

may allow for the full remission of symptoms and prevent irreparable cerebellar damage.

References

- ^{*} Please cite this article as: Gil-Salú J. Comentario al artículo de revisión «Necrosis cerebral por radiación: desafío diagnóstico y tratamiento clínico». Neurología. 2018;33:275–276.

 1. Barsottini OG, Albuquerque MV, Braga-Neto P, Pedroso JL. Adult onset sporadic ataxias: a diagnostic challenge. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2014;72:232–40.
 2. Jones AL, Flanagan EP, Pittock SJ, Mandelkar JN, Eggers SD, Ahlskog JE, et al. Responses to and outcomes of treatment of autoimmune cerebellar ataxia in adults. *JAMA Neurol*. 2015;72:1304–12.
 3. Trivedi R, Mundanthanam G, Amyes E, Lang B, Vincent A. Autoantibody screening in subacute cerebellar ataxia. *Lancet*. 2000;356:565–6.
 4. Hadjivassiliou M, Grunewald RA, Chattopadhyay AK, Davies-Jones GA, Gibson A, Jarratt JA, et al. Clinical, radiological, neurophysiological, and neuropathological characteristics of gluten ataxia. *Lancet*. 1998;352:1582–5.
 5. Honnorat J, Saiz A, Giometto B, Vincent A, Brieva L, de Andres C, et al. Cerebellar ataxia with anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies: study of 14 patients. *Arch Neurol*. 2001;58:225–30.
 6. Mitoma H, Adhikari K, Aeschlimann D, Chattopadhyay P, Hadjivassiliou M, Hampe CS, et al. Consensus paper: neuroimmune mechanisms of cerebellar ataxias. *Cerebellum*. 2015;15.
 7. Jarius S, Wildemann B. 'Medusa-head ataxia': the expanding spectrum of Purkinje cell antibodies in autoimmune cerebellar ataxia. Part 1: Anti-mGluR1, anti-Homer-3, anti-Sj/TIPR1 and anti-CARP VIII. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2015;12:166.
 8. Hadjivassiliou M. Immune-mediated acquired ataxias. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2012;103:189–99.
 9. Saiz A, Blanco Y, Sabater L, González F, Bataller L, Casamitjana R, et al. Spectrum of neurological syndromes associated with glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies: diagnostic clues for this association. *Brain*. 2008;131:2553–63.
 10. Janius S¹, Stich O, Speck J, Rasiah Ch, Wildemann B, Meinck HM, et al. Qualitative and quantitative evidence of long-term effect of immunotherapy. *JAMA Neurol*. 2014;71:1009–16.
 11. Quintas *¹, R. López Ruiz, G. Zapata-Wainberg, J. Vivancos
Departamento de Neurología, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Madrid, Spain
 - *Corresponding author.
E-mail address: sohnia.qg@gmail.com (S. Quintas).
2173-5808/© 2015 Sociedad Española de Neurología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>).
 12. Boronat A, Sabater L, Saiz A, Dalmau J, Graus F. GABA_A receptor antibodies in limbic encephalitis and anti-GAD-associated neurologic disorders. *Neurology*. 2011;76:795–800.
 13. Soh D, Matar W. Anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase antibody associated cerebellar ataxia and breast carcinoma. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2014;21:2051.
 14. Rouco I, Hurtado P, Castaño L, Zarranz JJ. Experience with immunotherapy in 3 patients with cerebellar ataxia associated with anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies. *Neurologia*. 2015;30:247–9.
 15. Ozkan M, Aksoy A, Çenesiz F, Atay NE, Yüksel D. The association of antiglutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies with different neurological findings in childhood. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2012;25:464–7.
 16. Arriño H, Gresa-Arribas N, Blanco Y, Martínez-Hernández E, Sabater L, Petit-Pedrol M, et al. Cerebellar ataxia and glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies: immunologic profile and long-term effect of immunotherapy. *JAMA Neurol*. 2014;71:1009–16.

Comments on the review article «Cerebral radiation necrosis: Diagnostic challenge and clinical management»[☆]

Comentario al artículo de revisión «Necrosis cerebral por radiación: desafío diagnóstico y tratamiento clínico»

 CrossMark

cerebral radiation necrosis secondary to surgical treatment for brain tumours.

The mechanisms involved in the physiopathogenesis of the condition are largely unknown; they may even vary with respect to the factors cited by authors as determinants: type of radiation, dose, treatment volume, and fractionation schedule. I would also like to add the type of supplementary treatment administered, which is normally chemotherapy, as mentioned in the review article.

The adjuvant chemotherapy used was different in each of the various studies establishing neuroradiological criteria over the past 3 decades.^{2–4} It is therefore admirable that other authors⁵ have attempted to compare the degree of correlation or concordance according to these criteria, in order to assess the type of progression, and suggest adding hyperintensity to FLAIR sequences to make contrast-enhanced sequences even more useful for assessing subsequent clinical worsening. We should also highlight that they compare treatments with the same adjuvant chemotherapy, in this case bevacizumab + irinotecan, which confers validity to the study and its results. Their main

Dear Editor:

I read with great interest the review article by Eisele and Dietrich¹ on the exciting, little-understood subject of cerebral radiation necrosis. The mechanisms involved in the physiopathogenesis of the condition are largely unknown; they may even vary with respect to the factors cited by authors as determinants: type of radiation, dose, treatment volume, and fractionation schedule. I would also like to add the type of supplementary treatment administered, which is normally chemotherapy, as mentioned in the review article.

The adjuvant chemotherapy used was different in each of the various studies establishing neuroradiological criteria over the past 3 decades.^{2–4} It is therefore admirable that other authors⁵ have attempted to compare the degree of correlation or concordance according to these criteria, in order to assess the type of progression, and suggest adding hyperintensity to FLAIR sequences to make contrast-enhanced sequences even more useful for assessing subsequent clinical worsening. We should also highlight that they compare treatments with the same adjuvant chemotherapy, in this case bevacizumab + irinotecan, which confers validity to the study and its results. Their main



Comments on the review article «Cerebral radiation necrosis: Diagnostic challenge and clinical management»[☆]

Comentario al artículo de revisión «Necrosis cerebral por radiación: desafío diagnóstico y tratamiento clínico»

cerebral radiation necrosis secondary to surgical treatment for brain tumours.

cerebral radiation necrosis secondary to surgical treatment for brain tumours.

determinants: type of radiation, dose, treatment volume, and fractionation schedule. I would also like to add the type of supplementary treatment administered, which is normally chemotherapy, as mentioned in the review article.

Dear Editor:

I read with great interest the review article by Eisele and Dietrich¹ on the exciting, little-understood subject of de revisión «Necrosis cerebral por radiación: desafío diagnóstico y tratamiento clínico». Neurología, 2018;33:275–276.

* Please cite this article as: Gil-Salú J. Comentario al artículo de revisión «Necrosis cerebral por radiación: desafío diagnóstico y tratamiento clínico». Neurología. 2018;33:275–276.

contribution was the inclusion of FLAIR sequence to the RECIST criteria (RECIST-F).

Based on the recruitment of a large number of patients from the AVAglio trial and after failing to demonstrate the usefulness of antiangiogenic therapy as a first line treatment after surgery in patients with glioblastoma, other authors also establish criteria to assess the response to this therapy and for this to be done uniformly.⁶

Our understanding of neuroradiology is expanding in parallel to the different surgical treatments and chemotherapies for patients with brain tumours. Although our gold standard continues to be anatomical pathology complemented by genetic/molecular techniques, advanced magnetic resonance imaging makes categorisation of tumours quicker and more reliable, which provides clarity in decision-making regarding these patients.

References

- Eisele SC, Dietrich J. Necrosis cerebral por radiación: desafío diagnóstico y tratamiento clínico. *Rev Neurol.* 2015; 61:225–32.
- Macdonald DR, Cascino TL, Schold SC Jr, Cairncross JG. Response criteria for phase II studies of supratentorial malignant glioma. *J Clin Oncol.* 1990;8:1277–80.
- Galanis E, Buckner JC, Maurer MJ, Sykora R, Castillo R, Ballman KV, et al., Erickson for the North Central Cancer Treatment Group. Validation of neuroradiologic response assessment in gliomas: measurement by RECIST, two-dimensional, computer-assisted tumor area, and computer-assisted tumor volume methods. *Neuro Oncol.* 2006; 8:156–65.
- Wen PY, Macdonald DR, Reardon DA, Cloughesy TF, Sorensen AG, Galanis E, et al. Updated response assessment criteria for high-grade gliomas: response assessment in Neuro-Oncology working group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:1963–72.
- Gállego Pérez-Larraya J, Lahutte M, Petrirena G, Reyes-Botero G, González-Aguilar A, Houillier C, et al. Response assessment in recurrent glioblastoma treated with irinotecan-bevacizumab: comparative analysis of the Macdonald, RECIST, RANO, and RECIST+F criteria. *Neuro Oncol.* 2012;14:667–73.
- Chinot OL, Macdonald DR, Abrey EL, Zahlmann G, Kerlöeguen Y, Cloughesy TF. Response assessment criteria for glioblastoma: practical adaptation and implementation in clinical trials of antiangiogenic therapy. *Neurol Neurosci Rep.* 2013; 13:347.

J.L. Gil-Salú

Servicio de Neurocirugía, Hospital Universitario Puerta del Mar, Cádiz, Spain

E-mail address: jlgilsalu@hotmail.com

2173-5808/

© 2015 Sociedad Española de Neurología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Clinical and magnetic resonance imaging abnormalities of the tongue in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis[☆]



Anormalidades clínicas y por resonancia magnética en lengua de pacientes con esclerosis lateral amiotrófica

Dear Editor:

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neurodegenerative disease characterised by rapid clinical deterioration. Survival times in patients with the condition range between 15.7 and 47 months from disease onset (mean, 29.1 months), depending on the series.^{1,2} The clinical features of the disease are heterogeneous and have been attributed to the combination of neurological signs and symptoms of upper and lower motor neuron dysfunction and death.³

Dysarthria and tongue atrophy with fasciculations are salient clinical features of bulbar- and bulbospinal-onset ALS, and also appear at later stages of spinal-onset ALS. Abnormalities of the tongue are caused by damage to the hypoglossal nucleus, which leads to flaccid dysarthria, a typical feature of lower motor neuron dysfunction. Upper motor neuron death results in corticobulbar tract dysfunction, which causes spastic dysarthria. Nasal voice may be observed in some patients with ALS who have no structural alterations in the tongue.⁴ Previous studies of patients with ALS report an incidental finding called the “bright tongue sign” in sagittal MR images of the brain. This sign has been associated with degeneration of the tongue and proposed as a useful radiological feature for diagnosing ALS.^{5–8} However, the significance of this magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) finding is still unknown. All patients with ALS and tongue abnormalities undergo brain MRI scans. However, clinicians frequently pay little attention to these abnormalities if patients show clinical signs of tongue atrophy and fasciculations.

We present a series of patients with ALS and tongue abnormalities, displaying a correlation between clinical and radiological (MRI) findings (bivariate analyses, *t* test, chi-square test, Mann–Whitney *U* test). We analysed the following clinical data: phenotype at baseline, disease severity, and progression time at the time of the MRI scan. We evaluated 43 patients with ALS according to the revised El Escorial clinical and neurophysiological diagnostic criteria.⁹ All patients completed the revised ALS Functional Rating

[☆] Please cite this article as: Martínez HR, Escamilla-Ocañas CE, González-Garza MT, Moreno Cuevas JE. Anormalidades clínicas y por resonancia magnética en lengua de pacientes con esclerosis lateral amiotrófica. *Neurología.* 2018;33:276–278.