

CIRUGÍA ESPAÑOLA

www.elsevier.es/cirugia



Original article

Surgery in the Cardiovascular Surgical Intensive Care Unit ${}^{\overset{\scriptscriptstyle\wedge},\overset{\scriptscriptstyle\wedge}{\scriptscriptstyle\times}}$



Juan Fernando Encalada, Paula Campelos, Cristian Delgado, Guillermo Ventosa, Eduard Quintana, Elena Sandoval, Daniel Pereda, Ramón Cartaňá, Salvador Ninot, Clemente Barriuso, Miguel Josa, Manuel Castellá, José Luis Pomar, Jaime Mulet, Carlos-Alberto Mestres^{*}

Servicio de Cirugía Cardiovascular, Hospital Clínico, Universidad de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 December 2014 Accepted 7 July 2015 Available online 24 March 2016

Keywords: Surgery Cardiac Intensive care Operation

ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyse the indications, actions and results of the operations performed in the Cardiovascular Surgery Intensive Care Unit. Methods: Retrospective analysis of consecutive non-selected adult patients operated in the ICU, with descriptive statistics. All operations performed were included. Results: Between 2008 and 2013, 3379 consecutive adult patients were operated upon. A total of 124 operations were performed in the ICU in 109 patients 70 male (64.2%) and 39 female (35.8%), with a mean age of 61.6 years (12-80). This represented 3.2% of all operations. During the study period, 185 patients (5.5%) were reoperated for postoperative bleeding/cardiac tamponade in the operating room. Previous interventions were for valvular heart disease (34.9%), aortic disease (22.9%), ischaemic heart disease (15.6%), combined valvular/ischaemic (12%), valvular/aorta (11%) and miscellaneous (3.6%). The indications for reoperation were: persistent bleeding 54 (43.5%), pericardial tamponade 41 (33%), low cardiac output 13 (10.5%), cardiac arrest/arrhythmia 8 (6.5%), respiratory insufficiency 6 (4.8%) and acute ischaemic limb 2 (1.7%). Operations performed were: mediastinal exploration 73 (58.9%), implant/removal of ECMO 17 (13.7%), sternal closure 16 (12.9%), open resuscitation 9 (7.3%), subxiphoid drainage 7 (5.6%) and femoral embolectomy 2 (1.6%). Overall mortality was 33%. There was one case of mediastinitis 0.9%, with no difference from patients operated in the regular operating room. Conclusions: Operations in the ICU represent a safe, life-saving alternative in specific sub-

groups of patients. The risk of wound infection is not increased, unstable patients are not transferred and there is time savings.

© 2015 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

2173-5077/ © 2015 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

^{*} Please cite this article as: Encalada JF, Campelos P, Delgado C, Ventosa G, Quintana E, Sandoval E, et al. Reintervenciones en una Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos de Cirugía Cardiovascular. Cir Esp. 2016;94:227–231.

^{**} Part of the data from this article was presented at the 22nd National Conference of the Spanish Society of Thoracic-Cardiovascular Surgery, Santiago de Compostela, 18–20 June, 2014.

E-mail address: cmestres@clinic.ub.es (C.-A. Mestres).

Palabras clave: Cirugía Cardiaca Cuidados Intensivos Intervención

Reintervenciones en una Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos de Cirugía Cardiovascular

RESUMEN

Introducción: Analizar las indicaciones, acciones y resultados de las operaciones realizadas en la Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos (UCI) de Cirugía Cardiovascular.

Métodos: Análisis retrospectivo de pacientes adultos consecutivos intervenidos en la Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos (UCI). Se incluyeron todas las intervenciones practicadas. Se realizó estadística descriptiva.

Resultados: Entre 2008 y 2013 se intervinieron 3379 pacientes adultos consecutivos. Se practicaron en la UCI 124 intervenciones en 109 pacientes, 70 hombres (64,2%) y 39 mujeres (35,8%) con un promedio de edad de 61,6 años (12-80). Ello ha representado un 3,2%. Durante el mismo periodo de tiempo, se intervinieron en quirófano 185 pacientes por taponamiento cardiaco/hemorragia postoperatoria (5,5%). Las intervenciones previas fueron por cardiopatía valvular (34,9%), patología aórtica (22,9%), cardiopatía isquémica (15,6%), valvular/ isquémica (12%), valvular/de la aorta (11%) y miscelánea (3,6%). Las indicaciones de intervención fueron hemorragia persistente 54 (43,5%), taponamiento cardiaco 41 (33%), bajo gasto cardiaco 13 (10,5%), parada cardíaca/arritmias 8 (6,5%), insuficiencia respiratoria 6 (4,8%) e isquemia de extremidades 2 (1,7%). Las intervenciones fueron: exploración medias-tínica 73 (58,9%), colocación/retirada de ECMO 17 (13,7%), cierre esternal 16 (12,9%), resucitación abierta 9 (7,3%), drenaje subxifoideo 7 (5,6%) y embolectomía femoral 2 (1,6%). La mortalidad fue 33%. Hubo un caso de mediastinitis (0,9%), sin diferencias con la tasa de infecciones profundas en pacientes no intervenidos en UCI.

Conclusiones: La intervención en UCI es una alternativa segura y de rescate en subgrupos específicos de pacientes. no incrementa el riesgo de infección, evita el transporte de pacientes inestables y permite ahorrar tiempo en la decisión quirúrgica postoperatoria.

© 2015 AEC. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Approximately 5%–8% of patients who undergo cardiac surgery require reoperation in the immediate postoperative period. The most frequent complications requiring intervention are: postoperative bleeding, cardiac tamponade, haemodynamic instability (cardiac arrest or arrhythmia), prolonged mechanical ventilation with tracheotomy, deferred sternal closure, and placement or removal of circulatory assist devices.¹

In general, patients who require postoperative surgical techniques after cardiac surgery are transferred to the operating room in conditions of haemodynamic instability (hypotension, low cardiac output, persistent haemorrhage), which can aggravate the situation by increasing the risks inherent of reoperation. Furthermore, the disadvantage of the distance between the intensive care unit (ICU) and the cardiac surgery operating theatre must also be taken into account, as well as the time necessary to organise the surgical team outside the regular work schedule or on holidays. The minimum time for organising the operating room can oscillate between 1 or 2 h in most hospitals, which can influence the final result. Only a limited number of hospitals have an appropriate design in which the ICU and the operating room are integrated into one unit.²

An alternative option to surgical exploration in the operating room is to operate in the ICU. This alternative is accepted in practice, although there are few data available about its use in our setting. The accumulated experience of surgical interventions in the ICU and the positive results have gradually increased its indication and encouraged its use instead of transferring patients with haemodynamic instability to the operating room.³

The objective of the present article is to present our department's experience with operations conducted in the ICU in patients with previous major cardiac surgery. We also present the results derived from this alternative versus conventional surgical exploration.

Methods

This study is a retrospective analysis of consecutive adult patients who had undergone major surgery (sternotomy and thoracotomy with/without extracorporeal circulation) who required reoperation in the ICU. Data from the department's database were analysed with descriptive statistics.

The patients were operated on in accordance with operating room surgical protocol and the Resuscitation Guidelines by the European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery.⁴

Decision

The decision to operate on a patient in the ICU should be made by the head surgeon or the surgeon with the most experience and responsibility.

Definitions

Major Cardiac Surgery

Major cardiac surgery was defined as any intrathoracic or thoracoabdominal operation on the heart and large intrathoracic vessels, with or without extracorporeal circulation (e.g. myocardial revascularisation, pericardiectomy, correction of thoracic aneurysm).

Indications for Reoperation

The following conditions were considered indications for reoperation: persistent postoperative haemorrhage, cardiac tamponade, cardiac arrest or malignant arrhythmias, heart or respiratory failure that were refractory to medical treatment, retrosternal collections and cardiac oedema.

Postoperative Haemorrhage

A haemorrhage required revision surgery when: postoperative discharge was 400 mL/h in the first hour (200 mL/m²), more than 300 mL/h for 2 h (150 mL/m²/h×2-3 h) or more than 200 mL/h for 4 h (100 mL/m²/h×4 h).⁵

Cardiac Tamponade

Cardiac tamponade was defined as the clinical situation that results from cardiac compression caused by effusion of fluid or accumulation of blood or clots in the pericardium, resulting in increased intrapericardial pressure, increased venous pressure and circulatory collapse, with a drop in systolic arterial pressure during inspiration.⁶

Open Sternotomy

Open sternotomy was used for mediastinal packing with compresses if intrathoracic haemostasis was impossible to control. We also opted for deferred closure if it was impossible to perform thoracic closure due to dilatation of the cardiac cavities, and open sternum management was therefore necessary.^{7,8}

Refractory Heart Failure

This refers to stage D heart failure, according to the classification proposed by the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association. This includes patients with advanced structural heart disease and acute signs of heart failure at rest in spite of optimal medical treatment with intravenous inotropic drugs who would be candidates (given no contraindications) for other specialised therapeutic alternatives, such as heart transplantation, surgical ventricular restoration or mechanical circulatory support.⁹

Refractory Respiratory Failure

Refers to situations in which hypoxaemia or hypercarbia cannot be corrected despite maximum invasive mechanical ventilation.

Hospital Mortality

Hospital mortality was defined as deaths in the operating room, within the first 30 days after the surgical procedure, or after the first 30 days if the patient remained hospitalised. Complications and mortality were reported in accordance with the guidelines by Akins et al.¹⁰

Equipment, Procedure and Technique

We followed the recommendations for the exploration of patients in cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery published by the European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery, which are applied to all types of ICU interventions.⁴

The procedure for ICU interventions was the same that is followed in the operating theatre, except that the patient remained in their hospital bed with ICU monitoring (ECG, BP, pulmonary arterial pressure, oxygen saturation). The surgical team included the surgeon and assisting surgeon and, when possible, surgical staff. Preparation included washing and dressing as in the operating room. An additional dose of antibiotic prophylaxis was administered. The operative site was prepared with iodine solution. Plastic surgical drapes covered selected skin areas in order to minimise skin exposure.

The procedures were done under general anaesthesia. A member of the ICU team stood at the head of the bed to administer medication and monitor the patient. The soft tissue and sternal edges were inspected, any clots present in the cavity were suctioned and the surgical sutures were inspected systematically. Any bleeding points were immediately controlled with sutures, metallic staples, electrocoagulation or haemostatic material, and chest drain tubes were reused. Once haemostasis was reached, the chest was closed normally with stainless steel wire for the sternum and closure by planes. Local instillation of antibiotic solution was not used to treat the surgical wound.

Results

Between 2008 and 2013, 3379 consecutive adult patients underwent major cardiac surgery. There were 124 reoperations done in 109 patients: 70 men (64.2%) and 39 women (35.8%). Mean age was 61.6 (12–80). In our setting, this represented 3.2%. During the same time period, 185 patients were treated in the OR for cardiac tamponade/postoperative bleeding, which was 5.5%.

The original interventions in this group that required reoperation in the ICU are shown in Table 1. The two patients with vascular pathology included in this table were treated for abdominal aortic aneurysm and haemorrhage of the supraaortic trunks prior to stent placement. The indication for intervention in the ICU is described in Table 2. The most frequent causes for surgery in the ICU were persistent

Table 1 – Initial Operation.		
Diagnosis	No.	%
Valvular cardiomyopathy	38	34.9
Aortic pathology	25	22.9
Ischaemic heart disease	17	15.6
Combined ischaemic/valvular	13	12.0
Combined valvular/aortic	12	11.0
Vascular pathology	2	1.8
Congenital heart disease	1	0.9
Pacemakers	1	0.9
Total	109	100

Table 2 – Indications for Surgery in the ICU.			
Indication	No.	%	
Persistent bleeding	54	43.5	
Cardiac tamponade	41	33.0	
Low cardiac output	13	10.5	
Cardiac arrest/malignant arrhythmia	8	6.5	
Respiratory failure	6	4.8	
Limb ischaemia	2	1.7	
Total	124	100	

postoperative bleeding in 54 cases (43.5%) and cardiac tamponade in 41 (33%).

Procedures

The surgical procedures conducted in the ICU are shown in Table 3. Since the most frequent indications were postoperative bleeding and cardiac tamponade, mediastinal exploration was done in 60.5% of cases. In 13.7% of patients, we proceeded with the placement or removal of circulatory support devices. All the procedures were completed in accordance with the standard surgical protocol of the Cardiovascular Surgery Department.

Mortality

The mortality rate of the series was 33% (36 cases). The causes of death of the patients in our series were: multiple organ failure, 27; malignant ventricular arrhythmia, 1; exsanguination, 2; massive haemoptysis, 1; cardiogenic shock, 2; and cerebrovascular accident, 2. None of the deaths were related with the surgical approach or having performed the operation in the ICU.

Infection of the Surgical Wound

One case of mediastinitis was detected, which represented 0.9% of the patients and 0.8% of the surgeries performed, which did not differ from the rate of deep infection among non-reoperated patients (0.7%). This rate is also equivalent to reports in the literature (1%-2%).¹¹

Discussion

Operations after cardiac surgery have traditionally been done in the operating room, unless the situation was an extreme

Table 3 – Type of Operation in the ICU.			
Operation	No.	%	
Mediastinal exploration	73	58.9	
Placement/removal of ECMO	17	13.7	
Sternal closure	16	12.9	
Open resuscitation	9	7.3	
Subxiphoid drainage	7	5.6	
Femoral embolectomy	2	1.6	
Total	124	100	
ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.			

emergency, such as cardiac arrest. However, the transfer of patients to the operating theatre delays surgery, increases costs and involves mobilising patients in situations of haemodynamic instability.¹²

Life-saving emergency open chest resuscitation, as well as several other operations, can be done quickly in a well-equipped ICU with minimal morbidity and no mortality related with the procedure itself. Mortality is related with the pathology and underlying condition, although it can be argued that an emergency procedure can contribute to increased risk.

Essential prerequisites include a properly equipped ICU and staff that are capacitated to obtain adequate results when this aggressive procedure is used in patients who are in deteriorated conditions after the initial operation.¹³ Currently, it is fundamental to consider the recommendations of the European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery as part of the protocol in the intensive care setting in the postoperative period after cardiac surgery. The publication of these recommendations has confirmed the importance of an aggressive, structured approach in patients in unstable situations.⁴

One complication that is associated with increased morbidity and mortality is infection of the surgical wound. If the operation is done outside the standard operating room setting, increased incidence could be expected. The fear of an appreciable increase in the incidence of surgical wound infection could be considered a limiting factor in the decision-making process for reoperation in the ICU. Nevertheless, the rate of infection among patients reoperated in the ICU of this study was 0.9%, which is comparable to the rates observed among patients who were not operated on in the ICU (between 1% and 5%^{14,15}). Moreover, these levels have not changed substantially in the last three decades.¹⁵ In our recent experience, the rate of deep infection of the surgical wound has been 0.7%. In addition, the mortality and postoperative complication rates are comparable to those reported in the literature.

The profile of the surgical interventions is very varied depending on the indication for the operation. For this reason, not all surgeries are comparable in terms of aggressiveness or impact. This series did not include 23 cases of surgical tracheotomy. Nonetheless, it is a surgical intervention that is perfectly defined and should not be underrated.

It is difficult to quantify an eventual benefit of ICU surgery due to the difficulties in the design of a controlled study with randomised assignation to treatment groups. However, while accepting limitations, the organisation of the operative field is immediate once the decision to operate has been made, without the need to wait for an available operating room, especially outside of scheduled work hours, as mentioned previously. Based on the retrospective experience presented here, surgical interventions done in the ICU can be considered a recommendable approach in critical patients after cardiac surgery, guided by common sense, reports in the literature, current recommendations and eventual economic benefits (although these were not analysed in this study). The number of publications about reoperations in the ICU continues to be very limited, although recent data by LaPar et al.¹⁵ confirm an acute reoperation rate of around 5%, which does not seem to have decreased with the passage of time, and with a safety profile similar to ours.

Although not an objective of this study, it should be mentioned that there are potential advantages from a cost-efficiency standpoint of a well-indicated reoperation in the ICU compared with transfer to the operating room. The need for the participation of other medical professionals and the occupation of an operating room are key points that should be considered from a financial standpoint, while also guaranteeing the safety of patients operated on in situ.

Study limitations. This is a single-centre, retrospective study with methodological implications and a possible loss of data. The profile of indications and operations is varied and involves groups that are small in size, which may influence the consideration of the results. Occasionally, the terms may seem confusing as the codes were mixed. However, it represents the activity during a period of five years, which is sufficient to offer a profile of the average patient requiring acute reoperation in this setting.

In conclusion, ICU operations in cardiac surgery patients or those with cardiovascular pathology susceptible to surgery have represented 3.2% of cases in the study period. The rate of deep infection of the surgical wound was 0.9%, which is comparable to the rate observed in the general surgical population not operated on in the ICU. No complications have been detected in relation with the operations having been performed in the intensive care setting.

Surgery in the ICU can be done safely in an appropriate setting with trained staff.

Funding

No economic support was received for this study.

Authorship/Collaborators

Study design (JFE, PC, ES, EQ, DP, CAM), data acquisition and collection (JFE, ES, EQ, PC, CD, GV, RC, DP), analysis and interpretation of the results (JFE, EQ, PC, CD, GV, DP, MC, MJ, JLP, CAM), writing of the article (JFE, EQ, ES, MJ, DP, CAM), critical review (JFE, ES, EQ, MC, DP, RC, SN, CB, JLP, MJ, JM) and approval of the final version (JFE, EQ, ES, CD, PC, GV, DP, MC, RC, SN, CB, JLP, MJ, JM, CAM).

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

REFERENCES

 Fiser SM, Tribble CG, Kern JA, Long SM, Kaza AK, Kron IK. Cardiac reoperation in the intensive care unit. Ann Thorac Surg. 2001;71:1888–93.

- 2. Charalambos P, Charalambos C, Zipitis S, Keenan DS. Chest reexploration in the intensive care unit after cardiac surgery: a safe alternative to returning to the operating theater. Ann Thorac Surg. 2006;81:191–4.
- **3.** Kaiser GC, Naunheim KS, Fiore AC, Harris HH, McBride LR, Pennington GD, et al. Reoperation in the intensive care unit. Ann Thorac Surg. 1990;49:903–8.
- Dunning J, Fabbri A, Kohl PE, Levine A, Lockowandt U, Mackay J, et al. Guideline for resuscitation in cardiac arrest after cardiac surgery. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2009;36:3–28.
- Kristensen KL, Rauer LJ, Mortensen PE, Kjeldsen BJ. Reoperation for bleeding in cardiac surgery. Interac Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2012;14:1–5.
- Maisch B, Seferovic PM, Ristic AD, Erbel R, Rienmüller R, Adler Y, et al. Guideline on the diagnosis and management of pericardial disease. The task force on the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2004;25: 587–610.
- Mestres CA, Pomar JL, Acosta M, Ninot S, Barriuso C, Abad C, et al. Delayed sternal closure for life-threatening complications in cardiac operations. Ann Thorac Surg. 1991;51:773–6.
- Josa M, Khuri SF, Braunwald NS, VanCisin MF, Spencer MP, Evans DA, et al. Delayed sternal closure. An improved method of dealing with complications after cardiopulmonary bypass. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1986;91:598–603.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, Drazner MH, et al. ACCF/AHA Guidelines for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2013;128:e240–327.
- Akins CW, Miller DC, Turina MI, Kouchoukos NT, Blackstone EH, Grunkemeier GL, et al. Guidelines for reporting mortality and morbidity after cardiac valve interventions. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2008;33:523–8.
- Reser D, Rodriguez H, Biefer C, Plass A, Ruef C, Seifert B, et al. Incidence of sternal wound infection after reexploration in the intensive care unit and the use of local gentamycin. Ann Thorac Surg. 2012;94:2033–7.
- McKowen RL, Magovern GJ, Liebler GA, Park SB, Burkholder A, Maher TD. Infectious complications and costeffectiveness of open resuscitation in the surgical intensive care unit after cardiac surgery. Ann Thorac Surg. 1985;40:388–92.
- Dunning J, Nandi J, Ariffin S, Jerstice J, Danitsch D, Levine A. The Cardiac surgery Advanced Life Support Course (CALS): delivering significant improvements in emergency cardiothoracic care. Ann Thorac Surg. 2006;81:1767–72.
- Fairman RM, Edmunds LH Jr. Emergency thoracotomy in the surgical intensive care unit after open cardiac operation. Ann Thorac Surg. 1981;32:88–91.
- LaPar DJ, Isbell JM, Mulloy DP, Stone ML, Kern JA, Ailawadi G, et al. Planned cardiac reexploration in the intensive care unit is a safe procedure. Ann Thorac Surg. 2014;98:1645–52. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2014.05.090.