



Special article

Communication Between the Obese Patient and Bariatric Surgeon[☆]



David Ruiz de Angulo,^{a,*} Vicente Munitiz,^a M. Ángeles Ortiz,^a Luisa F. Martínez de Haro,^a M. Dolores Frutos,^a Antonio Hernández,^b Pascual Parrilla^a

^aServicio de Cirugía General y Aparato Digestivo, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, El Palmar, Murcia, Spain

^bServicio de Endocrinología y Nutrición, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, El Palmar, Murcia, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 October 2014

Accepted 16 January 2015

Available online 9 October 2015

Keywords:

Doctor patient communication

Obesity

Bariatric surgery

Communication skills

Expectations

A B S T R A C T

Communication between the bariatric surgeon and the obese patient is very important as it influences the expectations of patients with regard to surgery, aim of the surgery and the understanding of the mechanisms of failure of surgery. Furthermore, the incidence of certain psychopathology in these patients makes it necessary for the surgeon to have the ability to communicate to the patient the need for motivation and the maintenance of healthy life habits. Although the topic is subjective, in this article we review several useful recommendations to optimise communication before and after surgery. Finally, we emphasise the need to create workshops to train the bariatric surgeon in these issues that we consider so important.

© 2014 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

La comunicación entre el paciente obeso y el cirujano bariátrico

R E S U M E N

La comunicación entre el cirujano bariátrico y el paciente obeso es muy importante, ya que influye en las expectativas de los pacientes respecto a la cirugía, sus objetivos y la comprensión de los mecanismos por los que esta puede fallar. Además, la incidencia de determinadas condiciones psicopatológicas en este grupo de población exige a los cirujanos poseer unas habilidades psicológicas que les permitan una comunicación destinada a conseguir el compromiso del paciente y el mantenimiento de unos hábitos de vida saludables. A pesar de la subjetividad del tema, en este artículo se exponen ciertas recomendaciones útiles para optimizar dicha comunicación antes y después de la intervención quirúrgica. Por último, destacamos la necesidad de crear talleres destinados a la formación del cirujano bariátrico en esta faceta que consideramos tan importante.

© 2014 AEC. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Palabras clave:

Comunicación médico paciente

Obesidad

Cirugía bariátrica

Habilidades de comunicación

Expectativas

[☆] Please cite this article as: Ruiz de Angulo D, Munitiz V, Ortiz MÁ, Martínez de Haro LF, Frutos MD, Hernández A, et al. La comunicación entre el paciente obeso y el cirujano bariátrico. Cir Esp. 2015;93:492-495.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: druizdeangulo@hotmail.com (D. Ruiz de Angulo).

Introduction

Doctor-patient communication plays a very important role in patient care,¹ influencing their health as much as their quality of life.² There is an additional aspect to this when a patient is referred for surgical intervention.³ In the same way that the relationship between the surgeon and an oncological patient has its own characteristics,⁴ interaction with an obese person requires not just the proper explanation and meticulous performance of the surgery itself, but also requires social and psychological skills to obtain good results.

No other area of general practice requires as much collaboration and motivation from the patient to achieve the expected results as this. So much so that several authors^{5,6} have described how the maintenance or increase of weight loss during the first year after surgery depends primarily on the information that patients receive, their expectations, the acquisition of good nutritional habits, and regular physical activity.

Because of this, Lanyon et al.⁵ emphasise the need to continue reinforcing all these factors after surgery. In addition to this, the prevalence of diverse pre-surgery psychopathological conditions in this patient group is relatively high,⁷ and this should be taken into account when communicating with patients. In fact, it has been confirmed that bariatric post-surgery outcomes are poorer in patients with psychological conditions; and, for this reason, they will need more active psycho-social intervention.⁸

Although establishing guidelines or rules for communication between surgeons and obese patients is rather complicated due to the subjectivity of the topic, this article proposes several useful recommendations for improving this relationship. We cover two different scenarios, before and after surgery, and present some final remarks on training the surgeon in this respect.

First Interview

Wording

Obesity continues to be a socially-stigmatised disease, frequently associated with feelings of guilt. Terms such as "obesity", "fat" or "obese person" convey a negative message to the greater percentage of patients, who prefer to speak of their condition using words such as "overweight", "excess weight", "weight problems", "unhealthy body weight", or "unhealthy body mass index".^{9,10} Therefore, the terms that we use to refer to obesity during a medical interview do matter.

Expectations and Objectives

Often, the expectations of patients regarding weight loss after surgery are greater than the results expected by the surgeons.^{11,12} This creates disappointment in the medium to long term, significantly reducing the adherence to dietary and behavioural programmes. For this reason, it is easier to indicate achievable, realistic objectives from the beginning, based on the surgeon's experience, the patient's individual

characteristics, and on existing research. Many patients ask how many kilograms they should lose within a specific period of time. We point out the fact that there is a weight-loss range, which is dependent on certain factors, and that for this reason the patient should not focus all their hopes on weight loss. In accordance with this, we also challenge the popular conception of obese people that surgery will work miracles. We aim to make them understand, at this point, that surgical intervention is merely a starting point to help them to lose weight and address any associated diseases, as long as they are able to maintain certain specific behaviour over time. Patients must know that surgical intervention alone is not enough to reach an ideal body weight.

Following this approach of actively involving patients in the process of addressing their own disease, we emphasise the requirement for pre-operative weight loss, which, through a low-calorie diet, will, in addition to reducing hepatic volume and making surgery easier for the surgeon,¹³ test the patient's capacity for making sacrifices and a genuine effort to change their behaviour when needed. We believe that this is how the issue should be explained to the patient. Moreover, Livhits et al. find that pre-operative weight loss may be positively associated with weight loss after surgery,¹⁴ although the relationship is not entirely clear.

Surgical Intervention

As the patient's commitment is a high-priority, we describe the intervention and the mechanisms by which it works (restriction, poor absorption, a mix of both). Whilst the informed consent form gives details of some of the characteristics of the intervention, some terminology may be complex. The use of images, drawings and plans may be useful strategies for improving surgeon-patient communication and may also increase patient understanding and satisfaction.¹⁵ It is suggested that explanatory booklets using simple language are provided, repeating the information discussed during the consultation. Some patients are anxious and do not assimilate all the information from the outset; therefore also having the information in a paper format will help them to remember the details and should also clarify any doubts.

The reversibility or irreversibility of the surgery, the possible post-operative complications, as well as their severity and how to address them will also be clarified. We challenge the concept that bariatric surgery is a minor intervention; it is a major procedure with elevated risks, particularly in patients with certain comorbidities.¹⁶ Once all this information has been explained, we then describe the normal post-operative process that occurs in most cases, involving a short hospital stay and low analgesic requirements. This will help the patients to internalise the challenge that they are about to face.

Quality of Life

Some patients ask about symptoms following surgical intervention, or if they will need chronic treatment as a consequence of the change in their gastrointestinal anatomy, or question what they will or will not be able to do afterwards. In other words, they are concerned about decreased post-operative well-being. In most cases an "expected" improve-

ment in their quality of life constitutes a motivation in itself for seeking bariatric surgery,¹⁷ as patients already suffer limitations in many aspects of their everyday life. Using questionnaires completed before and after surgery, several authors have confirmed a substantial improvement in the scores in every domain, comparable even to those reported by general population controls.^{18,19} However, an increased risk of suicide,²⁰ alcoholism and substance abuse²¹ has also paradoxically been reported in some patients. For this reason, patients must understand that they may feel depressed due to the sensation of loss of their "alter ego" during the first year, or they may feel irritable, but that this is something transitory.

During the consultation we emphasise the commonest gastrointestinal symptoms and the need to correctly follow the diet in phases, in accordance with the intervention plan. In order to provide additional information to the subject, we recommend quantifying the patient's quality of life in the short, medium, and long-term, as the existence of cultural, regional or socio-economic variables may not allow for the exact repetition of results published by other authors.

Follow-up

During the First Year

This is a crucial period when the impact of the surgery on weight loss is most abrupt. Quality of life also improves substantially, so we can consider that the patient submitted for bariatric surgery experiences a 'honeymoon' period. Likewise, it is the time where patient motivation is at its highest, emphasising the beneficial effects of the intervention. We recommend positive reinforcement to assist the acquisition of healthy habits, emphasising the improvement in quality of life and the resolution of comorbidities. Some subjects, even when they are generally satisfied, are influenced by on-line information or rumours, and seek consultation with concerns about their weight loss. They may have concerns regarding whether it may or may not be in accordance with the time that has elapsed after surgery. It should be explained to the patient that the results are quantified by analysing the percentage of excess weight loss and not by the total number of kilograms, which can vary significantly from patient to patient.

It is also important to promote regular physical activity, particularly aerobic exercise, with a minimum of 150 min per week²² along with an enquiry into the dietary habits of the patients. From the first week, assessment by a specialist in Endocrinology and Nutrition should be mandatory in order to monitor potential vitamin or mineral deficiencies, and also for the control of potential pre-existing comorbidities and nutritional counselling. Unfortunately we are often surprised to learn the things our patients eat due to their lack of awareness or noncompliance with the diet. We are also aware of the need to identify those subjects who may need to be psychologically assessed by a specialist, emphasising that it is a normal part of the process and beneficial for them. With regard to this, it is essential that a group of psychologists are part of the multidisciplinary team dedicated to the treatment of morbid obesity, and that they offer regular periodical support to the patients.

Insufficient Weight Loss or Weight Regain

A patient who has not achieved adequate weight loss or who presents gradual weight regain should have the possible causes of this explained to them from the beginning. Specifically: lack of adherence to changes in life style; intake of medications that may have encouraged the weight regain; development of maladaptive behaviour regarding food intake; psychological complications or failures in the surgery technique. The situation cannot be addressed, whatever the cause may be, with an accusative or punitive tone; instead, we enquire into the potential anatomical alterations that may explain the situation, whilst at the same time asking for a new assessment from specialists in Nutrition and Psychiatry. Maintaining a positive and encouraging attitude towards the problem is compatible with the assumption of responsibility on the part of both the patient and the surgeon. To propose the possibility of a reintervention implies the explanation of its risks, its adverse effects, and its mechanisms of action.

Training of the Bariatric Surgeon

For many physicians, treating an obese person is a potentially uncomfortable situation²³ and some studies have described negative attitudes in health professionals towards those suffering from obesity.^{24,25} As in most of the medical specialties, training courses in bariatric surgery do not usually contain specific sections focused on the acquisition of certain skills to adequately communicate with this group of patients and they may not teach methods of motivating patients to manage their own disease. Therefore, most of the bariatric surgeons communicate using their experience acquired from years of medical practice. For this reason, it is necessary to develop courses and workshops to acquire communication skills in this field, to use the right words, to avoid negative feelings, to assure patients' understanding of what they are being told and to maintain their adherence to behavioural programmes that will help them prolong the expected results of the bariatric surgery and to lead a healthy life.²⁶

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Stewart MA. Effective physician-patient communication and health outcomes: a review. *CMAJ*. 1995;152:1423-33.
2. Zhou Q, Shen JC, Liu YZ, Lin GZ, Dong H, Li K. Effects of doctor-patient communication on quality of life among breast cancer patients in Southern China. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2014;15:5639-44.
3. Lipira LE, Gallagher TH. Disclosure of adverse events and errors in surgical care: challenges and strategies for improvement. *World J Surg*. 2014;38:1614-21.
4. Martinez de Haro LF, Ruiz de Angulo D. Surgeon-oncology patient communication: an unresolved matter. *Cir Esp*. 2010;88:139-41.

5. Lanyon RI, Maxwell BM, Kraft AJ. Prediction of long-term outcome after gastric bypass surgery. *Obes Surg.* 2009;19:439-45.
6. Kalarchian M, Turk M, Elliot J, Gourash W. Lifestyle management for enhancing outcomes after bariatric surgery. *Curr Diab Rep.* 2014;14:540.
7. Stunkard AJ, Wadden TA. Psychological aspects of severe obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1992;55:524S-32S.
8. Kinzl JF, Schrattenecker M, Traweger C, Mattesich M, Fiala M, Biebl W. Psychosocial predictors of weight loss after bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg.* 2006;16:1609-14.
9. Wadden TA, Didie E. What's in a name? Patients' preferred terms for describing obesity. *Obes Res.* 2003;11:1140-6. *Obes Surg* 2009;19:439-45.
10. Gray CM, Hunt K, Lorimer K, Anderson AS, Benzeval M, Wyke S. Words matter: a qualitative investigation of which weight status terms are acceptable and motivate weight loss when used by health professionals. *BMC Public Health.* 2011;11:513.
11. Kaly P, Orellana S, Torrella T, Takagishi C, Saff-Koche L, Murr MM. Unrealistic weight loss expectations in candidates for bariatric surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2008;4:6-10.
12. Price HI, Gregory DM, Twells LK. Weight loss expectations of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy candidates compared to clinically expected weight loss outcomes 1-year post-surgery. *Obes Surg.* 2013;23:1987-93.
13. Edholm D, Kullberg J, Haenni A, Karlsson FA, Ahlström A, Hedberg J, et al. Preoperative 4-week low-calorie diet reduces liver volume and intrahepatic fat, and facilitates laparoscopic gastric bypass in morbidly obese. *Obes Surg.* 2011;21:345-50.
14. Livhits M, Mercado C, Yermilov I, Parikh JA, Dutson E, Mehran A, et al. Preoperative predictors of weight loss following bariatric surgery: systematic review. *Obes Surg.* 2012;22:70-89.
15. Vilallonga R, Fort JM, Iordache N, Armengol M, Clèries X, Solà M. Use of images in a surgery consultation. Will it improve the communication? *Chirurgia (Bucur).* 2012;107:213-7.
16. Khan MA, Grinberg R, Johnson S, Afthinos JN, Gibbs KE. Perioperative risk factors for 30-day mortality after bariatric surgery: is functional status important? *Surg Endosc.* 2013;27:1772-7.
17. Munoz DJ, Lal M, Chen EY, Mansour M, Fischer S, Roehrig M, et al. Why patients seek bariatric surgery: a qualitative and quantitative analysis of patient motivation. *Obes Surg.* 2007;17:1487-91.
18. Robert M, Denis A, Badol-van Straaten P, Jaisson-Hot I, Gouillat C. Prospective longitudinal assessment of change in health-related quality of life after adjustable gastric banding. *Obes Surg.* 2013;23:1564-70.
19. Courcoulas AP, Christian NJ, Belle SH, Berk PD, Flum DR, Garcia L, et al. Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery (LABS) Consortium. Weight change and health outcomes at 3 years after bariatric surgery among individuals with severe obesity. *JAMA.* 2013;310:2416-25.
20. Mitchell JE, Crosby R, de Zwaan M, Engel S, Roerig J, Steffen K, et al. Possible risk factors for increased suicide following bariatric surgery. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2013;21:665-72.
21. Dixon JB, Blazey JM. Quality of life after bariatric surgery. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2014;2:100-2.
22. Mechanick JI, Youdim A, Jones DB, Garvey WT, Hurley DL, McMahon MM, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists; Obesity Society; American Society for Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery Clinical practice guidelines for the perioperative nutritional, metabolic, and nonsurgical support of the bariatric surgery patient—2013 update: Cosponsored by American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, the Obesity Society, and American Society of Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery. *Endocr Pract.* 2013;19:337-72.
23. Acosta A, Azzalin A, Emmons CJ, Shuster JJ, Jay M, Lo MC. Improving residents' clinical approach to obesity: impact of a multidisciplinary didactic curriculum. *Postgrad Med J.* 2014;90:630-7.
24. Maiman LA, Wang VL, Becker MH, Finlay J, Simonson M. Attitudes toward obesity and the obese among professionals. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1979;74:331-6.
25. Berryman DE1, Dubale GM, Manchester DS, Mittelstaedt R. Dietetics students possess negative attitudes toward obesity similar to nondietetics students. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006;106:1678-82.
26. Swift JA, Choi E, Puhl RM, Glazebrook C. Talking about obesity with clients: preferred terms and communication styles of UK. Pre-registration dietitians, doctors, and nurses. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2013;91:186-91.