



CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

Prophylaxis and treatment in liver transplantation. VII Consensus Document of the Spanish Society of Liver Transplantation[☆]



Inmaculada Fernández^a, Juan Manuel Pascasio^b,
Jordi Colmenero^{c,*}, on behalf of the working group of the VII Consensus of the SETH

^a Sociedad Española de Trasplante Hepático, Unidad de Hepatología y Trasplante Hepático, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

^b Sociedad Española de Trasplante Hepático, Unidad de Trasplante Hepático, Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain

^c Unidad de Trasplante Hepático, Hospital Clínic, IDIBAPS, CIBERehd, Univ. Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

KEYWORDS

Donor-derived infection;
Core positive grafts;
Immunoglobulin;
Nucleotides;
Vaccination

Abstract Whilst prophylaxis of hepatitis B is universally accepted after liver transplantation (LT), national recommendations for the prophylaxis and treatment of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection after LT are lacking in Spain. The aim of the VII consensus meeting organised by the Spanish Society of Liver Transplantation (SETH) was to set recommendations on the prophylaxis and treatment of hepatitis B after LT.

The scientific evidence and strength of recommendations was evaluated by using the “Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation” (GRADE) system. This document describes the recommendations and their level of evidence for: the definition and risk factors for hepatitis B recurrence after LT, monitoring and prophylaxis of hepatitis B recurrence at different periods after LT, treatment of hepatitis B before and after LT, and the prophylaxis of HBV infection by the recipients of LT with hepatitis B core antigen positive donors.

© 2020 Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Infección adquirida;
Donante anticore;
Inmunoglobulina específica;
Análogos nucleósidos;
Vacuna

Profilaxis y tratamiento de la infección por virus de la hepatitis B en el trasplante hepático. VII Documento de consenso de la Sociedad Española de Trasplante Hepático

Resumen A pesar del consenso universal sobre la necesidad de administrar profilaxis para evitar la recurrencia de la hepatitis B después del trasplante hepático (TH), no existen hasta el momento unas recomendaciones nacionales sobre las pautas concretas para la profilaxis y el tratamiento de la infección por el virus de la hepatitis B (VHB) en el TH. El objetivo de la

[☆] Please cite this article as: Fernández I, Pascasio JM, Colmenero J. Profilaxis y tratamiento de la infección por virus de la hepatitis B en el trasplante hepático. VII Documento de consenso de la Sociedad Española de Trasplante Hepático. Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;43:169–177.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jcolme@clinic.cat (J. Colmenero).

VII reunión de consenso organizada por la Sociedad Española de Trasplante Hepático (SETH) fue unificar criterios y protocolos clínicos entre todas unidades de TH en España, sobre cómo prevenir y tratar la reinfección por el VHB después del TH.

La evidencia y las recomendaciones de este documento se han llevado a cabo acuerdo al sistema Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation (GRADE).

En el presente documento se describen las recomendaciones y su grado de evidencia para: la definición de la recurrencia del VHB post-TH y sus factores de riesgo, la monitorización y la profilaxis de la recurrencia del VHB tras el TH en sus diversas etapas, el tratamiento de la hepatitis B antes y después del TH, y la profilaxis de la infección por VHB los receptores de TH con donantes anti-HBc positivo.

© 2020 Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality on a global level. End-stage liver disease or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) due to HBV currently account for between 5 and 10% of liver transplantation (LT) cases in the west and are the most common indication for LT in Asia.¹ Prophylaxis of post-transplantation recurrence of the infection is always necessary. Until the appearance of hepatitis B immunoglobulin (HBIG), end-stage liver disease due to HBV was considered a contraindication for LT, as recurrence of the infection was common, leading to graft loss in a high number of patients and a mortality rate of over 50% a few years after transplantation.² Since the introduction of effective means of preventing and treating reinfection, outcomes in LT with this indication have improved and the overall survival of patients who receive transplants due to cirrhosis secondary to HBV infection exceeds 85% at one year and 75% at five years post-transplant.³

In spite of the universal consensus on the need to administer prophylaxis to post-LT hepatitis B recurrence,^{4–6} there is no agreed strategy with regard to the regimen to follow and it could be said that there are almost as many regimens as LT units. The objective of the consensus meeting organised by the Spanish Society of Liver Transplantation (SETH) was to unify criteria and clinical protocols about how to prevent and treat post-LT HBV reinfection across the 24 LT units in Spain.

The evidence and the recommendations of this document were compiled based on the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system, which offers 5 evidence grades (I: randomised controlled studies; II-1: non-randomised controlled studies; II-2: cohort or case-control studies; II-3 case series, uncontrolled experiments; III: expert opinions, descriptive epidemiological studies) and 2 recommendation grades: 1: strong, and 2: weak.

Risk factors for post-LT HBV recurrence

The risk of recurrence is not the same in all patients and will vary depending on various factors.^{7–9} It is essential to assess

the risk of recurrence in each patient in order to design the most suitable prophylaxis strategy.

- **High risk:** the main risk factor for recurrence is the presence of detectable viral load at the time of the LT, especially with a high viral load >2,000–20,000 IU/ml.^{5,7} A history of viral multidrug resistance and poor patient adherence to treatment are also risk factors for recurrence.⁸ Hepatitis delta virus (HDV) coinfection does not increase HBV recurrence, but recurrence itself is still a risk given the lack of an effective medication for HDV in the post-transplant period.⁹ HIV coinfection is also considered a risk factor for recurrence. Although HCC recurrence has been associated with greater hepatitis B recurrence, pre-transplant HCC does not appear to be a determining risk factor for HBV recurrence.
- **Low risk:** if HBV-DNA is undetectable at the time of LT.⁵ However, with the new antiviral drugs, the cut-off points to be considered low risk are not well defined and they could perhaps be less strict.¹⁰ In fact, some studies that have used oral antivirals without HBIG as prophylaxis have not observed recurrence with pre-LT HBV-DNA levels below 1,000–2,000 IU/ml.^{11–13}

Definition of post-LT HBV recurrence

With the new treatments for hepatitis B, which are increasingly potent and have an ever greater genetic barrier to viral resistance, the definition of recurrence has taken on different nuances and clinical significance.¹⁴

- **Serological recurrence (reverse seroconversion):** appearance of HBsAg in blood. This can occasionally be transient and not accompanied by the reappearance of HBV-DNA.
- **Virological recurrence:** appearance of HBV-DNA in serum. Often accompanied by serological recurrence, under treatment with new nucleotide analogues (NA), it may not be accompanied by clinical recurrence.
- **Clinical recurrence:** serological/virological recurrence and increased ALT with histological damage.

Steps in the prophylaxis of post-LT HBV recurrence

The prevention of post-LT recurrence of HBV infection must begin in the pre-transplant period, with the administration of NAs, with the aim of the patient preferably receiving the LT with an undetectable HBV viral load. This has been demonstrated to prevent HBV recurrence and graft loss. The next step will be to prevent reinfection following liver transplantation, by administering HBIg and NAs.

Pre-LT hepatitis treatment

Prophylaxis of HBV infection in LT is indicated in the pre-LT period with the aim of achieving undetectable HBV-DNA by the time of the LT. Patients with cirrhosis due to HBV (compensated or decompensated) or HCC and detectable viral load on the waiting list must receive oral antivirals that offer high potency and a high genetic barrier (entecavir [ETV], tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [TDF] or tenofovir alafenamide [TAF]), which are indicated whenever HBV-DNA is detectable.^{4,5,15}

The choice of NA will take into account previous resistances to antivirals, and the dose must be adjusted based on kidney function.^{4,5,16}

In patients with risk factors for kidney or bone disease, ETV and TAF are the drugs of choice.⁴ In patients with previous resistance to lamivudine (LAM), TDF or TAF should be used.^{4,5} TAF, which has a better kidney and bone safety profile than TDF,^{17,18} would be indicated in patients with resistance to LAM and kidney or bone disease,^{4,5} although it is not currently marketed in Spain.

For LT to be indicated, liver function must be assessed at baseline and in the first three to six months after starting antiviral treatment, not merely virological response.^{16,19,20} If LT is indicated, it is not necessary to wait until the viral load is undetectable.²¹

Patients on the LT list should be followed up every three months (HBsAg, HBV-DNA), closely monitoring their liver and kidney function.^{15,16,21}

Approximately 30% of patients with decompensated cirrhosis who receive antiviral treatment are able to get off the LT list due to improvement of their liver function.²²

Recommendations for pre-LT hepatitis B treatment

All patients with compensated or decompensated cirrhosis due to HBV or with HCC and detectable HBV-DNA must receive antiviral treatment with oral antivirals that offer high potency and a high genetic barrier (ETV or TDF/TAF) (evidence grade I, recommendation grade 1).

In choosing an antiviral treatment, previous antiviral resistances and the presence of kidney or bone disease as risk factors should will need to be taken into account, and the drug dose should always been adjusted based on kidney function. In patients with resistance to LAM, TDF (or TAF in case of kidney or bone disease) should be used. If kidney or bone disease are present as risk factors, ETV or TAF (the drug of choice in case of resistance to LAM) should be used (evidence grade I, recommendation grade 1).

If LT is indicated, it is not necessary to wait until HBV-DNA is undetectable (evidence grade II, recommendation grade 1).

Post-LT hepatitis B prophylaxis

All patients should receive indefinite prophylaxis.²³ The combination of HBIg and a NA is the standard recommended prophylaxis as it avoids post-LT recurrence of HBV infection in more than 95% of patients. It is common to continue the antiviral used prior to LT, which would be an NA offering high efficacy and a high genetic barrier to resistances (ETV/TDF). It is well established that the combination of ETV or TDF with HBIg is more effective than combinations with less potent NAs or those offering a lower genetic barrier to resistance such as LAM.^{24,25}

HBIg regimen

The disadvantages of HBIg, such as its high price, parenteral administration or side effects, have led to a constant search for strategies to optimise its administration regimen.²⁶ The use of low doses,^{11,27} subcutaneous administration²⁸ and its withdrawal in the population at low risk of recurrence²⁹⁻³² have demonstrated high efficacy in post-LT hepatitis B prophylaxis. Moreover, no anhepatic phase regimen in either the first week or maintenance period has been found to be superior to another in studies that have combined HBIg with new NAs (ETV/TDF).³³ Taking this into account, and looking at the various protocols of the Spanish LT 24 programmes, the recommended doses in the various phases are:

- Anhepatic phase: 1,000–5,000 IU/iv.
- First week: 1,000–2,000 IU/iv or IM/day.
- First month: 1,000–2,000 IU/week or on-demand dose to maintain anti-HB titres >200 IU.
- Maintenance: 1,000 IU/month or on-demand dose to maintain anti-HB titres >100 IU.

From the first week post-LT, subcutaneous HBIg at a dose of 500 IU 1 ml can be used if weight is <75 kg or 1,000 IU 2 ml if weight is ≥75 kg.

HBIg suspension

In patients at *low risk of HBV recurrence*, the role of HBIg is not well established and, with the appearance of the new NAs, appears less relevant.³⁴ Studies that have evaluated short HBIg regimens with ETV/TDF have demonstrated effective prophylaxis in all patients, with transient serological or virological recurrence in few patients and clinical recurrence in none.³⁵⁻³⁹ The time of HBIg in these studies ranges from 5 days³⁸ to more than a year post-transplant.³⁵⁻³⁷ Moreover, in patients with no history of resistance to LAM, ETV can be a good option given its less nephrotoxic profile.⁴⁰ Patients with resistance to LAM and at risk of kidney or bone disease will be candidates for TAF in future, once it enters the market. It is also necessary to emphasize the importance of adherence to treatment in the success of monotherapy.³⁰

Although it has traditionally been thought that HDV infection is a risk factor for recurrence as there is no effective treatment for its post-LT reactivation, a recent study suggests that NA monotherapy may be safe in transplant recipients with HBV and HDV coinfection, provided that com-

bined treatment with HBIg is used for an extended period of at least 12–24 months post-transplant.⁴¹

Prophylaxis without HBIg

In selected patients at low risk of recurrence, ETV or TDF monotherapy without HBIg has been found to be safe and effective in post-LT hepatitis B prophylaxis.^{12,13,42} Patients receiving this regimen present HBsAg persistence or serological recurrence in 8–15% of cases, but this is not accompanied by virological recurrence and transaminase levels remain within normal limits.

Suspending prophylaxis

Neither HBIg nor NAs are capable of eliminating the viral infection. In the context of LT, extrahepatic viral replication in the mononuclear cells of peripheral blood appears to play a relevant role in graft reinfection. What is more, NAs inhibit viral replication but do not act on cccDNA, which remains integrated in the hepatocyte nucleus and appears to be the principle agent responsible for recurrence of the infection in patients with no infection in serological/virological markers.⁴³ In fact, several studies in transplanted patients have detected the presence of HBV cccDNA in a high proportion of patients years post-LT.^{44,45} It is because of this that post-LT prophylaxis of HBV recurrence is considered to be life-long.

Lenci et al. demonstrated in their study that suspending prophylaxis after at least three years of combined treatment may be safe in patients at low risk of recurrence, in whom two sequential liver biopsies show no HBV cccDNA or whole DNA in liver tissues.⁴⁶ Nevertheless, these techniques are not well standardised and this strategy can only be considered in a research context.

Vaccine

The studies that have assessed the efficacy of post-LT vaccination have been very heterogeneous in terms of the characteristics of the patients included, donor type (cadaveric or living), vaccine type, use of adjuvants, vaccination protocol, response definition and simultaneous use of HBIg or LAM.

The response rates in transplanted patients with chronic infection have ranged from 0 to 75%.^{47–63} In general, the response is poor and for the set of studies as a whole is less than 30%.⁶⁴

In patients who received transplants due to acute liver failure due to HBV, with the exception of a single study (no response in five patients),⁵² the response rates were much better, between 75 and 100%.^{47,51,53,55,61,63} It is likely that, unlike the patients with chronic HBV infection, these patients did not develop immune tolerance, and this may explain the better response to vaccination.⁶⁴

One complication of the use of repeated vaccine doses to maintain sufficient levels of anti-HBs is the development of escape mutations,⁶⁵ with the consequent loss of efficacy and development of HBV infection recurrence if used alone as a prophylaxis strategy.

For these reasons, post-LT vaccination is not accepted as a strategy for post-LT prophylaxis of HBV recurrence in patients with chronic infection in order to suspend antiviral prophylaxis and could only be considered in the context of

clinical trials.^{64,66} Its use could be considered in patients who have received transplants due to liver failure.

Monitoring post-LT HBV recurrence

Transplanted patients must receive life-long monitoring for disease caused by HBV.^{4–6}

- Combination with HBIg: anti-HB titres should be determined every one to two months and HBsAg and HBV-DNA three months for the first two years, then every six months.
- Without HBIg: patients on NA monotherapy should be monitored every three months for the first year, every six months for the second year and every six to twelve months from the third year, with HBsAg and HBV-DNA.

Kidney function should be monitored at each visit.

Recommendations for post-LT prophylaxis

All patients should receive indefinite prophylaxis. The combination of immunoglobulin (HBIg) and a potent NA (ETV/TDF/TAF) is the standard prophylaxis recommended to avoid recurrence of the HBV infection after transplantation (evidence grade II-1, recommendation grade 1).

With high-efficacy NAs (ETV/TDF/TAF), it is possible to use low doses of HBIg (evidence grade II-1, recommendation grade 1).

In patients at low risk of recurrence (HBV-DNA undetectable at the time of LT), it is an option not to use HBIg or to suspend it between the first week and three months post-LT, continuing NA maintenance monotherapy (evidence grade II-2, recommendation grade 1).

Post-LT vaccination is not accepted as a strategy to suspend antiviral prophylaxis (evidence grade II-2, recommendation grade 1).

In those who have received liver transplants due to acute liver failure due to HBV, vaccination is more effective than in chronic infection and its use could be contemplated (evidence grade II-3, recommendation grade 2).

Treatment and follow-up of post-LT hepatitis B recurrence

Treatment of HBV recurrence and the choice of antiviral drug must follow the same principles as pre-LT, using ETV, TDF or TAF,^{4,5,16,67} although the series published with both ETV^{68–70} and TDF^{70–73} have been short. HBIg should be interrupted if used.⁶⁶

The choice of antiviral must take into account the regimen used previously. In patients with resistance to LAM, TDF should be used. In patients with kidney dysfunction or bone disease, ETV is preferable, unless there is resistance to LAM. In these cases, TAF would be indicated. The combination of ETV and TDF may be required in case of multidrug resistance.²¹

Outcomes of HBV recurrence treatment are good in terms of attaining HBV-DNA negativity.^{16,66,67} There is little information about the rate of HBsAg loss, which appears to be low.⁷⁴

Virological follow-up (HBsAg, HBV-DNA) should be performed every three months (first year), then every six months, indefinitely.^{75,76}

Recommendations for treatment of post-LT hepatitis B recurrence

Treatment of HBV recurrence and the choice of antiviral drug must follow the same regimens as pre-LT, with HBIg administration not being indicated (evidence grade I, recommendation grade 1).

In choosing an antiviral treatment, previous antiviral resistances and the presence of kidney or bone disease as risk factors should be taken into account, and the drug dose should always be adjusted based on kidney function. In patients with resistance to LAM, TDF (or TAF in case of kidney or bone disease) should be used. If kidney or bone disease are present as risk factors, ETV or TAF (the drug of choice in case of resistance to LAM) should be used. The combination of ETV and TDF may be required in case of multidrug resistance (evidence grade I, recommendation grade 1).

HBV infection prophylaxis in recipients from anti-HBc positive donors

The prevalence of anti-HBc positivity in donors in Spain is around 12%, rising to 27% in those over 60.⁷⁷

The risk of developing *de novo* hepatitis B (DNHB) post-LT varies depending on the recipient's serological status, being high (48–77%) in naïve (anti-HBc negative/anti-HBs negative) patients and very low (0–5.5%) in anti-HBc positive/anti-HBs positive patients. In anti-HBc positive/anti-HBs negative and anti-HBs positive/anti-HBc negative recipients (patients vaccinated pre-LT) the prevalence is intermediate, at 13–19.5% and 9.7–20%, respectively.^{78–82} The presence or absence of anti-HBs in an anti-HBc positive donor does not appear to affect the risk of DNHB.^{77,83} Although it is somewhat surprising that patients with anti-HBs positivity alone as the result of pre-LT vaccination have a similar risk of developing DNHB to recipients with only anti-HBc positivity, the explanation could lie in the fact that the studies do not analyse the anti-HBs titres obtained or their evolution post-LT, while it is known that cirrhotic patients who respond to vaccination often obtain relatively low anti-HBs titres which fall gradually following the LT due to immunosuppression. Sintusek et al. demonstrates losses of protective anti-HBs titres (>10 mIU/ml) of 46%, 57% and 82% at one, two and three years, respectively.⁸⁴

DNHB can appear at any time post-LT,^{78–80} so prophylaxis must be indefinite.⁷⁶

Recipients from anti-HBc positive donors who are naïve or either anti-HBc or anti-HBs positive in isolation are considered candidates to receive prophylaxis, which those who are both anti-HBc and anti-HBs positive do not require it.⁷⁹ HBsAg negative/anti-HBc positive recipients who receive an anti-HBc negative graft likewise do not require it.

Prophylaxis with HBIg, LAM or combined prophylaxis (HBIg + LAM) had demonstrated a significant reduction in the risk of DNHB in recipients from anti-HBc positive donors. Thus, in a meta-analysis of 21 studies that included 788 patients, the use of prophylaxis reduced the rate of DNHB compared to no prophylaxis in naïve recipients (from 47.8 to 12%), recipients with anti-HBc positivity only (from 15.4

to 3.4%) and vaccinated recipients (anti-HBs + only) (from 9.7 to 0%). The best results were therefore obtained in patients vaccinated pre-LT who received prophylaxis post-LT (0% DNHB), which highlights the value of pre-LT vaccination, independently of the indication for post-LT antiviral prophylaxis. In the same study, prophylaxis with LAM demonstrated lower rates of DNHB than HBIg, especially in the group of recipients at highest risk (naïve) (3.4 vs 27%).⁷⁹ Furthermore, in another systematic review of 13 studies that included 183 patients, prophylaxis with LAM showed similar results in terms of DNHB development to combined prophylaxis with LAM + HBIg (2.7 vs 3.6%).⁸⁵

The little information available on the use of ETV or TDF as prophylaxis for recipients from anti-HBc positive donors has shown them to have 100% efficacy in preventing DNHB.^{86,87} These drugs have been little used to date due to their high cost in relation to LAM.

LAM monoprophyllaxis has been seen as the regimen of choice in prophylaxis for recipients from anti-HBc positive donors due to its cost-effectiveness,^{4,76,77} although it is associated with a 3% rate of DNHB development, generally due to the emergence of HBV mutations associated with resistance.⁷⁵ With the notable reduction in the price of ETV and TDF now in Spain, these drugs should be recommended as the first option for prophylaxis.^{5,66}

Regardless of whether antiviral prophylaxis is indicated, all recipients from anti-HBc positive donors must receive follow-up (HBsAg, HBV-DNA) every three months (first year), then every six months indefinitely.^{75,76}

DNHB treatment in those receiving prophylaxis with PAM should be with TDF or TAF (in case of kidney or bone disease). In those not receiving LAM, the choice of antiviral drug should follow the criteria indicated for the treatment of HBV infection.^{4,5}

Role of post-LT vaccination in HBV infection prophylaxis in recipients from anti-HBc positive donors

There is scant information on the efficacy of post-LT vaccination outside the context of living donors in Asian and paediatric patients. In general, these patients appear to be better candidates for vaccination than those receiving transplants due to cirrhosis caused by HBV, with response rates between 55 and 90%.^{63,65,88,89} Obtaining high anti-HBs titres through pre-LT vaccination >1,000 mIU/ml and maintaining anti-HBs >100 mIU/ml post-LT has allowed the use of LAM as prophylaxis to be avoided.⁹⁰ In another study in paediatric patients, titres >200 mIU/ml pre-LT were associated with an absence of DNHB without the need for antiviral prophylaxis.⁹¹

It is possible that the use of pre-LT vaccination, obtaining high anti-HBs titres and maintaining post-LT anti-HBs titres >100 mIU/ml through the administration of repeated doses of vaccine could avoid the need for antiviral prophylaxis, although this has not been investigated in adult recipients from cadaveric donors and therefore cannot currently be recommended as a prophylaxis strategy in place of antivirals.

Recommendations for HBV infection prophylaxis in recipients from anti-HBc positive donors

The risk of post-LT DNHB in recipients from anti-HBc positive donors varies depending on the recipient's serological status, being high in *naïve* (anti-HBc negative/anti-HBs negative) recipients, intermediate in recipients who are either anti-HBc or anti-HBs positive in isolation and low in those who are anti-HBc positive/anti-HBs positive. *Naïve* recipients and those who are either anti-HBc or anti-HBs positive in isolation (regardless of anti-HBs titre) should receive antiviral prophylaxis, and this must be indefinite (evidence grade II-1, recommendation grade 1).

LAM has been seen as the drug of choice in prophylaxis for recipients of livers from anti-HBc positive donors due to its cost-effectiveness (evidence grade II-1, recommendation grade 1).

With the current reduction in the cost of ETV and TDF these drugs should be used as the first option in place of LAM because of their high genetic barrier (evidence grade II-2, recommendation grade 1).

Regardless of whether antiviral prophylaxis is indicated, all recipients from anti-HBc positive donors must receive follow-up (HBsAg, HBV-DNA) every three months (first year), then every six months indefinitely (evidence grade II-1, recommendation grade 1).

Post-LT vaccination in recipients from anti-HBc positive donors appears to show good outcomes, although there is not yet sufficient evidence to recommend this prophylaxis strategy in place of antivirals (evidence grade III, recommendation grade 2).

Funding

The consensus meeting was funded by the Spanish Society of Liver Transplantation.

Conflicts of interest

Travel/conferences: JC: Astellas, Novartis, Chiesi; GC: Novartis, Astellas; MCB: Biotest. LG and JIH: Gilead; SP: Abbvie, Gilead, Bayer; MP: Gilead, BMS. TS: Astellas, Novartis, Gilead, Abbvie. Consulting: SP: Bayer. No other conflicts of interest are declared.

Acknowledgements

To Paloma Bellés for the excellent organisation and logistics support.

Appendix A. Authors participating in the working group representing their respective liver transplantation programmes

Carolina Almohalla Álvarez (H.U. Río Hortega), Maria Carme Baliellas Comellas (H.U. de Bellvitge), Pilar Barrera Baena (H.U. Reina Sofía), Lluís Castells Fusté (H.U. Vall d'Hebrón), Gonzalo Crespo Conde (H.U. Clinic i Provincial), Valentín Cuervas-Mons Martínez (H.U. Puerta de Hierro Majadahonda), Emilio Fábrega García (H.U. Marqués de Valdecilla),

José Ramón Fernández Ramos (H.U. de Cruces), Miguel Juan García González (H.U. Ramón y Cajal), Luisa González Diéguez, (H.U. Central de Asturias), José Ignacio Herrero Santos (Clínica Universitaria de Navarra), Loreto Hierro Llanillo (H.U. La Paz), Maria Isabel Monge Romero (H.U. Infanta Cristina), Maria Flor Noguera López (H.U. Virgen de la Nieves), Esteban Otero Antón (H. Clínico U. de Santiago), Alejandra Otero Ferreiro (H.U. Juan Canalejo), Elena Otón Nieto (H.U. Nuestra Sra. de la Candelaria), Sonia Pascual Bartolomé (H. Gral. U. de Alicante), Maria Luisa Ortiz Sánchez (H.U. Virgen de la Arrixaca), Martín Prieto Castillo (H.U. La Fe), Mario Romero Cristóbal (H. Gral. U. Gregorio Marañón), Trinidad Serrano Aullo (H. Clínico U. Lozano Blesa).

References

1. El-Serag HB. Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 2012;142:1264–73.
2. O'Grady JG, Smith HM, Davies SE, Daniels HM, Donaldson PT, Tan KC, et al. Hepatitis B virus reinfection after orthotopic liver transplantation. Serological and clinical implications. *J Hepatol*. 1992;14:104–11.
3. Kim WR, Poterucha JJ, Kremers WK, Ishitani MB, Dickson ER. Outcome of liver transplantation for hepatitis B in the United States. *Liver Transpl*. 2004;10:968–74.
4. EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of hepatitis B virus infection. European Association for the Study of the Liver. *J Hepatol*. 2017;67:370–98.
5. Terrault NA, Lok ASF, McMahon BJ, Chang KM, Hwang JP, Jonas MM, et al. Update on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of chronic hepatitis B: AASLD 2018 hepatitis B guidance. *Hepatology*. 2018;67:1560–99.
6. Sarin SK, Kumar M, Lau GK, Abbas Z, Chan HLY, Chen CJ, et al. Asian-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B: a 2015 update. *Hepatol Int*. 2016;10:1–98.
7. Papatheodoridis GV, Cholongitas E, Archimandritis AJ, Burroughs AK. Current management of hepatitis B virus infection before and after liver transplantation. *Liver Int*. 2009;29:1294–305.
8. Laryea MA, Watt KD. Immunoprophylaxis against and prevention of recurrent viral hepatitis after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2012;18:514–23.
9. Roche B, Samuel D. Liver transplantation in delta virus infection. *Semin Liver Dis*. 2012;32:245–55.
10. Verna EC. Updated hepatitis B guidance: implications for liver transplant patients. *Liver Transpl*. 2018;24:465–9.
11. Gane EJ, Angus PW, Strasser S, Crawford DH, Ring J, Jeffrey GP, et al. Lamivudine plus low-dose hepatitis B immunoglobulin to prevent recurrent hepatitis B following liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;132:931–7.
12. Wadhawan M, Gupta S, Goyal N, Taneja S, Kumar A. Living related liver transplantation for hepatitis B-related liver disease without hepatitis B immune globulin prophylaxis. *Liver Transpl*. 2013;19:1030–5.
13. Fung J, Chan SC, Cheung C, Yuen MF, Chok KS, Sharr W, et al. Oral nucleoside/nucleotide analogs without hepatitis B immune globulin after liver transplantation for hepatitis B. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2013;108:942–8.
14. Chauhan R, Lingala S, Gadiparthi C, Lahiri N, Mohanty SR, Wu J, et al. Reactivation of hepatitis B after liver transplantation: current knowledge, molecular mechanisms and implications in management. *World J Hepatol*. 2018;27:352–70.

15. Song ZL, Cui YJ, Zheng WP, Teng DH, Zheng H. Application of analogues to liver transplant recipients with hepatitis B. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2015;21:12091–100.
16. Cholongitas E, Tziomalos K, Pipilli C. Management of patients with hepatitis B in special populations. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2015;21:1738–48.
17. Chan HL, Fung S, Seto WK, Chuang WL, Chen CY, Kim HJ, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;1:185–95.
18. Buti M, Gane E, Seo WK, Chan HL, Chuang WL, Stepanova T, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol.* 2016;1:196–206.
19. Fontana RJ, Hann HW, Perrillo RP, Virling JM, Wright T, Rakela J, et al. Determinants of early mortality in patients with decompensated chronic hepatitis B treated with antiviral therapy. *Gastroenterology.* 2002;123:719–27.
20. Hyun JJ, Seo YS, Yoon E, Kim TH, Kim DJ, Kang HS, et al. Comparison of the efficacies of lamivudine versus entecavir in patients with hepatitis B virus-related decompensated cirrhosis. *Liver Int.* 2012;4:656–64.
21. Roche B, Samuel D. Treatment of patients with HBV-related decompensated cirrhosis and liver transplanted patients. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2013;17:451–73.
22. Jang JW, Choi JY, Kim YS, Woo HY, Choi SK, Lee CH, et al. Long-Term effect of antiviral therapy on disease course after decompensation in patients with hepatitis B virus-related cirrhosis. *Hepatology.* 2015;61:1809–20.
23. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: liver transplantation. *J Hepatol.* 2016;64:433–85.
24. Ueda Y, Marusawa H, Kaido T, Ogura Y, Ogawa K, Yoshizawa A, et al. Efficacy and safety of prophylaxis with entecavir and hepatitis B immunoglobulin in preventing hepatitis B recurrence after living-donor liver transplantation. *Hepatol Res.* 2013;43:67–71.
25. Hu TH, Chen CL, Lin CC, Wang CC, Chiu KW, Yong CC, et al. Combination of entecavir plus low-dose on-demand hepatitis B immunoglobulin is effective with very low hepatitis B recurrence after liver transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2014;97:S53–59.
26. Cholongitas E, Goulis J, Akriviadis E, Papatheodoridis GV. Hepatitis B immunoglobulin and/or nucleos(t)ide analogues for prophylaxis against hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation: a systematic review. *Liver Transpl.* 2011;10:1176–90.
27. Roche B, Roque-Afonso AM, Nevens F, Samuel D. Rational basis for optimizing short and long-term hepatitis B virus prophylaxis post liver transplantation: role of hepatitis B immune globulin. *Transplantation.* 2015;99:1321–34.
28. Di Costanzo GG, Lanza AG, Picciotto FP, Imperato M, Migliaccio C, De Luca M, et al. Safety and efficacy of subcutaneous hepatitis B immunoglobulin after liver transplantation: an open single-arm prospective study. *Am J Transplant.* 2013;13:348–52.
29. Naoumov NV, Lopes AR, Burra P, Caccamo L, Iemmolo RM, de Man RA, et al. Randomized trial of lamivudine versus hepatitis B immunoglobulin for long-term prophylaxis of hepatitis B recurrence after liver transplantation. *J Hepatol.* 2001;34:888–94.
30. Buti M, Mas A, Prieto M, Casafont F, González A, Miras M, et al. Adherence to Lamivudine after an early withdrawal of hepatitis B immune globulin plays an important role in the long-term prevention of hepatitis B virus recurrence. *Transplantation.* 2007;84:650–4.
31. Angus PW, Patterson SJ, Strasser SI, McCaughan GW, Gane E. A randomized study of adefovir dipivoxil in place of HBeAg combination with lamivudine as post-liver transplantation hepatitis B prophylaxis. *Hepatology.* 2008;48:1460–6.
32. Teperman LW, Poordad F, Bzowej N, Martin P, Pungpapong S, Schiano T, et al. Randomized trial of emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate after hepatitis B immunoglobulin withdrawal after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2013;19:594–601.
33. Cholongitas E, Papatheodoridis GV. High genetic barrier nucleos(t)ide analogue(s) for prophylaxis from hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation: a systematic review. *Am J Transplant.* 2013;13:353–62.
34. Fox Alyson N, Terrault Norah A. The option of HBeAg-free prophylaxis against recurrent HBV. *J Hepatol.* 2012;56:1189–97.
35. Saab S, Desai S, Tsaoi D, Durazo F, Han S, McClune A, et al. Posttransplantation hepatitis B prophylaxis with combination oral nucleoside and nucleotide analog therapy. *Am J Transplant.* 2011;11:511–7.
36. Cholongitas E, Goulis I, Antoniadis N, Fouzas I, Imvrios G, Papanikolaou V, et al. New nucleos(t)ide analogue monoprophyllaxis after cessation of hepatitis B immunoglobulin is effective against hepatitis B recurrence. *Transpl Int.* 2014;27:1022–8.
37. Fernández I, Loinaz C, Hernández O, Abradelo M, Manrique A, Calvo J, et al. Tenofovir/entecavir monotherapy after hepatitis B immunoglobulin withdrawal is safe and effective in the prevention of hepatitis B in liver transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2015;17:695–701.
38. Radhakrishnan K, Chi A, Quan DJ, Roberts JP, Terrault NA. Short course of postoperative hepatitis B immunoglobulin plus antivirals prevents reinfection of liver transplant recipients. *Transplantation.* 2017;101:2079–82.
39. Lens S, García-Eliz M, Fernández I, Castells L, Bonacci M, Mas A, et al. Shorter hepatitis B immunoglobulin administration is not associated to hepatitis B virus recurrence when receiving combined prophylaxis after liver transplantation. *Liver Int.* 2018;11:1940–50.
40. Zheng JN, Zou TT, Zou H, Zhu GQ, Ruan LY, Cheng Z, et al. Comparative efficacy of oral nucleotide analogues for the prophylaxis of hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation: a network meta-analysis. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2016;14:979–89.
41. Cholongitas E, Goulis I, Antoniadis N, Fouzas I, Imvrios G, Giakoustidis D, et al. Nucleos(t)ide analog(s) prophylaxis after hepatitis B immunoglobulin withdrawal against hepatitis B and D recurrence after liver transplantation. *Transpl Infect Dis.* 2016;18:667–73.
42. Fung J, Wong T, Chok K, Chan A, Cheung TT, Dai JW, et al. Long-term outcomes of entecavir monotherapy for chronic hepatitis B after liver transplantation: Results up to 8 years. *Hepatology.* 2017;66:1036–44.
43. Nassal M. HBV cccDNA: viral persistence reservoir and key obstacle for a cure of chronic hepatitis B. *Gut.* 2015;64:1972–84.
44. Roche B, Feray C, Gigou M, Roque-Afonso AM, Arulnaden JL, Delvart V, et al. HBV DNA persistence 10 years after liver transplantation despite successful anti-HBs passive immunoprophyllaxis. *Hepatology.* 2003;38:86–95.
45. Hussain M, Soldevila-Pico C, Emre S, Luketic V, Lok AS, NIH HBV-OLT Study Group. Presence of intrahepatic (total and ccc) HBV DNA is not predictive of HBV recurrence after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2007;13:1137–44.
46. Lenci I, Baiocchi L, Tariciotti L, Di Paolo D, Milana M, Santopaolo F, et al. Complete hepatitis B virus prophylaxis withdrawal in hepatitis B surface antigen-positive liver transplant recipients after long-term minimal immunosuppression. *Liver Transpl.* 2016;22:1205–13.
47. Sánchez-Fueyo A, Martínez-Bauer E, Rimola A. Hepatitis B vaccination after liver transplantation. *Hepatology.* 2002;36:257–8.
48. Angelico M, Di Paolo D, Trinito MO, Petrolati A, Araco A, Zazza S, et al. Failure of a reinforced triple course of hepatitis B

- vaccination in patients transplanted for HBV-related cirrhosis. *Hepatology*. 2002;35:176–81.
49. Albeniz Arbizu E, Bárcena Marugán R, Oton Nieto E, Carrera Alonso E, García González M, Moreno Garcia J, et al. Prophylaxis of recurrent hepatitis B virus vaccination after liver transplant: preliminary results. *Transplant Proc*. 2003;35:1848–9.
 50. Bienzle U, Günther M, Neuhaus R, Vandepapeliere P, Vollmar J, Lun A, et al. Immunization with an adjuvant hepatitis B vaccine after liver transplantation for hepatitis B-related disease. *Hepatology*. 2003;38:811–9.
 51. Starkel P, Stoffel M, Lerut J, Horsmans Y. Response to an experimental HBV vaccine permits withdrawal of HBIG prophylaxis in fulminant and selected chronic HBV-infected liver graft recipients. *Liver Transpl*. 2005;11:1128–234.
 52. Lo CM, Liu CL, Chan SC, Lau GK, Fan ST. Failure of hepatitis B vaccination in patients receiving lamivudine prophylaxis after liver transplantation for chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol*. 2005;43:283–7.
 53. Rosenau J, Hooman N, Hadem J, Rifai K, Bahr MJ, Philipp G, et al. Failure of hepatitis B vaccination with conventional HBsAg vaccine in patients with continuous HBIG prophylaxis after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl*. 2007;13:367–73.
 54. Lo CM, Lau GK, Chan SC, Fan ST, Wong J. Efficacy of a pre-S containing vaccine in patients receiving lamivudine prophylaxis after liver transplantation for chronic hepatitis B. *Am J Transplant*. 2007;7:434–9.
 55. Tahara H, Tanaka Y, Ishiyama K, Ide K, Shishida M, Irei T, et al. Successful hepatitis B vaccination in liver transplant recipients with donor-specific hyporesponsiveness. *Transpl Int*. 2009;22:805–13.
 56. Yamashiki N, Sugawara Y, Tamura S, Kaneko J, Matsui Y, Togashi J, et al. Double-dose double-phase use of second generation hepatitis B virus vaccine in patients after living donor liver transplantation: not an effective measure in transplant recipients. *Hepatol Res*. 2009;39:7–13.
 57. Di Paolo D, Lenci I, Cerocchi C, Tariciotti L, Monaco A, Brega A, et al. One-year vaccination against hepatitis B virus with a MPL-vaccine in liver transplant patients for HBV-related cirrhosis. *Transplant Int*. 2010;23:1105–12.
 58. Weber NK, Forman LM, Trotter JF. HBIG discontinuation with maintenance oral anti-viral therapy and HBV vaccination in liver transplant recipients. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2010;55:505–9.
 59. Ishigami M, Kamei H, Nakamura T, Katano Y, Ando H, Kiucho T, et al. Different effect of HBV vaccine after liver transplantation between chronic HBV carriers and non-HBV patients who received HBCAb-positive grafts. *J Gastroenterol*. 2011;46:367–77.
 60. Feng L, Niu Y, Chen H, You H, Zang Y, Li L, et al. Immunogenicity of different hepatitis B vaccination schedules in liver transplant recipients. *Hepatol Res*. 2013;43:495–501.
 61. Takaki A, Yagi T, Yasunaka T, Sadamori H, Shinoura S, Umeda Y, et al. Which patients respond best to hepatitis B vaccination after a hepatitis B virus-related liver transplantation. *J Gastroenterol*. 2013;48:1373–83.
 62. Usui M, Sugimoto K, Kato H, Murata Y, Tanemura A, Azumi Y, et al. Discontinuation of hepatitis B immunoglobulin by long-term hepatitis B vaccine inoculation in preventing hepatitis B recurrence after liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc*. 2016;48:1179–83.
 63. Yoshizawa A, Yamashiki N, Ueda Y, Kaido T, Okajima H, Marusawa H, et al. Long-term efficacy of hepatitis B vaccination as post-transplant prophylaxis in HBsAg positive recipients and HBsAg negative recipients of antiHBC positive grafts. *Hepatol Res*. 2016;46:541–51.
 64. Takaki A, Yasunaka T, Yagi T. Molecular mechanisms to control post-transplantation hepatitis B recurrence. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2015;16:17494–513.
 65. Ishigami M, Honda T, Ishizu Y, Onishi Y, Kamei H, Hayashi K, et al. Frequent incidence of escape mutants after successful hepatitis B vaccine response and stopping of nucleo(t)ide analogues in liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transpl*. 2014;20:1211–20.
 66. Saab S, Chen PY, Saab CE, Tong MJ. The management of hepatitis B in liver transplant recipients. *Clin Liver Dis*. 2016;20:721–36.
 67. Simón MA. Tratamiento de la reactivación del virus de la hepatitis B en el trasplante. *Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;37 Suppl. 2:55–61.
 68. Hwang S, Ahn CS, Song GW, Kim KH, Moon DB, Oh HB, et al. Post-transplantation prophylaxis with primary high-dose hepatitis B immunoglobulin monotherapy and complementary preemptive antiviral add-on. *Liver Transpl*. 2011;17:456–65.
 69. Kamar N, Milioto O, Alric L, El Kahwaji L, Cointault O, Lavayssière L, et al. Entecavir therapy for adefovir-resistant hepatitis B virus infection in kidney and liver allograft recipients. *Transplantation*. 2008;86:611–4.
 70. Jimenez-Pérez MA, Sáez-Gómez B, Mongil Poce L, Lozano-Rey JM, De la Cruz-Lombardo J, Rodrigo-López JM. Efficacy and safety of entecavir and/or tenofovir for prophylaxis and treatment of hepatitis B recurrence post-liver transplant. *Transplant Proc*. 2010;42:3167–8.
 71. Neef GW, Nery J, Lau DTY, O'Brien CB, Duncan R, Shire NJ, et al. Tenofovir therapy for lamivudine resistance following liver transplantation. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2004;38:1994–2204.
 72. Daudé M, Rostaing L, Sauné K, Lavayssière L, Basse G, Esposito L, et al. Tenofovir therapy in hepatitis B virus-positive solid-organ transplant recipients. *Transplantation*. 2011;91:916–20.
 73. Tood Stravitz R, Shiffman ML, Kimmel M, Puri P, Luketic VA, Sterling RK, et al. Substitution of tenofovir/emtricitabine for hepatitis B immune globulin prevents recurrence of hepatitis B after liver transplantation. *Liver Int*. 2012;32:1138–45.
 74. Perrillo R, Rakela J, Dienstag J, Levy G, Martin P, Wright T, et al. Multicenter study of lamivudine therapy for hepatitis B after liver transplantation. *Hepatology*. 1999;29:1581–6.
 75. Buganza-Torio E, Doucette KE. Management of viral hepatitis in solid organ transplant recipients. *Infect Dis Clin N Am*. 2018;32:635–50.
 76. Huprikar S, Danziger-Isakov L, Ahn J, Naugler S, Blumberg E, Avery RK, et al. Solid organ transplantation from hepatitis B virus-positive donors: consensus guidelines for recipient management. *Am J Transplant*. 2015;15:1162–72.
 77. Prieto M, Gómez MD, Berenguer M, Córdoba J, Rayón JM, Pastor J, et al. De novo hepatitis B after liver transplantation from hepatitis B core antibody-positive donors in an area with high prevalence of anti-HBc positivity in the donor population. *Liver Transpl*. 2001;7:51–8.
 78. Yen RD, Bonatti H, Mendez J, Aranda-Michel J, Satyanarayana R, Dickson RC. Case report of lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus infection post liver transplantation from a hepatitis B core antibody donor. *Am J Transplant*. 2006;6:1077–83.
 79. Cholongitas E, Papatheodoridis GV, Burroughs AK. Liver grafts from anti-hepatitis B core positive donors: a systematic review. *J Hepatol*. 2010;52:272–9.
 80. Avelino-Silva VI, D'Albuquerque LAC, Bonazzi PR, Song ATW, Miraglia JL, De Brito Neves A, et al. Liver transplant from anti-HBc-positive, HBsAg-negative donor into HBsAg-negative recipient: is it safe? A systematic review of the literature. *Clin Transplant*. 2010;24:735–46.
 81. Skagen CL, Jou JH, Asid A. Risk of *de novo* hepatitis in liver recipients from hepatitis-B core antibody-positive grafts – a systematic analysis. *Clin Transplant*. 2011;25:E243–9.
 82. Pan JJ, Thosani N, Machicao VI, Fallon MB. Current use of hepatitis B immune globulin for prevention of the *de novo* hepatitis B in recipients receiving anti-HBc-positive livers. *Hepatol Int*. 2011;5:635–43.

83. Bárcena R. Transmisión de la infección B por injertos anti-HBc positivos. *Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;37 Supl. 2:43–50.
84. Sintusek P, Posuwan N, Wanawongsawad P, Jitraruch S, Poovorawan Y, Chongsrisawat V. High prevalence of hepatitis B-antibody loss and a case report of *de novo* hepatitis B virus infection in a child after living-donor liver transplantation. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2018;24:752–62.
85. Saab S, Waterman B, Chi AC, Tong MJ. Comparison of different immunoprophylaxis regimens after liver transplantation with hepatitis B core antibody-positive donors: a systematic review. *Liver Transpl*. 2010;16:300–7.
86. Chotiyaputta W, Pelletier S, Fontana RJ, Lok ASF. Long-term efficacy of nucleoside monotherapy in preventing HBV infection in HBsAg-negative recipients of anti-HBc-positive donor livers. *Hepatol Int*. 2010;4:707–15.
87. Chang MS, Olsen SK, Pichardo EM, Stiles JB, Rosenthal-Cogan L, Brubaker WD, et al. Prevention of *de novo* hepatitis B in recipients of core antibody-positive livers with lamivudine and other nucleos(t)ides: a 12-year experience. *Transplantation*. 2013;95:960–5.
88. Soejima Y, Ikegami T, Taketomi A, Yoshizumi T, Uchiyama H, Harada N, et al. Hepatitis B vaccination after living donor liver transplantation. *Liver Int*. 2007;27:977–82.
89. Ohno Y, Mita A, Ikegami T, Masuda Y, Urata K, Nakazawa Y, et al. Successful active immunization using a hepatitis B virus vaccination protocol for a recipient with hepatitis B core antibody-positive liver graft. *Transplant Proc*. 2014;46:721–5.
90. Wang SH, Loh PY, Lin TL, Lin LM, Li WF, Lin YH, et al. Active immunization for prevention of *de novo* hepatitis B virus infection after adult living donor liver transplantation with a hepatitis B core antigen-positive graft. *Liver Transpl*. 2017;23:1266–72.
91. Su WJ, Ho MC, Ni YH, Chen HL, Hu RH, Wu YM, et al. High-titer antibody to hepatitis b surface antigen before liver transplantation can prevent *de novo* hepatitis B infection. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2009;48:203–8.