as a food component in the total number of feces collected (Martínez-Meyer, 1994; Hidalgo, 1998):

$$PO = \frac{Fi}{N} \times 100$$

where: Fi is the total number of scats where food component i is present and N is the total number of scats.

For each element the importance index (PV) was quantified in cubic centimeters (cc) for each month and



**Figura 1.** Location of the study area at the state of Nuevo León, Mexico.

for each season. Volumes < 0.2 cc were considered as a trace items. Importance index was calculated as (Niño-Ramírez, 1989):

$$PV = V / \sum V \times 100,$$

where: V is the volume of the samples and  $\sum V$  is the sum of the volume of all samples.

We also quantified the importance (I) of each food item as Craighead y Sumner (1982):

$$I = 100 I_m / \sum I_m$$

where: I= importance in percentage;  $I_m$ = is given by:  $(100 PA / \sum PA) + (100 v / \sum v)$ .

To estimate which season had the most trophic diversity ( $H'$ ), we used the Shannon-Weaver index (Moreno, 2001):

$$H' = \frac{(N \log N) - (\sum f_i \log f_i)}{N}$$

where N is the number of all items in all samples and  $f_i$  is the presence of food items  $i$ .

We used IBM SPSS Statistics 11 to do the Anova test to determine if the differences in the diversity of component among seasons were statistically significant using  $p < 0.05$  (Pardo and Ruiz, 2002).

## Results

**Scat analysis.** Twenty different food items were detected, 73.4% plants, 16.8% animals (i.e., 16.1% invertebrates, 0.7% mammals), and debris (9.8%; mostly pulverized wood fragments and sand). We identified 12 items to the species level, 1 to genus, 4 to a family level, and we were unable to identify 2 items. The hair pattern of the medulla and cortex of the guard hairs found in the scats corresponded to white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and armadillo (*Dasypus novemcinctus*).

**Variation in the percentage of occurrence (PO) of food components.** We identified 12 food items: 10 plants, and 2 animals during the dry season (January-July); 12 food items: 8 plants, and 4 animal species during the rainy season (August-October), and 10 different food items from the scats during the post-rainy season (November-December). However, the percent occurrence of the components identified in the scats did not vary between the different months and seasons ( $p = 0.65$ ).

The food items consumed in greatest proportion was the Texas Persimmon (*Dyospyros texana*; 19.7%), followed debris, small unidentified and undigested plant fragments and sand; (16.2%), acorns (*Quercus* spp.; 17.7%), thick leaf milk berry (*Chiococca pachyphylla*; 11.8%), prickly pear fruit (*Opuntia* spp.; 10.1%), fiber (undigested and unidentified plant pieces; 7.5%), sotol (*Dasyilirion*

*texanum*; 6.1%), and grapes (*Vitis cinerea*; 4.5%). Within the invertebrate items, mostly beetles (Coleoptera) were found (4.7%), ants, and bees were also found in a smaller percentage as well as other items (0.9%). We found the remains of an armadillo in one scat sample and white-tailed deer in another.

*Variation in the percentage of volume (PV) of food components.* The total plant material was 4 088 cc, which accounted for 72.71% of total consumption. Animal matter was 64 cc (1.14%), and debris accounted for 1 470 cc (26.15%). The 3 items with the largest PV value present were acorns (16.7%), fiber (14.76%), and Texas Persimmon (13.91%).

*Importance of each food item.* In general, oak acorns had the highest importance value (17.65%), followed by fiber (13.87%) and then Texas Persimmon (12.04%), other food items had low importance values (< 7.0%).

*Trophic diversity.* The Shannon-Weaver diversity index ( $H'$ ) was similar during the 3 seasons (dry= 0.85, rainy= 0.87 and post-rainy= 0.88). The Anova test showed no statistically significant differences in the frequency analysis in food items consumed between seasons ( $p= 0.65$ ).

## Discussion

The analysis of the scats revealed that black bear diet in the Sierra de Picachos, Nuevo León, Mexico is mostly plants with a low percentage of non-cattle animal items, being insects. These results are consistent with previous studies in Sierra Madre Oriental (Delgadillo-Villalobos, 2001) and other studies in North America (Beeman and Pelton, 1980; Eagle and Pelton, 1983; Maehr and Brady, 1984). During this study, black bears presented a broad food spectrum with little variability in composition between seasons; however the percentage of different items changes between years and localities when results are compared with other studies in Mexico and other parts of North America.

It has been reported that the diet of black bears correlates with the fruiting season of each plant species. Since there is production of acorns all year round, we did not detect any variation on diet in relation with fruiting season. Seed production is highly variable and usually asynchronous, suggesting that reproductive strategies are different between the species of oak (Marroquín, 1997). The high consumption of acorns is probably due to the relative abundance in the region and to the high-energy content; acorns are also relatively high in fat and carbohydrates; additionally contain proteins, vitamins, calcium, and phosphorus (Landers et al., 1979; Eagle and Pelton, 1983).

Fiber consumption in our study site was high compared with other items, but lower than in other studies (Beeman and Pelton, 1980; Graber and White, 1981; Hellgren and Vaughan, 1988). This could be due to the fact that the fruits that are available are richer in water and sugar. Also, the consumption of debris seems to be mostly associated with the consumption of ants (Hellgren, 1993; Noyce et al., 1997). Invertebrates, consisting mainly of ants and beetles, were present in the diet of black bears over 9 months of the study. Their presence could be explained as a source of specific nutrients and amino acids that cannot be obtained from other dietary sources; they are also a rich source of nitrogen and lipids (Noyce et al., 1997). We found very few bees in the scats analyzed, it seems that in our study bees may be related to the consumption of honey; however, Landers et al. (1979), Maehr and Brady (1984) reported bees in stomach contents, but they reported higher amounts of bees than this study.

The consumption of prickly pear (*Opuntia* spp.) was about 50%, higher than the percentages found by Hellgren (1993) in the Big Bend area in Texas (7 to 17%) and Doan-Crider (1995) that reported a similar percentage fruit density in scats analyzed in the foothills of the Burro, Coahuila. Notably, thick leaf milkberry (*Chiococca pachyphylla*) is a species frequently consumed and important for black bear in the Sierra de Picachos; however, this plant species has not been reported in black bear diet for other populations. We found a small percentage of Laredo Oregon-grape (*Mahonia trifoliata*) intake, although there was a high density of fruiting in our study area. This contrasts with a report by Hellgren (1993) in Big Bend National Park, where this fruit was one of the dominant food components in the diet of black bears between the months of May-June.

Bears in the area eat the fruit of *Persea pachypoda* but we found no support for bears consuming the leaves. In contrast, Landers et al (1979) found that black bears from southeastern North Carolina eat only leaves and no fruits of *Persea borbonia*. Hellgren (1993) reported bears eating sotol (*Dasyllirion wheeleri*) in the study area in small amounts, similar results were presented by Silva-Hurtado (2004). The Texas persimmon (*Diospyros texana*) was one of the most common fruits consumed by bears, the equivalent of which occurs in the Big Bend National Park during the months of September and October (Doan-Crider, 1995).

For the Sierra de Picachos it has been reported that black bears have killed foals, goats, and sheep (Jiménez-Guzmán et al., 1999); there were also reports of bears eating carrion. Mammals represent a small portion of bear diet; this study, as well as other scat studies in the area has shown a low presence (16.8%) of vertebrate remains and an even lesser presence of cattle and goat remains (Niño-

Ramírez, 1989). We found only small amount of deer and no cattle remains in the diet; therefore we cannot confirm previous reports.

In black bear diet, plants were the most common item, animals and mainly insects were the next most common items, and undetermined wood fragments were least common. There was no statistically significant difference among seasons. We found only small amounts of deer remains, but it is unknown if these were taken through predation or consumed as carrion. Our analysis also failed to find cattle residue in any of the scats; therefore, black bears in this study site either do not, or rarely feed upon livestock. Cattle predation should be managed by personnel trained to differentiate predation by bear, cougar, coyote and bobcat (*Lynx rufus*). The misidentification of livestock deaths due to black bears, usually results in unnecessary trapping and in many cases the elimination of the species.

Another concern is presence of corn in black bear diet, which warrants further monitoring given the propensity of bears to eat crops such as corn and oats. Bears break and smash corn stalks in the process of consuming the entire cob and they can damage large areas of cropland. In the Sierra de Picachos, cornfields are a significant source of income and food for local communities. Fencing has proven to be effective in deterring bears from eating crops, and if possible energizing fences with 3 000 volts should keep bears away. However these fences are expensive and can be cost-prohibitive. Ranchers often face the choice of comparing the cost of bears eating corn vs. the cost of implementing preventive measures, such as fencing or hunting. Therefore, these considerations and trade-offs need to be understood by wildlife managers when developing prevention programs that diminish the hunting of bears in order to protect crops.

Black bears are currently listed as an “endangered species” and it is imperative to assure their long-term survival. Management actions, public involvement and outreach need to be implemented to foster the understanding and participation of local communities in the efforts to protect this endangered species. Bears live where there is shelter, water and food, in some cases these requirements overlap with ranches, farms and even towns. Bear-human conflict is not a new problem, but it is essential to remediate the conflict for the sake of bear survival. We have to find a way for bears to coexist with people. Government officials could implement an extensive and targeted outreach campaign (flyers/signs and/or workshops) for local communities with information regarding how to deal with bear presence on their lands. Specific actions can prevent damage caused by bears, such as not feeding bears, not approaching or harassing

bears, keeping garbage in containers with locking lids, storing livestock feed and pet food in closed containers or inside buildings with closed doors, erecting fences around gardens and crops, among others. Also, there is a need for more governmental action to protect bear habitat and deter bear presence in human settlements such as the removal of invasive woody species, implementing water conservation and erosion control activities. Community-based actions combined with governmental actions would improve black bear habitat and should help maintain the availability of food, water and cover for bears and minimize bear-human conflicts.

### Acknowledgments

This paper constitutes a partial fulfillment of the Graduate Program in Biological Sciences of UNAM. L. A. Juárez-Casillas acknowledges the scholarship and financial support provided by the Conacyt and UNAM. We thank the specialists from the National Herbarium (MEXU), UNAM, and the Herbarium (UANL), especially to Martha Virginia Olvera-García. We would like to thank Andrés M. Sada for allowing us to collect samples on his ranch; the researchers from the Organización Vida Silvestre, A. C., Marivel Medrano-Padilla and Cecilia Hernández-Cavazos for their help in the sample collection; Marcela González-Álvarez at the UANL Herbarium for helping in the identification of seeds.

### Literature cited

- Arita, H. T. 1985. Identificación de los pelos de guardia dorsales de los mamíferos silvestres del Valle de México. Thesis, Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM. Mexico, D. F. 128 p.
- Baca-Ibarra, I. I. y V. Sánchez-Cordero. 2004. Catálogo de pelos de guardia dorsal en mamíferos del estado de Oaxaca, México. Anales del Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Serie Zoológica 75:383-437.
- Baldwin, R. A. and L. C. Bender. 2009. Food and nutritional components of diets of black bear in Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado. Canadian Journal of Zoology 87:1000-1008.
- Beeman, L. E. and M. R. Pelton. 1980. Seasonal food and feeding ecology of black bears in the Smoky Mountains. International Conference of Bear Research and Management 4:141-147.
- Bull, E. and T. L. Wertz. 2001. The importance of vegetation, insects and Neonate Ungulates in black bear diet in Northeastern Oregon. Northwest Science 75:244-253.
- Conabio (Comisión nacional para el conocimiento y uso de la biodiversidad). 2004. Programa de Manejo de la Zona Sujeta a Conservación Ecológica “Cerro Picachos” Pronatura Noreste A. C.
- Craighead, J. J. and J. S. Sumner. 1982. Evaluation of grizzly bear food plants, food categories, and habitat. In A definitive

- system for analysis of grizzly bear habitat and other wilderness resources, J. J. Craighead, J. S. Sumner and G. B. Scaggs (eds.). Wildlife-Wildland Inst. New York. p. 43-84.
- Delgadillo-Villalobos, J. 2001. Ecología nutricional del oso negro en la Sierra Maderas del Carmen, Coahuila, México. Master thesis, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, UANL, Monterrey. 96 p.
- Detenal (Dirección de estudios del territorio nacional). 1978. Carta topográfica, los nogales g14c87; escala 1:50 000. Secretaría de programación y presupuesto, Mexico, D. F.
- Doan-Crider, D. L. 1995. Food habits of the Mexican black bear, in Big Bend National Park, Texas and Serranías del Burro, Coahuila, Mexico. Master thesis, Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Kingsville. 39 p.
- Eagle, T. C. and M. R. Pelton. 1983. Seasonal nutrition of black bear in the Great Smoky Mountain National Park. International Conference of Bear Research and Management 5:94-101.
- Franzmann, A. W., C. C. Schwartz, and R. O. Peterson. 1980. Moose calf mortality in summer on the Kenai Peninsula, Alaska. Journal of Wildlife Management 44:764-768.
- García, E., 1981. Modificaciones al sistema de clasificación climática de Köppen. Instituto de Geografía, UNAM, México; 1-209 pp.
- Graber, D. M. and M. White. 1981. Black bear food habits in Yosemite National Park. International Conference of Bear Research and Management 5:1-10.
- Greenleaf, S. S., S. M. Matthews, R. G. Wright, J. J. Beecham and H. M. Leithead. 2009. Food habits of American black bears as a metric for direct management of human-bear conflict in Yosemite Valley, Yosemite National Park, California. Ursus 20:94-101.
- Hellgren, E. C. 1993. Status, distribution, and summer food habits of black bears in Big Bend National Park. Southwestern Naturalist 38:77-80.
- Hellgren, E. C. and M. R. Vaughan. 1988. Seasonal food habits of black bears in Great Dismal Swamp, Virginia-North Carolina. Proceedings Annual Conference Southeast Association Fish and Wildlife. Agencies 42:295-305.
- Hellgren, E. C. 1993. Status, distribution, and summer food habits of black bears in Big Bend National Park. International Conference of Bear Research and Management 8:77-80.
- Hidalgo, M. G. 1998. Hábitos alimentarios del coyote (*Canis latrans*) en un bosque tropical caducifolio de la Costa de Jalisco, México. Thesis, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, UNAM. México D. F. 57 p.
- Holcroft, A. C. and S. Herrero. 1991. Black bear, *Ursus americanus*, food habits in southwestern Alberta. Canadian Field Naturalist 105:335-345.
- Horstman, L. P. and J. R. Gunson. 1982. Black Bear Predation on Livestock in Alberta Wildlife Society Bulletin 10:34-39.
- Inegi (Instituto de estadística, geografía e informática). Secretaría de programación y presupuesto 1981. Síntesis geográfica del estado de Nuevo León. www.inegi.org.mx; última consulta: 24.X.2004.
- Jiménez-Guzmán, A., M. A. Zúñiga-Ramos and J. A. Niño-Ramírez. 1999. Mamíferos de Nuevo León, México. Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León. 178 p.
- Kunkel, K. E. and L. D. Mech. 1994. Wolf and bear predation on white-tailed deer fawns. Canadian Journal of Zoology 72:1557-1565.
- Landers, J. L., A. S. Jonson and R. L. Marchinton. 1979. Food and habitat of black bears in southeastern North Carolina. Journal of Wildlife Management 43:143-153.
- Machutchon, A. G. 1989. Spring and summer food habits of black bears in the Pelly River Valley, Yukon. Northwest Science 63:116-118.
- Maehr, D. S. and J. R. Brady. 1984. Food habits of Florida black bears. Journal of Wildlife Management 48:230-235.
- Marroquín, F. R. 1997. Algunos aspectos sobre la fenología, producción de bellota, propagación de seis especies de Encino *Quercus* L. del Estado de Nuevo León. México. Master thesis, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, UANL, Monterrey. 140 p.
- Martínez-Meyer, E. 1994. Hábitos alimentarios de Lince (*Lynx rufus*) en la Sierra del Ajusco, México. Thesis, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, UNAM, México, D. F. 65 p.
- Medellín, R. A., C. Manterola, M. Valdéz, S. G. Hewitt, D. Doan-Crider and T. E. Fullbright. 2005. History, ecology and conservation of the pronghorn antelope, bighorn sheep and black bear in Mexico. In Biodiversity, ecosystems, and conservation in northern Mexico, J. E. Cartron, G. Ceballos, R. S. Felger (eds.). Oxford University Press, New York. p. 387-404.
- Moreno, C. E. 2001. Manual de métodos para medir la biodiversidad. Programa Iberoamericano de Ciencia y Tecnología para el Desarrollo, Oficina Regional de Ciencia y Tecnología para América Latina y el Caribe, UNESCO y Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa. Serie Manuales y Tesis SEA. España 84 p.
- Murie, A. 1948. Cattle on grizzly bear range. Journal of Wildlife Management 12:57-72.
- Niño-Ramírez, J. 1989. Análisis preliminar de la dieta de verano del oso negro (*Ursus americanus*), en la Sierra Los Picachos, Higuera, Nuevo León, México. Thesis. Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, UANL, Monterrey. 33 p.
- Noyce, K. V., P. B. Kanno and M. R. Riggs. 1997. Black bears as ant-eaters: seasonal associations between bear myrmecophagy and ant ecology in north-central Minnesota. Canadian Journal of Zoology 75:1671-1686.
- Pardo, A. and M. A. Ruiz. 2002. SPSS 11. Guía para el análisis de datos. McGraw-Hill. Madrid, España. 714 p.
- Pelton, M.R. 2003. Black bear. In Wild mammals of North America: biology, management, and conservation, G. A. Feldhammer and J. A. Chapman (eds.). The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore. p. 547-555.
- Programa de manejo de la zona sujeta a conservación ecológica Cerro Picachos, Nuevo León. 2002. Pronatura Noreste A. C. Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey.
- Ramos-Silva, C. 2004. Florística y etnobotánica de la vertiente norte de la Sierra de Picachos, Nuevo León, México. Thesis,

- Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, UANL, Monterrey. 56 p.
- Semarnat, 2010. Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-059-SEMARNAT-2010, Protección ambiental - Especies nativas de México de flora y fauna silvestres - Categorías de riesgo y especificaciones para su inclusión, exclusión o cambio - Lista de especies en riesgo. Diario Oficial de la Federación. 30 de diciembre de 2010, Segunda Sección, México.
- Schlegel, M. 1976. Factors affecting calf elk survival in north central Idaho. A Progress Report. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of Western Association of State Fish and Game Commissioners 56:342-355.
- Silva-Hurtado, C. 2004. Hábitos alimenticios del oso negro (*Ursus americanus*) en la Sierra de San Luis, Sonora, México. Thesis, Facultad de Estudios Superiores Iztacala, UNAM, México, D. F. 56 p.
- Singer, F.J., A. Harting, K.K. Symonds and M. B. Coughenour. 1997. Density dependence, compensation, and environmental effects on elk calf mortality in Yellowstone National Park. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 61:12-25.
- Smith, M. E. and E. H. Follmann. 1993. Black bear denning ecology in interior Alaska. *International Conference of Wildlife Management* 46:845-859.