- Chatzizacharias NA, Rosich-Medina A, Dajani K, Harper S, Huguet E, Liau S, et al. Surgical management of hepatopancreatic metastasis from renal cell carcinoma. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2017;9:70–7. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4251/</u> wjgo.v9.i2.70.
- Bassi C, Butturini G, Falconi M, Sargenti M, Mantovani W, Pederzoli P. High recurrence rate after atypical resection for pancreatic metastases from renal cell carcinoma. Br J Surg. 2003;9:555–9. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bjs.4072</u>. PMID: 12734861.
- Santoni M, Conti A, Partelli S, Porta C, Sternberg CN, Procopio G, et al. Surgical resection does not improve survival in patients with renal metastases to the pancreas in the era of tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Ann Surg Oncol. 2015;22:2094–100. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1245/s10434-014-4256-7</u>.
- Eidt S, Jergas M, Schmidt R, Siedek M. Metastasis to the pancreas—an indication for pancreatic resection? Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2007;392:539–42. <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u> <u>10.1007/s00423-007-0148-7</u>.

Alberto Vilar Tabanera^a, Paula Muñoz Muñoz^a, José Manuel Molina Villar^a, Pablo Gajate^b, Alfonso Sanjuanbenito^a

^aUnidad de Cirugía general y aparto digestivo, Sección Cirugía Biliopancreática, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

^bUnidad de Oncología Médica, Sección de tumores digestivos, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Alb.vilartabanera@gmail.com (A. Vilar Tabanera).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cireng.2021.11.005

2173-5077/ \odot 2020 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Step-up approach in severe necrotizing pancreatitis: Combination of video-assisted retroperitoneal debridement and endoscopic necrosectomy *



Step-up approach en pancreatitis necrosante grave: combinación de desbridamiento retroperitoneal videoasistido y necrosectomía endoscópica

Walled-off pancreatic necrosis is one of the most feared complications of severe acute pancreatitis. Although most cases evolve favorably with conservative management, up to onequarter of patients will require interventional techniques^{1,2}. The management of peripancreatic collections has evolved in recent years, and the benefits of the step-up approach have been widely demonstrated^{3–5}. The current debate in this field focuses on demonstrating the superiority of endoscopic necrosectomy (EN) over video-assisted retroperitoneal debridement (VARD), or vice versa⁶. We present the case of a patient with severe acute pancreatitis and large walled-off necrosis that required the combined use of EN and VARD for its resolution.

The patient is a 69-year-old man with arterial hypertension and hyperuricemia, who came to the emergency room due to sudden and intense abdominal pain in the epigastrium. We observed notable impairment of his general condition and signs of peripheral hypoperfusion. Blood pressure was 153/95 mmHg; heart rate 86 bpm. He maintained an oxygen saturation of 89%– 92%, with an inspired oxygen fraction of 21%. Intra-abdominal pressure was 27 mmHg, measured indirectly. His abdomen was very distended, with generalized tenderness and muscle guarding. Lab work-up showed normal renal function and ions, alanine aminotransferase 256 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 518 U/L, total bilirubin 1.63 mg/dL (direct 0.96 mg/dL), amylase 6.396 U/L, lipase 21 200 U/L, C-reactive protein 51 mg/ dL, leukocytes 262 900 μ L (80% neutrophils) and coagulation in normal ranges. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan was performed 48 h after admission, showing images compatible with pancreatitis, with focal areas of necrosis and gallstones (Fig. 1A). During the first month of hospitalization in the Intensive Care Unit, he presented multiple organ failure and required non-invasive mechanical ventilation, hemofiltration, and high doses of vasoactive drugs. Due to suspected superinfection of the collection, empirical antibiotic therapy was started with piperacillin/tazobactam 4/0.5 every 8 h. The patient then presented an episode of upper gastrointestinal bleeding and endoscopy was performed, during which a large clot was observed with no other observable findings. An

^{*} Please cite this article as: Cerrato Delgado S, Valverde Martinez A, Gómez Sánchez T, Fierro Aguilar A, Pacheco García JM. Step-up approach en pancreatitis necrosante grave: combinación de desbridamiento retroperitoneal videoasistido y necrosectomía endoscópica. Cir Esp. 2022;100:52–55.



Fig. 1 – (A) abdominal CT scan at admission; (B) abdominal CT after 15 days of hospitalization; (C) abdominal CT after 29 days of hospitalization; (D) 3 percutaneous drain tubes placed under abdominal CT guidance successively for the drainage of the collection (marked with arrows).

abdominal CT scan showed hemorrhage from the left gastric artery, which was embolized. The CT scan 22 days after admission also revealed a necrosis of more than 80% of the pancreatic parenchyma and a peripancreatic necrotic collection (Fig. 1B). We then decided to insert a percutaneous pigtail catheter under CT guidance. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was isolated in the fluid culture, which was treated with 1 g ceftriaxone every 24 h according to the antibiogram. During the next 30 days of hospitalization, the patient continued to present a torpid evolution (improvement after the placement of the drain tube and progressive worsening afterwards). The drains were changed twice for others with a larger diameter, but the evolution was similar (Fig. 1C and D). Orotracheal intubation was required as a consequence of nosocomial pneumonia.

After 62 days, the follow-up CT scan showed an increase in the peripancreatic collection. We decided to carry out EN. A metallic diabolo stent was placed, and a double pigtail catheter was inserted through it. Two successive sessions of EN were carried out with an infusion of 0.3% hydrogen peroxide. In the 3rd session, a 7 Fr nasocystic catheter was inserted, through which saline lavages were carried out. During the 4th session, the stent was accidentally moved, which required replacement. Evolution continued to be torpid. Radiologically, the part of the collection located around the head of the pancreas continued to grow, extending to the right paracolic gutter. We decided to perform VARD (Fig. 2). The collection was accessed by following the pigtail catheter in place. Through a 12 mmHg trocar, 6-8 mmHg retropneumoperitoneum was created, and an auxiliary 5 mmHg trocar was inserted. The purulent contents were aspirated, and the necrotic material was removed. Aspiration lavage of the cavity was done with saline and povidone iodine. A grooved 19 Fr Blake silicone drain was placed inside the cavity, which was washed out with saline and urokinase every 12 h.



Fig. 2 – Diagram of the video-assisted retroperitoneal necrosectomy on the right side.



Fig. 3 – Follow-up CT scan in the outpatient clinic 6 months after discharge, before withdrawal of the gastric stent (white arrow: atrophic pancreas; blue arrow: gastric stent).

The patient progressed favorably. The pigtail catheter was removed on the 10th day and the Blake drain on the 16th day. On the 20th postoperative day, the patient was transferred to the hospital ward, and after 190 days of total hospital stay, he was discharged. The gastric prosthesis was removed 9 months later, after having verified that the collections had resolved correctly (Fig. 3). The patient is currently asymptomatic and under treatment for pancreatic insufficiency.

An important factor in the management of patients with severe acute pancreatitis is the correct characterization of pancreatic collections. It is recommended to wait until the definitive conformation of the wall (generally 4 weeks) before attempting any invasive technique^{7,8} (Fig. 1A-C). Within the step-up approach, there are different accepted routes to perform necrosectomy. One of them is the VARD technique. This involves stepwise management, since radioguided placement of a catheter inside the collection is necessary beforehand. There are many studies that have demonstrated a reduction in complications and mortality compared to open surgery^{5,9}. In addition, EN is also evolving as a safe technique within stepwise management. The advent of lumen-apposing metal stents seems to reduce the number of complications, especially migration, as in our patient¹⁰. The TENSION study (multicenter, controlled and randomized) found no differences in terms of morbidity and mortality between the two techniques, although it did demonstrate superiority of the endoscopic technique in terms of reducing pancreatic fistula and hospital stay compared to VARD¹⁰. In our case, however, both techniques used in conjunction for the correct resolution of the symptoms. Thus, EN was more suitable for retrogastric collections, and VARD was more useful in the paracolic gutters.

In conclusion, the combination of different necrosectomy techniques should be considered a tool for the management of severe pancreatitis and should be considered part of the multidisciplinary management of patients in hospitals with extensive experience.

REFERENCES

1. Mouli VP, Sreenivas V, Garg PK. Efficacy of conservative treatment, without necrosectomy, for infected pancreatic necrosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Gastroenterology. 2013;144:333-40. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.10.004</u>.

- Gomez CA, Di Saverio S, Sartelli M, Segallini E, Cilloni N, Pezzilli R, et al. Severe acute pancreatitis: eight fundamental steps revised according to the 'PANCREAS' acronym. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2020;11:555–1559. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1308/</u> <u>rcsann.2020.0029</u>.
- Besselink MG, van Santvoort HC, Nieuwenhuijs VB, GH Besselink M, Van Santvoort HC, B Nieuwenhuijs VB, et al. Minimally invasive 'step-up approach' versus maximal necrosectomy in patients with acute necrotising pancreatitis (PANTER trial): design and rationale of a randomised controlled multicenter trial. BMC Surg. 2016;6:6. <u>http:// dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2482-6-6</u>.
- Luckhurst CM, El Hechi M, Elsharkawy AE, Eid AI, Maurer LR, Kaafarani HM, et al. Improved mortality in necrotizing pancreatitis with a multidisciplinary minimally invasive step up approach: comparison to a modern open necrosectomy cohort. J Am Coll Surg. 2020;230:873–83. <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u> 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2020.01.038.
- Van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG, Bakker OJ, Hofker HS, Boermeester MA, Dejong CH, et al. A step-up approach or open necrosectomy for necrotizing pancreatitis. N Engl J Med. 2010;362:1491–502. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0908821</u>.
- Van Brunschot S, van Grinsven J, Voermans RP, Bakker OJ, Besselink MGH, Boermeester MA, et al. Transluminal endoscopic step-up approach versus minimally invasive surgical step-up approach in patients with infected necrotising pancreatitis (TENSION trial): design and rationale of a randomised controlled multicenter trial. BMC Gastroenterol. 2013;13:161. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-230X-13-161</u>.
- Sousa D, Freitas Ferreira AC, Raimundo P, Maio R. Walled-off pancreatic necrosis: a staged multidisciplinary step-up approach. BMJ Case Rep. 2020;13e232952. <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u> <u>10.1136/bcr-2019-232952</u>.
- Hozaka Y, Kurahara H, Mataki Y, Kawasaki Y, Iino S, Sakoda M, et al. Successful treatment for severe pancreatitis with colonic perforationusing video-assisted retroperitoneal debridement: a case report. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2018;52:23–7. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2018.09.046</u>.
- Voermans RP, Besselink MG, Fockens P. Endoscopic management of walled-off pancreatic necrosis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2015;22:20–6. <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u> 10.1002/jhbp.180.
- Rungsun R. Endoscopic transmural necrosectomy: timing, indications, and methods. Clin Endosc. 2020;53:49–53. <u>http:// dx.doi.org/10.5946/ce.2019.131</u>.

Sergio Cerrato Delgado^a, Amparo Valverde Martinez^b, Tatiana Gómez Sánchez^b, Alberto Fierro Aguilar^a, Jose Manuel Pacheco García^c

^aMédico Residente de Cirugía General y Digestiva, Servicio de Cirugía General y Digestiva, Hospital Universitario Puerta del Mar, Cádiz, Spain ^bFEA de Cirugía General y Digestiva, Servicio de Cirugía General y Digestiva, Hospital Universitario Puerta del Mar, Cádiz, Spain ^cJefe de Servicio, Servicio de Cirugía General y Digestiva, Hospital Universitario Puerta del Mar, Cádiz, Spain *Corresponding author: sergio_0892@hotmail.com (S. Cerrato Delgado).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cireng.2021.11.010

2173-5077/ \odot 2020 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Use of indocyanine green for the reconstruction with Roux-en-Y after minimally invasive esophagectomy^{*}



Uso de verde de indocianina para la reconstrucción con Y-de-Roux posterior a esofagectomía mínimamente invasiva

Esophageal cancer is the seventh most common malignant neoplasm in the world¹. Esophagectomy continues to be the mainstay of treatment for these patients. During surgery, restoring the continuity of the digestive tract is critical, both in terms of risk of postoperative complications as well as subsequent quality of life. The most common technique for reconstruction is the creation of a gastric tube. The problem arises when the stomach is not available, which can be due to the ingestion of caustic substances compromising the esophagus and stomach, previous gastrectomy, or gastric extension of a distal esophageal tumor. In these cases, the alternatives include the right or left colon and free jejunal interposition with vascular anastomosis. Another less used alternative is Rouxen-Y reconstruction. The use of the jejunum was first described by Roux in 1907². The jejunum is an ideal choice because of its size match with the esophagus, the capacity for intrinsic peristalsis after reconstruction, and the fewer anastomoses required. The main drawback is the difficulty to mobilize the jejunum from the abdominal cavity to the mediastinum while maintaining optimal perfusion³.

Indocyanine green (ICG) fluorescence is an emerging intraoperative method. It enables us to evaluate the patient for and optimize lymphadenectomy, while also evaluating tissue perfusion in order to avoid using tissues with poor perfusion, thereby preventing postoperative ischemic complications^{4–6}. Thus, the use of indocyanine green could be a good tool when Roux-en-Y reconstruction is planned (in which perfusion is essential) by evaluating jejunal duct perfusion conditions throughout the surgery and avoiding the need to perform a vascular anastomosis.

We present the case of a 57-year-old man with a previous open total gastrectomy due to gastric cancer. During follow-



Fig. 1 – Abdominal phase: A) Creation of the jejunal tube after dissection of the mesentery; B) Optimal perfusion of the jejunum is confirmed with indocyanine green fluorescence imaging prior to completing the abdominal phase.

^{*} Please cite this article as: Navarrete A, Humeres R. Uso de verde de indocianina para la reconstrucción con Y-de-Roux posterior a esofagectomía mínimamente invasiva. Cir Esp. 2022;100:55–57.