

Journal of Innovation & Knowledge



https://www.journals.elsevier.com/journal-of-innovation-and-knowledge

Determinants of electronic invoicing technology adoption: Toward managing business information system transformation



Ashish Kumar Tiwari, Doctoral Research Scholar^{a,*}, Zericho R. Marak, Assistant Professor^{a,b}, Justin Paul, Professor^{c,e,f}, Abhijit P Deshpande, Professor^{a,d}

^a Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune, India

^b Symbiosis Centre for Management Studies, Nagpur, India ^c University of Puerto Rico, Graduate School of Business, San Juan, PR, USA

^d Symbiosis School of Sports Sciences, Pune, India

^e University of Reading, UK

^f Saveetha School of Engineering, SIMATS Deemed University, Chennai, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 24 January 2023 Accepted 13 April 2023 Available online 27 April 2023

- Keywords: Electronic invoicing Supply chain Partial least square Technology adoption Information, digital transformation
- JEL Code: L50 M38 O30 O31 O33

Introduction

Managing information is crucial for the efficiency and competitiveness of the business supply chain. Structured strategic and transactional data of businesses must flow consistently among supply chain partners for a healthy and competent supply chain. Every supply chain needs to deploy functional information and document exchange systems. The information systems need to perform functions such as data capture and communication, data storage and retrieval, and data manipulation and reporting (Hugos, 2018). Electronic invoicing (e-invoicing) can perform these functions efficiently and thus benefit the focal firm and its supply chain partners. Einvoicing will play a key role in the digital transformation of the supply chain. Today, digital transformation in the supply chain is of paramount importance. To reap the benefits of the digital supply chain, it

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ashishtiwari1@gmail.com (A.K. Tiwari).

ABSTRACT

This paper aims to examine the adoption of electronic-invoicing (e-invoicing) technology using a Technological-Organisational-Environmental (TOE) framework, especially toward managing business information system transformation in emerging economies. A Partial Least Square (PLS) Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) was used for construct measurement, and logistic regression was used for model testing. We found compatibility, complexity, relative advantage, trialability, firm size, and competitive and regulatory pressure to act as determinants of e-invoicing technology adoption. This study contributes to the existing literature by providing theoretical underpinning to e-invoicing technology penetration literature and toward adopting this innovation in the business-to-business market context. It also offers insights into the implementation of e-invoicing from the perspective of emerging economies.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Journal of Innovation & Knowledge. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

> is essential to leverage novel approaches, including digital transformation with technologies (Nasiri et al., 2020). E-invoicing could also prove to be of immense value in enabling supply chain finance (SCF) which has gained significant attention in business-to-business (B2B) financing post-financial crisis of 2009 (Wuttke et al., 2013; Caniato et al., 2016; Marak & Pillai, 2018). SCF can greatly benefit from the implementation of digital technologies, such as e-invoicing, thereby making processes faster and cheaper (Caniato et al., 2016; Marak & Pillai, 2021; Moretto & Caniato, 2021). The implementation of new digital applications such as e-invoicing can increase the digitalised buyer-supplier relationships, thereby bringing new business-to-business (B2B) opportunities (Ivang et al., 2009).

> B2B transactions play a crucial role in any economy, and it is of utmost importance that there should be a proper payment system to facilitate those B2B transactions. In 2021, the market size of the global B2B payments was valued at USD 1029 billion, and it is estimated to grow at a CAGR of 8.9% and thereby reach a market size of USD 2242 billion by 2030 (Straits research, n.d.). Though B2B payments are

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2023.100366

2444-569X/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Journal of Innovation & Knowledge. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

growing rapidly and have been found to outpace consumer payments, there are still issues that plague the way B2Bs make and accept payments. One of the major issues in B2B payments is the use of paper cheques which has many drawbacks compared to electronic payments. A recent study in the USA showed that though the paper cheque is one of the least preferred methods of payment, it still contributes to 42% of B2B payments. E-invoicing can immensely contribute to electronic payments and thus help improve the overall B2B payments ecosystem (Association for Finance Professionals, n.d.; Main, 2021).

Due to the significance e-invoicing holds for a supply chain, B2B payments, and the economy, the e-invoicing market has seen remarkable growth over the years in developed economies. Globally, it is expected to grow at a compounded annual growth rate of 20.4% for the period of 2019-2026 (Zion market research, 2019). The report further states that, by region, Asia Pacific is predicted to be one of the fastest-growing markets of e-invoicing within the forecast period, owing to the growing penetration of advancing technology support and rising automation trends, especially in emerging countries like China and India. E-invoicing in Asia and Latin America is expected to experience a tremendous growth rate which will further drive the overall e-invoicing market (Koch, 2017). The adoption of e-invoicing in the industrial market can make supply chains highly transparent and visible. It is expected that further adoption of e-invoicing will also further boost innovation in einvoicing technology and the overall digital transformation of the supply chain (Martínez-Román et al., 2020).

Over the past few years, India has witnessed several initiatives and measures taken by the government. These initiatives could prove beneficial in creating a conducive ecosystem for the e-invoicing market. The Indian government replaced Value Added Tax (VAT) with Goods and Services Tax (GST), which has remained in effect since July 1, 2017 (Goods and Services Tax Council, n.d.). GST requires e-reporting in the form of an e-way bill to be generated by the consignor or consignee. It is a receipt or a document that offers details such as the Goods and Services Tax Identification Number (GSTIN) of the recipient, place of delivery, invoice or Goods Receipt Note (GRN) number and date, the value of the goods, reasons for transportation, transporter details, among others. As per the Central Goods and Services Tax (CGST) Rules, 2017, any consignment having a value of more than fifty thousand Indian Rupees (INR 50000) is compulsorily required to generate an e-way bill (Directorate General of Taxpayer Services Central Board of Excise and Customs, 2020). Such e-reporting is expected to aid in forming the necessary ecosystem for the practice of e-invoicing (Koch, 2017).

On the other hand, e-invoicing can help improve the ease of doing business and filing the e-way bill and thus GST. Further, e-invoicing is mandatory for enterprises in India with a turnover of INR 1 billion and above. For smaller enterprises, the use of electronic invoicing is still only voluntary (Prasad, 2020). Such measures taken by the government are expected to create a positive influence regarding the adoption and diffusion of e-invoicing.

This study aims to examine the adoption of e-invoicing in India, representing emerging economies, using a Technological, Organisational, and Environmental (TOE) framework. TOE broadly covers the factors from several dimensions that could influence the adoption decisions of a firm. This study will contribute to the existing literature on e-invoicing by providing a theoretical underpinning to e-invoicing literature. It will also offer insights into the implementation of e-invoicing in emerging economies.

Further, it will add to the literature on TOE in its application for a different technology from the previous ones. Testing an existing TOE model for a new technology application in the emerging market reveals newer aspects of the suitability of the theory. At the same time, this study also reflects propositions for supply chain finance and buyer-seller transparency as part of theoretical contributions.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section two is dedicated to the literature review. Section three is designed for the research methodology followed. Section four presents the results and findings of the study. Section five provides discussions, including the theoretical contributions, managerial implications, and directions for further research. Finally, section six offers the conclusion of the study.

Theoretical background and literature review

E-invoicing

E-invoicing may be defined as the dematerialised form of invoice having a structured and standardised format used among supply chain partners (Penttinen and Tuunainen, 2009, p1; Penttinen, 2008). Electronic invoicing is not entirely new. The transmission of invoices via an electronic format has existed for decades. Koch (2017) stated that the e-invoicing market has been around for longer than a few decades. As early as the 1970s, EDIFACT (Electronic Data Interchange for Administration, Commerce, and Transport) was used by large organisations as a mechanism for transmitting invoice data. However, these systems were point-to-point systems that required considerable investments in setting up connections between the parties involved (Penttinen and Hyytiainen, 2008). Earlier, the private industry acted as the major driver; however, at present, due to the push to have an efficient tax system, governments are taking measures to implement e-invoicing (Koch, 2017).

There are different ways in which an invoice may be transmitted between business partners: (a) paper-based invoice transmitted by post; (b) invoice exchanged as an electronic attachment (e.g., PDF) in an email; (c) invoice created by scanning a paper document by using optical character recognition; (d) invoice exchanged as structured XML or EDI; (e) using both paper invoice sent by post and duplicate electronic copy exchanged by other means mentioned earlier, i.e., (a) to (d); etc. (Keifer, 2011). It has been observed that invoice exchange using email is more popular than EDI, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) generally prefer email by comparison to their larger counterparts. It has also been observed that the combination of PDF and XML invoices is gradually increasing (Koch, 2017).

The use of electronic invoicing has gained attention over the last few years. A summary of extant research relating to e-invoicing is given in Appendix 1. Specifically, from Appendix 1, it can be observed that the majority of the studies are empirical, using a survey method followed by case studies, interviews, ethnographic studies, expert opinions, and secondary data. Most of these empirical studies have been primarily conducted in Europe, particularly Finland. These empirical studies have mainly highlighted the determinants, benefits, and challenges of e-invoicing.

Drivers of E-invoicing

The literature discussed several drivers of e-invoicing that prompt organisations to adopt e-invoicing. Some of these are the streamlining of accounts receivables (AR) and accounts payables (AP); reduction of costs in general (Fairchild, 2004); reduction of printing costs (Poel et al., 2016); working capital optimisation (Fairchild, 2004); increased efficiency in terms of storage, time savings, an increased control of processes, improved administration, increased safety, fewer errors, etc. (Poel et al., 2016); supply chain partner pressure (Fairchild, 2004; Keifer, 2011); regulatory pressure (Keifer, 2011; Koch, 2017); supplier innovation (Keifer, 2011), amongst others.

Benefits

The use of e-invoicing is expected to offer several benefits not only to the immediate implementer but also to the supply chain partners. Einvoicing can substantially decrease costs, e.g., reduced clerical work and printing costs (Edelman & Sintomen, 2006; Penttinen & Tuunainen, 2009). It offers enhancement in delivery time, a reduction in payment delays, and greater reliability by lowering the error rate (Edelman and Sintomen, 2006; Lumiaho and Rämänen, 2011; Poel et al., 2016). It can additionally lead to process efficiency for the organisation (Fairchild, 2004; Sandberg et al., 2009). Another significant benefit is that it offers convenience to the supply chain partners involved and better service delivery to customers (Fairchild, 2004; Sandberg et al., 2009; Poel et al., 2016). E-invoicing can greatly help in firm tax compliance and increase the tax collection by the government, particularly from the high risks of non-compliance groups (Krysovatyy et al., 2021; Olaleye et al., 2023; Skare et al., 2023). Further, e-invoicing provides several environmental benefits, such as reduced paper usage and increased energy efficiency (Poel et al., 2016).

Challenges

Despite the several benefits provided by e-invoicing, the adoption rate has been slow due to the challenges faced by adopters. Though large enterprises may find it easy to implement an einvoicing system, their smaller counterparts face difficulties in adopting it due to high investment and integration costs (Sandberg et al., 2009). Fairchild (2004) highlighted limited capital allocation and lack of internal sponsorship as the main hindrances to the use of e-invoicing. Edelman and Sintonen (2006) highlighted several reasons for the non-adoption of e-invoicing among SMEs. These reasons were a lack of demand in the environment, perceived uncertainty, and a low level of awareness. Buyer fragmentation could be another reason for the difficulty in adopting such technology. The challenges may also stem from the service provider side, e.g., fragmentation of service providers can significantly hinder the implementation amongst the supply chain partners (Keifer, 2011).

From the past literature on e-invoicing, it can be observed that most of the studies have focused on the paybacks and challenges of e-invoicing, while a few concentrated on the determinants of einvoicing adoption. However, e-invoicing literature still lacks theoretical underpinning. Further, most of the prior studies have originated from developed countries, while the contribution to emerging economies is scarce.

Technological, organisational, and environmental (TOE) framework

For the present study, we have used the TOE framework by Tornatzky and Fleischer (1990). TOE serves as a vital theoretical perspective for examining the contextual factors in technology adoption (Tornatzky and Fleischer, 1990; Lin, 2014). It serves as a useful perspective to look at innovation adoptions (Chau and Tam, 1997). According to TOE, three elements influence the way that innovation or technology is adopted. These are the technological, organisational, and environmental contexts. The technological context refers to the adopter's perception of technological attributes or characteristics. The organisational context refers to the descriptive characteristics of the organisation, which may include the size and scope of the firm size, the firm's managerial structure and complexity, and the quality and degree of its human resources. The external environmental context refers to the arena wherein the organisation operates its business, including the industry and relationships with trading partners, competitors, regulations, and the government (Chau and Tam, 1997; Lin, 2014).

Many of the previous studies on technology adoption have used the TOE framework, and thus it possesses robust empirical support. Some of these studies are presented in Appendix 2.

Research model hypotheses development

We propose our research model applying the TOE framework, as shown in Fig. 1.

Technological context

The technological factors that are expected to influence technology adoption are based on Roger's Innovation Diffusion Theory. These



Fig. 1. Proposed research model for e-invoicing adoption applying the TOE framework.

factors are relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, observability, and trialability (Rogers, 2010).

Relative advantage. Relative advantage refers to the "degree to which an innovation is perceived as being better than its precursor technology or idea" (Rogers, 2010; Moore and Benbasat, 1991). For innovation to have a higher likelihood of being adopted, it should demonstrate that it has an advantage over the technology it is superseding. Electronic invoicing will have several advantages over traditional paper-based invoicing (Edelman and Sintomen, 2006; Fairchild, 2004; Poel et al., 2016). Waarts et al. (2002) argued that at the early stages of the diffusion process, adoption tends to be especially driven by a combination of internal strategic drives and attitudes of the firm, together with external forces like industry competition and supplier activities. Later, they suggest that the mix of adoption-stimulating factors seems to focus more on implementation issues, such as the scalability of the system. E-invoicing is based on the principle of the exchange of information digitally and is, therefore, extremely scalable. Previous studies on other innovations have shown that relative advantage is positively associated with adopting that innovation (Ghobakhloo et al., 2011; Oliveira et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2020). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H1a: Relative advantage positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Compatibility. Compatibility refers to the "degree to which an innovation is perceived as being consistent with the existing values, needs, and past experiences of potential adopters" (Rogers, 2010; Moore and Benbasat, 1991). For e-invoicing to have a higher likelihood of being adopted, it must fit with existing values and requirements and the organisation's past experiences. Earlier studies on other technologies have shown the positive influence of compatibility on the adoption of those technologies (Ghobakhloo et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016; Waarts et al., 2002). There needs to be an alignment in the mindset and capabilities within the firm and between the supply chain partners, as resistance to change and barriers to the adoption of a new innovation can emerge from it (Töytäri et al., 2018). Thus, we derive the following hypothesis:

H1b: Compatibility positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Complexity. Complexity refers to the "degree to which an innovation is perceived to be relatively difficult to understand and use" (Rogers, 2010). The innovation should be easy to integrate with the business organisation's operations to have a higher likelihood of being adopted. If the organisations perceive the technology to be difficult to understand and use, it will act as the inhibiting factor to adopting that technology. Complexity describes the model whose subsystem is intricate in many ways, which follows the local rule with many interactions (Mehra et al., 2020; Moore and Benbasat, 1991). Mehra et al. (2020) further mentioned that the chances of acceptance of technological innovation would increase naturally by reducing its complexity. The complexity of technology has been found to negatively influence the adoption of such technologies (Oliveira et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H1c: Complexity negatively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Observability. Observability refers to the "degree to which the results of an innovation are observable to others" (Rogers, 2010). Technological innovations, the impacts of which are easily visible in the

industry, will be viewed more favourably, thus, having higher prospects of adoption (Marak et al., 2019). Past studies on innovative technologies have shown observability in enhancing the user adoption of such innovations (Al-Jabri and Sohail, 2012; Teo et al., 1995). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H1d: Observability positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Trialability. Trialability refers to the "degree to which an innovation may be experimented with before adoption" (Rogers, 2010). Firms and users, in general, would prefer to have a trial of a new technology before making a decision and committing the resources to implement such technologies (Marak et al., 2019). Trialability can lead to a better understanding of new technology, such as capabilities, benefits, and difficulties. It can help the firm increase familiarity with the new technology (Agarwal & Prasad, 1998; Rogers, 2010; Al-Jabri and Sohail, 2012). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H1e: Trialability positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Organisational context

Top management support. Top management support is considered an essential factor in the adoption of innovation. It represents the key decision-makers in the organisation. They can create an appealing vision of the benefits of the new technology and mobilise resources and tackle resistance to change, thus, leading to the creation of a positive ecosystem to facilitate the implementation of technology (Premkumar and Roberts, 1999; Wang et al., 2016). Prior studies have shown a positive influence of management support on adopting new technologies (Ramdani and Kawalek, 2007; Lin, 2014; Abed, 2020). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H2a: Top management support positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Firm size. The firm's size has been found to play a key role in the implementation and use of technologies. In general, larger firms have more resources and skills at their disposal, and as such, they are associated with the adoption of new technologies (Frambach and Schillewaert, 2002; Wang et al., 2010; Ghobakhloo et al., 2011; Oliveira et al., 2014; Nurmilaakso, 2008). E-invoicing requires financial resources and considerable costs to be incurred in achieving integration with the supply chain partners (Sandberg et al., 2009). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H2b: Firm size positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Age of the firm. The firm's age is often not taken into consideration for the type of studies we are undertaking. The firm's age may be considered the proxy for the firm's accumulation of experience and reduction in the perceived risk relating to technology investments. It is expected that older and more mature firms will implement more information technology than their younger counterparts (Giunta and Trivieri, 2007), though some studies have shown results contrary to this (Gambardella and Torrisi, 2001). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H2c: The age of the firm positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Technology competence. Technology competence refers to the firm's internal technological resources. Generally, a wide range of capabilities, activities, and processes related to identifying, assimilating, and exploiting existing knowledge, generating new knowledge, inventing new schemes and functions, and diffusing the acquired knowledge or invention may reflect the firm's technology competence (Giotopolous et al., 2017). Wang et al. (2016) stated that it might consist of information technology (IT) infrastructure and the professionals involved with these aspects of the firm. While IT infrastructure comprises the installed network technologies and enterprise systems, IT professionals comprise the knowledge and skills to implement the technology (Wang et al., 2010). Previous studies have shown that the level of technology competence of the organisation is positively associated with the implementation of technology in the organisation (Gutierrez et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Oliveira et al., 2019). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H2d: Technology competence positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Environmental context

Competitive pressure. Gounaris and Koritos (2012) studied and found that the decision to adopt improves the understanding of the adopters regarding the benefits delivered by innovation. Competitive advantage is crucial for achieving superior performance and returns in the free market (Wernerfelt, 1984; Barney, 1991; Newbert, 2008). It drives the firm to achieve a competitive advantage by adopting new technology. It can be termed as the pressure resulting from a threat of losing competitive advantage, forcing firms to adopt and implement the respective technologies (Lin, 2014). Previous studies on various organisational technologies have shown the positive influence of competitive pressure in influencing organisations to adopt new technology (Wang et al., 2010; Ghobakhloo et al., 2011; Gutierrez et al., 2015; Abed, 2020; Sun, 2020). Therefore, we hypothesise that competitive pressure will lead the firm in implementing e-invoicing. Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H3a: Competitive pressure is positively associated with the adoption of e-invoicing.

Trading partner pressure. Trading partners can influence an organisation's adoption of new technology. To display the fitness required to conduct business with a major trading partner, an organisation may be prompted to adopt a new technology that their trading partner has already implemented or is in the process of implementing. A request from a major trading partner can act as a critical factor in implementing a specific technology (To and Ngai, 2006; Wang et al., 2016). Enterprises of all sizes depend on their trading partners when deciding to adopt new technology. Further, the marketing activities, projects undertaken in the past, and targeted communications can have a significant bearing on the potential decision of an organisation to implement new technology (Gutierrez et al., 2015). Several empirical studies in the past have shown that trading partners play a crucial role in facilitating the implementation of new technology by an organisation (Teo et al., 2003; Pan and Jang, 2008). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H3b: Trading partner pressure positively influences the adoption of e-invoicing.

Regulatory pressure. Government laws and regulations can be very influential in the adoption and diffusion of technology. These can encourage or discourage organisations from adopting

technologies (Oliveira et al., 2014). Previous works on electronic invoicing have stated regulatory pressure from the government as one of the key drivers in the implementation of e-invoicing (Keifer, 2011; Koch, 2017). The empirical research on other technologies has shown a positive association between the regulatory environment and the firm's intention to implement the technology (Kuan and Chau, 2001; Sun et al., 2020). Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

H3c: Regulatory pressure is positively associated with the adoption of e-invoicing.

Research methodology

Construct measures

The constructs were measured by reviewing the extant literature, and modifications were made to suit the context of e-invoicing. All independent variables except the age of the firm were measured using the five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 to 5, where "1" is "strongly disagree" and "5" is "strongly agree." The age of the firm was measured using the actual age (i.e., ratio scale). The dependent variable was measured in a dichotomous manner, i.e., yes or no. The details of the constructs and the relevant references are shown in , Appendix 3.

Sample

Data was collected using an electronic survey, and the questionnaire was sent to firms in India. These firms were involved in both the manufacturing and service sectors. Respondents were majorly in interface roles w.r.t. business transactions, such as sales, purchasing, finance, and accounts, as well as the decision-making roles, such as top management or senior management. Interface roles ensured the relevance of respondents in the context of the study. Overall, the questionnaire was sent to 600 individuals, and we received 151 valid responses, thus achieving a response rate of 25%. This can be considered acceptable given the previous studies on innovation adoption (see Appendix 2). The details of the respondents are shown in Appendix 4.

Results and findings

The partial least square (PLS) approach was applied for empirically validating the research model. We applied SmartPLS 3.2.8 for estimating the PLS-SEM (Structural Equation Modelling). The sensitivity of PLS to the sample size is less; as such, the assumption of the normality of the data is not required (Dash & Paul, 2021). In PLS, the minimum sample size could be determined by using the criteria of the "10 times" rule, i.e. (1) ten times the largest number of formative indicators used to measure one construct; or (2) ten times the largest number of structural paths directed at a particular latent construct in the structural model (Hair et al., 2011). Kwong-Kay Wong (2013) suggested that a sample size of 100 to 200 is a good starting point for performing path modelling.

However, as the PLS-SEM has a problem dealing with binary outcome-dependent variables, we applied PLS-SEM for model measurement only as Bodoff and Ho (2016) recommended. In the first step, the PLS-SEM estimates latent values by assigning weights to its manifest variables while considering the latent values of directly linked variables in the structural model. In the second stage, it performs Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regressions using the latent values found in the first step. As the PLS-SEM performs OLS regressions, it can prove to be problematic in approximations when the dependent variable is binary in nature (Hair et al., 2012; Bodoff & Ho, 2016). As

	ournal of	Innovation	& Knowledge 8	(2023)	100366
--	-----------	------------	---------------	--------	--------

Table 1	
Standardised	outer loading

	AD	AG	CM	СР	СХ	FS	MS	OB	RA	RP	TC	TP	TR	VIF
AD	1													1
AG		1												1
CM1			0.857											2.153
CM2			0.895											2.853
CM3			0.856											2.736
CM4			0.850											2.051
CP1				0.817										1.143
CP2				0.828										1.143
CX2					0.967									1.992
CX3					0.501									1.992
FS1						0.895								2.868
FS2						0.932								3.253
FS3						0.861								1.957
MS1							0.817							2.297
MS2							0.899							2.890
MS3							0.868							2.924
MS4							0.863							2.652
OB2								0.870						1.388
OB3								0.797						1.790
OB4								0.759						1.591
RA1									0.828					2.532
RA2									0.950					2.319
RA3									0.754					1.830
RA4									0.759					2.157
RP1										0.976				1.440
RP2										0.722				1.440
TC1											0.919			2.026
TC2											0.931			2.026
TP1												0.930		3.170
TP2												0.976		3.170
TR1													0.835	1.130
TR2													0.801	1.130

Note: AD- Adoption of e-invoicing, AG- Age of the firm, CM-Compatibility, CP-Competitive pressure, CX-Complexity, FS-Firm Size, MS-Top Management Support, OB- Observability, RA- Relative Advantage, RP- Regulatory Pressure, TC- Technology Competence, TP- Trading Partner Pressure, TR- Trialability.

such, for the second step, i.e., estimation of the path coefficients, we applied binary logistic regression as we measured the dependent variable in the binary outcome (i.e., adopters and non-adopters). Hair et al. (2010) stated that logistic regression is useful when the main purpose of the study is modelling predictors of a binary dependent variable. This statistical technique is widely used in enterprise technology or systems/e-business adoption studies (Gutierrez et al., 2015; Lin, 2014; Wang et al., 2016).

Logistic regression is useful when the purpose of a study is to model the predictors of a binary (two-group) dependent variable (Hair et al., 2010). This statistical technique has been adopted by previous organisational technology/e-business adoption studies, such as those focusing on firms' adoption of e-business (Oliveira & Martins, 2010), enterprise resource planning systems (Pan and Jang, 2008), electronic supply chain management systems (Lin, 2014), and e-procurement systems (Teo et al., 2009).

Model measurement

Table 1 shows the factor loadings and multicollinearity test. The standardised outer loadings are found to be highly satisfactory as all the variables of the constructs except for one are greater than the threshold level value of 0.70¹ (Hair et al., 2011; Hair, 2016). One variable of outer loading less than 0.7 (CX3) is retained to avoid a single-item scale (Sarstedt et al., 2014).

Table 2 shows the measurement of internal consistency. Traditionally, Cronbach's alpha has been used to measure the internal consistency reliability; however, it comes with a set of limitations, such as the assumption of equal outer loadings of all constructs, sensitivity to the number of items in the scale, and underestimation of the internal consistency reliability. As such, it is suggested to apply 'composite reliability' to measure internal reliability as a replacement for Cronbach's alpha (Bagozzi and Yi, 1988; Hair, 2016). The composite reliability of all the constructs is greater than 0.70, thus indicating the acceptable internal consistency of the variables forming the constructs (Straub et al., 2004; Hair et al., 2016).

Convergent validity refers to the extent to which a measure correlates positively with the alternative measures of the same construct. A common measure to examine convergent validity is average variance extracted (AVE), and the AVE value of 0.50 or greater is considered acceptable as it shows that the constructs explain more than 50 per cent of the variations of its indicators (Hair, 2016). Table 2 shows the AVE for all the constructs to be greater than 0.5, thus showing the convergent validity of all the constructs.

Table 2		
D - 11 - 1- 11	· · · 1	12 -

Rel	iabi	lity	and	val	lidity	measures
-----	------	------	-----	-----	--------	----------

Constructs	CR	AVE
AD	1	1
AG	1	1
CM	0.922	0.747
СР	0.807	0.677
CX	0.726	0.593
FS	0.925	0.804
MS	0.92	0.743
OB	0.851	0.657
RA	0.895	0.683
RP	0.846	0.737
TC	0.922	0.856
TP	0.952	0.909
TR	0.802	0.670

Note: CR- Composite reliability, and AVE- Average variance extracted.

¹ Some of the items not meeting the threshold value of 0.70 are eliminated from the model, i.e. CP3, CX1, OB1, TC3, TP3, and TR3 (see Table 1 and Appendix 3).

Table 3 Fornell-Larc	ker criteri	on.											
Variable	AD	AG	СМ	СР	СХ	FS	MS	OB	RA	RP	TC	TP	TR
AD	1												
AG	0.144	1											
CM	0.321	0.065	0.864										
CP	0.258	0.230	0.481	0.823									
CX	-0.010	-0.026	0.088	0.101	0.770								
FS	0.287	0.326	0.299	0.507	0.127	0.896							
MS	0.305	0.186	0.489	0.542	-0.001	0.407	0.862						
OB	0.211	0.018	0.424	0.386	0.141	0.260	0.415	0.810					
RA	0.155	0.141	0.650	0.307	0.064	0.275	0.366	0.323	0.827				
RP	0.199	-0.054	0.077	0.238	0.406	0.204	0.194	0.196	0.051	0.858			
TC	0.316	0.102	0.463	0.575	-0.107	0.400	0.524	0.400	0.243	0.044	0.925		
TP	0.072	0.050	0.153	0.390	0.243	0.339	0.309	0.344	0.079	0.532	0.182	0.953	
TR	0.404	0.161	0.431	0.359	0.118	0.273	0.572	0.500	0.291	0.227	0.465	0.221	0.818

Note: The diagonal elements represent the square root of AVE. Whereas, other elements indicate correlations between the constructs.

Discriminant validity is the extent to which the construct is truly unique from others in terms of empirical standards. We use the Fornell-Larcker criterion to measure the constructs' discriminant validity, which compares the square root of AVE values with the latent variable correlations. When the square root of each construct's AVE is greater than its highest correlations with all other constructs, discriminant validity is confirmed (Hair, 2016). Table 3 shows that the square root of AVE (diagonal elements in bold) of each construct is greater than the highest correlation with all the other constructs. Besides, we also use another measure of discriminant validity, i.e., the Hetrotrait-Monotrait (HTMT) ratio, the ratio of correlations in which a value less than 0.90 is considered acceptable (Henseler et al., 2015; Aboelmaged, 2018). Table 4 shows 0.873 is the highest HTMT ratio, thus establishing an acceptable discriminant validity of the constructs. Table 1 shows the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) of all constructs is found to be within the acceptable threshold value of 5, which shows there is no cause for concern for multicollinearity (Sarstedt et al., 2017).

Structural model testing

The path coefficient is estimated using logistic regression. Table 5 shows the results of logistic regression. The 2-log likelihood of the model is 82.025. The model generates the Hosmer Lemeshow measure of the goodness of fit of 7.807 and is insignificant at a 5% level of significance, thus indicating no presence of differences between observed and predicted classification, i.e., the overall fitness of the model. The two pseudo R², Cox and Snell R² and Negelkerke R², are 0.313 and 0.521, indicating a satisfactory explanatory capability of the model. Table 6 presents the classification table, and it shows the

Table 4	

overall predictive power of the model. The model's predictive accuracy is 86.8%, further confirming the goodness of fit of the model as satisfactory.

Regarding the technology environment drivers, our findings support hypotheses H1b, H1c, and H1e. While observability is insignificant, we found a negative influence of relative advantage on the adoption of e-invoicing. From the organisational environment factors, only firm size influences the adoption of e-invoicing, thus supporting hypothesis H2b. Finally, among the external environment factors, competitive pressure and regulatory pressure were found to be significant. Competitive pressure is found to negatively influence adoption and is contrary to hypothesis H3a. Regulatory pressure positively influences adoption and supports hypothesis H3c.

Discussions

Technological context

Of all the innovation characteristics, compatibility, complexity, relative advantage, and trialability are found to be significant in influencing the adoption of e-invoicing. For e-invoicing to be implemented, its compatibility with values, requirements, and experiences is important. Compatibility is an important determinant of innovation adoption. If e-invoicing matches the values, needs, and existing experiences of the firm's information technology, then the firm will have a positive perception and hence implement e-invoicing. As e-invoicing has implications for the supply chain, its compatibility with the technology and infrastructure of the supply chain partners will be crucial (Töytäri et al., 2018). Difficulty in technology integration with the supply chain partners could prove to be an inhibitor in the

Heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT)												
	AD	AG	СМ	СР	CX	FS	MS	OB	RA	RP	TC	TP
AD												
AG	0.144											
CM	0.327	0.080										
CP	0.357	0.319	0.695									
CX	0.006	0.020	0.075	0.179								
FS	0.304	0.346	0.331	0.757	0.268							
MS	0.316	0.198	0.540	0.797	0.094	0.467						
OB	0.223	0.045	0.480	0.582	0.222	0.314	0.518					
RA	0.110	0.140	0.784	0.523	0.041	0.337	0.456	0.410				
RP	0.193	0.076	0.073	0.332	0.656	0.244	0.238	0.280	0.058			
TC	0.347	0.113	0.527	0.873	0.125	0.471	0.609	0.492	0.333	0.093		
TP	0.071	0.057	0.173	0.572	0.286	0.385	0.362	0.394	0.123	0.685	0.217	
TR	0.566	0.223	0.649	0.702	0.341	0.413	0.847	0.822	0.459	0.685	0.722	0.320

Table 5

Logistic regression.

Predictor	Coefficient	Wald Statistics
Constant	-4.871**	3.715
AG	0.005	0.310
CM	1.502***	7.348
СР	-1.094**	5.455
CX	-1.004***	6.124
FS	1.373**	7.729
MS	-0.053	.0140
OB	.119	.0780
RA	-1.433**	4.563
RP	1.419***	10.536
TC	0.169	0.167
TP	-0.661	3.315
TR	1.568***	10.277
-2LL	82.025	
² Hosmer and Lemeshow test	7.807	
Cox & Snell R ²	0.313	
Nagelkerke R ²	0.521	

implementation of e-invoicing (Sandberg et al., 2009). However, the influence of compatibility may diminish along the adoption cycle due to increased compatibility of the innovation with all sorts of platforms and systems (Waarts et al., 2002). Similar to the previous findings on innovation adoption and diffusion (Oliveira et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016), we found complexity to influence the adoption of e-invoicing negatively. The perception of difficulty in understanding and using e-invoicing proves to be an inhibiting factor in enterprises using the technology. Reducing the complexity and enhancing the ease of use can positively influence the implementation of the technology. Surprisingly, our results show the negative influence of relative advantage in e-invoicing adoption. Some of the earlier studies also found the negative influence of relative advantage on innovation adoption (Karahanna et al., 2002; Marak et al., 2019). Such negative influence could be due to perceptions that e-invoicing would be state-of-the-art and cutting-edge technology and the currently available product in the market not meeting such expectations (Karahanna et al., 2002). Trialability plays a key role in implementing new technology, as witnessed in several previous studies (Ramdani et al., 2009; Marak et al., 2019). Our study also supports those earlier findings. The ability to use new technology on a trial basis helps the enterprises to assess the capabilities, benefits, disadvantages, and other features of the technology, eventually leading to better implementation of such technologies. Our study also showed the influence of observability to be insignificant. The easy visibility of e-invoicing in the industry or market does not translate into an increase in its adoption. Several studies in the past have also shown the insignificant influence of observability characteristics of innovation on the adoption (Ramdani et al., 2009).

Organisational context

Top management support is not an influential factor in e-invoicing adoption. This result is consistent with the previous studies that have shown mixed results concerning the influence of top management support on adopting new technology. This may be because

Table 6 Classification table.

		Pr	edicted	
		Adopters	Non-adopters	Percentage
Observed	Adopters	13	13	50.00
	Non-adopters	7	118	94.40
Overall Percentage				86.8

technology, such as e-invoicing, is of routine operational importance and can be comfortably driven by the operational management levels in a firm. While some studies showed the positive influence of top management support on the implementation of innovation (Lin, 2014; Oliveira et al., 2014; Oliveira et al., 2019), others have shown it to be insignificant (Kim and Lee, 2008, Wang et al., 2010; Gutierrez et al., 2015).

Our study shows the technology competence of the firm to be insignificant. This is similar to the prior studies that found the firm's technology competence to be a non-influential determinant in technology adoption (Wang et al., 2010). It may be so that the wellequipped firms with technological infrastructure may not feel the need to opt for e-invoicing, as is the case observed by earlier studies (Low et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2013). We also found the age of the firm to be an insignificant determinant in influencing the adoption of einvoicing. Years of being in the business do not have a significant bearing on the implementation of e-invoicing. However, our results showed the positive influence of firm size on adoption. This shows that larger firms have a higher likelihood of implementing e-invoicing. This is in coherence with the previous studies, which showed size to be an essential determinant of e-invoicing. It may be because e-invoicing requires considerable investments, and integrating with the supply chain partners would be better (Sandberg et al., 2009). For this, larger firms will have more resources to cover the costs and risks associated with emerging technology (Crook et al., 1998; Nurmilaakso, 2008; Wang et al., 2010; Oliveira et al., 2014).

Environmental context

Our results showed a negative influence of competitive pressure on the adoption of e-invoicing. This is in coherence with the early theoretical contributions, which predicted a negative relationship between the intensity of competition and innovation (Schumpeter, 2013). In the influential analysis, Aghion et al. (2005) found an inverted-U-shaped relationship between the level of competition and innovation. The authors highlighted that the incentives for innovation decline with the increase in the level of intense competition in the industry.

We also found an insignificant influence of trading partner pressure on the adoption. This is similar to the earlier studies that found that trading or supply chain partners do not significantly influence the enterprise's adoption of new technology (e.g., Lin, 2014; Sener et al., 2016). One possible explanation could be that the likelihood of einvoicing is affected more by other factors, technology-related factors, company-related factors, or other external factors (Lin, 2014).

We found regulatory pressure to be an influential factor in einvoicing adoption. Previous studies have shown the significance of laws and regulations on technology adoption (Zhu et al., 2004; Abed, 2020; Sun, 2020). The literature on e-invoicing indicated that regulatory pressure is one of the major drivers of e-invoicing adoption in many parts of the world (Keifer, 2011; Koch, 2017). In India, the implementation of Goods and Services Tax (GST) must have played a crucial role in the adoption of e-invoicing (Goods and Services Tax Council, n.d.).

Conclusion

Theoretical implications

Our study contributes to the existing literature on e-invoicing by providing the context for the adoption of this concept to fill the gap of the need for a model to implement the transformation initiative. It also sheds light on the implementation of such technologies, especially from emerging economies' perspectives. It could also be of interest to the literature on supply chain finance as it offers the determinants of automation/digitalisation of the trade process, which is considered one of the influential factors of supply chain finance. This research can also be instrumental in studying the buyer-seller relationship as it deals with one of the critical drivers of business relationship transparency while discussing the quality of information exchange. Adopting IT-enabled systems, such as e-invoicing, enhances the quality and speed of information exchange, improving the level of transparency in trade.

Managerial implications

Our study has several managerial and academic implications. Compatibility emerged as one of the technology-related characteristics to have a positive influence on e-invoicing implementation. People in management should understand that the successful application of such technology will depend not only on the compatibility of the values, needs, and existing experiences with the firm's information technology systems but also on the supply chain partners. This is a rather important aspect for the technology service providers (TSPs) in managing their technology platforms' offerings. From this study, TSPs can also focus on the age and firm size determinants in the product offering and can expect faster adoption. The study suggests that the older and larger the firm, the higher the chances of adopting electronic invoicing or similar technologies.

An organization should take the initiatives to implement technologies such as e-invoicing by knowing the benefits of it rather than being pressured to adopt them because of competition. Such initiatives can help organizations get and remain ahead of the competition.

Regulatory pressure/support can play a crucial role in the mass adoption and diffusion of such technologies. The policymakers should focus on preparing a conducive environment for the implementation and diffusion of such technologies. Research indicates the importance of new technology in an economy (Paul & Mas, 2016; 2020; George & Paul, 2020). As such, only internal and competitive business environments may not be sufficient; regulatory pressure/support can contribute to driving the adoption and diffusion of new technology. Other emerging countries can also learn from the Indian experience how regulatory push from the government authorities can act as a catalyst in e-invoicing adoption and diffusion.

Limitations and future research

The explanatory power of our model is nominal. Future studies can incorporate other variables that can explain e-invoicing or similar technology adoption and improve the model's explanatory power. We could not accommodate different types of e-invoicing currently in use across industries and examine the determinants based on such types of invoices.

Future researchers can analyse the behaviour of individuals responsible for the adoption of such new technologies. This could throw light on aspects for service providers to specifically focus on other than the broader aspects of the internal and external environments of a buyer organisation. Further investigation can also focus on the impact of the adoption of newer technologies on the effectiveness and efficiency of an organisational business or individual performance. Studies can find out whether organisational learning has any mediating role in the rate and pace of adoption of new technologies.

Funding

The authors received no grant for this research.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest with regards to the research, authorship and/or publication of this research article.

Appendix

Appendix 1, Appendix 2, Appendix 3, Appendix 4

Appendix 1

Previous studies on e-invoicing.

Source	Country	Sector	Motive	Method	Main Results
Fairchild (2004)	Europe	-	Gain a better under- standing of e-invoic- ing adoption	Survey	 The main driver behind e-invoicing adoption is streamlining of accounts receivables and payables.
Edelmann and Sintone (2006)	Finland	SMEs	To find out the reasons for the slow adoption rate of electronic invoicing by SMEs	Survey	 The main reason for the non-adoption of e- invoicing by companies was associated with a lack of demand. A higher level of uncertainty leads to the deferring of e-invoicing adoption. The level of knowledge and know-how were found to be low leading to a longer time in adoption.
Penttinen and Hyytiai- nen (2008)	Finland	Public and Private organisations	Examine the use of e- invoicing	Case study	 Proposed that relative advantage, compatibil- ity, complexity, observability, and trialability influences the adoption of e-invoicing.
Sandberg et al. (2009)	Sweden	SMEs	Drivers of Electronic Invoice Presentment and Payment (EIPP) adoption	Interviews	 The main advantages of e-invoicing are cost savings, reduction of paper print, competitive advantage, reduction of error rate, etc. Organisational readiness and external pres- sure play a key role in e-invoicing adoption.
Penttinen et al. (2009)	Finland	Textile company	Examination of the influence of the adop- tion of e-invoicing on	Case study	• One of the major benefits of e-invoicing is an intensive information exchange which increases cooperation and commitment.

A.K. Tiwari, Z.R. Marak, J. Paul et al.

Appendix 1 (Continued)

Source	Country	Sector	Motive	Method	Main Results
			buyer-seller relationships		 Greater information sharing enhances the quality of invoicing in the future Both parties required to make adjustments/ adaptations in e-invoicing adoption.
Korkman et al. (2010)	Finland	Citizens, SMEs and large organisations	Proposed that a prac- tice-based approach, along with ethno- graphic methods, con- tributes to S. D. logic	Practice-based approach and Ethnographic study	 Practices are fundamental units of value creation Practices are resource integrators Firms are extensions of customer practices.
Keifer (2011)	USA	-	Explore the potential benefits of using e- invoicing to corporations	Conceptual	 The major benefits are digital invoice capture, automated invoice validation, vendor self-service, enhanced account reconciliation, enhanced spend management and access to early payment discounts. The main drivers of e-invoicing are regulatory framework, government mandates, customer demand, and supplier innovation.
Penttinen and Tuunai- nen (2009)	China	-	Assessing the effect of external pressure in e- invoicing in the inter- organisational settings	Survey	• Perceived benefits, bandwagon effect, sup- plier pressure, pressure from customers, and organisational readiness influence the inten- tion to adopt.
Lumiaho and Rämänen (2011)	Finland	SMEs	Investigating the rea- sons for the low usage of e-invoices and opened invoice services	Expert opinion and field studies	• Low adoption of e-invoicing is not due to low ease of use, a troublesome adoption phase or a mismatch between e-invoicing and estab- lished ways of creating invoices.
Nienhuis et al., (2013)	-	SMEs	Extending e-invoicing for real-time financing	Conceptual	• The paper proposed four models for financing by incorporating e-invoicing.
Poel et al. (2016)	Belgium	Private sector	Examines the potential cost savings of e- invoicing in Belgium	Survey	• In 2014, the total cost of invoicing for Belgian private sector enterprises amounted to 3.47 billion Euro (0.96% of GDP) and could be reduced to 1.46 billion Euro (0.38% of GDP) if the invoices were digitalised.
Koch (2017)	Cross-country	-	To support the issuer and receipts intending to replace paper- based invoicing	Secondary data	• The report sheds light on several aspects relating to e-invoicing.
Qi and Azmi (2020)	China	Cross-industry	Investigating the factors affecting the adoption of e-invoicing and also the its effect on tax compliance	Survey	 The shows the positive influence of perceived benefits and trust in e-government on the e- invoice adoption. E-invoice adoption also has a positive influ- ence on the efficiency in tax compliance.
Bellon et al. (2022)	Peru		Analyses the effect on tax compliance and firm performance due to switching from paper to e-invoicing	Secondary data	 E-invoicing leads to increase in the sales, purchases and VAT liabilities of reported firms The impact larger for the small size firms and firms belonging to sectors with high risk of non-compliance

Appendix 2

11

Previous studies using TOE for innovation adoption.

Source	Innovation studied	Country	Sector	Sample size and response rate	Rate of adoption	Method of analysis	Determinants considered
Rashid and Al-Qirim (2001)	e-commerce	New Zealand	SMEs	-	-	Conceptual	Relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, cost, image, top management support, size, quality of IS systems and capabilities, information intensity, specialisation, competi- tive pressure, buyers/suppliers pressure, public policy, gov- ernment's role, CEO's innovativeness, CEO's IS/IT/EC knowledge
Chau, et al. (1998)	Open systems	Hong Kong	Cross-industry	89, 30%	30%	t-test, Factor Analysis and Logistics Regression	Perceived barriers, perceived importance of compliance to standards, interoperability, Interconnectivity, satisfaction with existing systems, market uncertainty, perceived bene- fits, the complexity of IT infrastructure, formalisation on systems, development, and management
Zhu, et al. (2004)	e-business	Cross-country	Financial Service	612, 13%	-	SEM	Technological readiness, firm size, global scope, financial
Ramdani and Kawalek (2007)	Enterprise systems	England	SMEs	598, -	16%	Conceptual	Relative advantage, complexity, compatibility, trialability, observability, top management support, organisational readiness, size of the firm, IT experience, industry, market scope, external IT support, competitive pressure.
Ramdani et al. (2009)	Enterprise systems	England	SMEs	102, 40%	45%	Factor analysis, Logit Regression	Relative advantage, complexity, compatibility, trialability, observability, top management support, organisational readiness, IS experience, size, industry, market scope, com- petitive pressure, external IS support
Wen and Chen (2010)	e-business	USA	SMEs	177, 23%	-	CFA, SEM	Technological readiness, firm size, competition intensity,
Wang et al. (2010)	RFID	Taiwan	Manufacturing Industry	133, 26.6%	41.4%	Factor Analysis, Logistics Regression	Compatibility, complexity, firm size, competitive pressure, trading partner pressure, information intensity, relative advantage, top management support, technology competence
Ghobakhloo et al. (2011)	e-commerce	Iran	SMEs	235, 19%	-	Factor Analysis, Multiple Linear Regression	Perceived relative advantage, perceived compatibility, infor- mation intensity, business size, competition, buyer/supplier pressure, support from the technology vendor, cost, CEO's IS knowledge, CEO's innovativeness
Oliveira et al. (2014)	Cloud Computing	Portugal	Both manufacturing and service sector	369, 18.5%	-	PLS-SEM	Perceived relative advantage, perceived compatibility, infor- mation intensity, business size, competition, buyer/supplier pressure, support from the technology vendor, cost, CEO's IS knowledge, CEO's innovativeness
Lin (2014)	e-SCM	Taiwan	Large Taiwanese Firms	283, 28.3%	55.13%	Factor Analysis, Logistics Regression	Perceived benefits, perceived costs, top management support, absorptive capacity, competitive pressure, firm size, trading partner pressure
Wang et al. (2016)	Mobile Reservation Systems	Taiwan	Hotel	140, 78.65%	34.3%	Factor Analysis, Logistics Regression	Compatibility, complexity, firm size, technology competence, critical mass, relative advantage, top management support, competitive pressure and information intensity
Gutierrez et al. (2015)	Cloud Computing	UK	Cross-industry	257, 25.62%	90.27%	Factor Analysis, Logistics Regression	Complexity, technology readiness, competitive pressure, trad- ing partner pressure, top management support, relative advantage, compatibility, firm cize
Aboelmaged (2018)	Sustainable manufactur- ing practices	Egypt	Manufacturing Industry	600, 39.67%	-	PLS-SEM	Environmental pressure, management support, employee's engagement, technology infrastructure, technology compe- tence, environmental regulations
Oliveira, et al. (2019)	SaaS	-	Cross-industry		-	PLS-SEM	Technology competence, top management support, coercive
El-Haddadeh (2019)	Cloud Computing	UK	SMEs	238	100%	SEM	Pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure Perceived environmental barriers, perceived innovation risks, organisational innovativeness, IT-innovation driven com- petitiveness, innovative IT capabilities
Sun. et al. (2020)	Big data	China	Manufacturing Industry	229, 65.4%	-	SEM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Journal of Innovation & Knowledge 8 (2023) 100366

Appendix 2 (Continued)

Source	Innovation studied	Country	Sector	Sample size and response rate	Rate of adoption	Method of analysis	Determinants considered
							Relative advantage, technology competence, technology resources, top management support, firm size, competitive pressure, trading partner readiness, regulatory environment
Abed (2020)	Social commerce adoption	Saudi Arabia	SMEs	181, 67%	-	SEM	Perceived usefulness, security concern, top management sup- port, organisational readiness, consumer pressure, trading partner pressure
Srivastava et al. (2022)	Industry 4.0	India	Technical education institutes	134, 19.14%	-	PCA, Multiple regression	Relative advantage, compatibility, Top management support, internal resources, teaching staff capability, government support, industry
Ng et al. (2022)	Remote work	Hong Kong	-	238, -	-	SEM	Technology competence, Government support, Organisational support, Work flexibility, Perceived behavioural control, Attitude towards remote work
Dadhich and Hiran (2022)	Corporate environment sustainability	India	SMEs	390, 78%	-	PLS-ANN	Technological factors, Organisational factors, Economic fac- tors, Social factors

A.K.
Tiwari,
Z.R.
Marak,
. Paul
et al.

Appendix 3

Measurement items

Constructs/Variables	Measurement Items	Source
Compatibility	 CM1- The use of electronic Invoicing fits the work style of my firm CM2- The use of electronic invoicing is fully compatible with our current business operations CM3- Using electronic invoicing is compatible with my firm's culture and value system CM4- The use of electronic invoicing is compatible with the existing hardware and software of my firm. 	Oliveira et al. (2014)
Complexity	 CX1- The use of electronic invoicing requires a lot of mental effort^a. CX2- The use of electronic invoicing is frustrating. CX3- The use of electronic invoicing is too complex for business operations 	Oliveira et al. (2014)
Observability	 OB1: I have seen how other firms use electronic invoicing to their advantage^a OB2: Electronic Invoicing is very visible in our industry OB3: It is easy for me to access and observe the use of electronic invoicing in nearby location OB4: It is easy for me to access and observe the use of electronic invoicing in my firm 	Jung et al. (2012); Moore and Benbasat (1991)
Relative Advantage	 RA1- Electronic Invoicing enables a firm to accomplish specific tasks more quickly compared RA2- Electronic Invoicing improves the quality of operations RA3- Using electronic invoicing allows my firm to increase business productivity RA4- Electronic invoicing allows my firm to manage business operations in an efficient way 	Jung et al. (2012); Oliveira et al. (2014)
Trialability	 TR1: My firm has tried the electronic invoicing before deciding whether to use the same TR2: My firm was able to properly try electronic invoicing TR3: My firm was able to use electronic invoicing on a trial basis long enough to see what it could do^a 	Jung et al. (2012); Moore and Benbasat (1991)
Top Management Support	 MS1- My top management is likely to invest funds in electronic invoicing MS2- My top management is likely to take risks involved in the adoption of the electronic invoicing MS3- My top management is likely to be interested in adopting electronic invoicing applications to gain a competitive advantage MS4- My top management is likely to consider the adoption of electronic invoicing applications as strategically important 	Wang et al. (2010)
Firm size	 FS1- The capital of my firm is high compared to the competitors. FS2- The revenue of my firm is high compared to the industry. FS3- The number of employees at my firm is high compared to the industry. 	Wang et al. (2010)
Technology competence	 TC1- The technology infrastructure of my firm is available for supporting electronic invoicing related applications. TC2- My firm is dedicated to ensuring that employees are familiar with electronic invoicing-related technology. TC3- My firm contains a high level of electronic invoicing-related knowledge^a 	Wang et al. (2010)
Competitive pressure	 CP1- My firm thinks that electronic invoicing has an influence on competition in their industry CP2- My firm is under pressure from competitors to adopt electronic invoicing CP3- Some of our competitors have already started using electronic invoicing^a 	Oliveira et al. (2014)
Trading Partner Pressure	 TP1: Major trading partners of my firm encouraged implementation of electronic invoicing TP2: Major trading partners of my firm recommended implementation of electronic invoicing TP3: Major trading partners of my firm requested implementation of electronic invoicing^a 	Wang et al. (2010)
Regulatory pressure	 RP1- My company was under pressure from the Govt. regulations to adopt electronic invoicing RP2-Goods and Service Tax prompted the company to use electronic invoicing RP3: Taxation policies influenced my firm to use electronic invoicing 	Xu et al. (2004)
Adoption of electronic invoicing	Is the firm using electronic invoicing? a Yes b No	Jung et al. (2012)

^a Eliminated from the final model.

Appendix 4

Profile of the respondents.

Demographics	Frequency	Percentage
Annual Turnover:		
Less than INR 50 million	41	27.20
50 to 750 million	27	17.90
750 to 2500 million	20	13.20
Above 2500 million	63	41.70
No. of employees:		
Less than 50	44	29.10
50 to 100	9	6.00
100 to 200	13	8.60
200 to 300	8	5.30
Above 300	77	51.00
Age of the firm (in years):		
0-10	50	33.11
11-20	33	21.85
21-30	22	14.57
31-40	7	4.64
41-50	12	7.95
Above 50	27	17.88
Industry:		
Manufacturing	77	51.00
Services	44	29.10
Construction and Projects	20	13.20
Others	10	6.60

References

- Abed, S. S. (2020). Social commerce adoption using TOE framework: An empirical investigation of Saudi Arabian SMEs. International Journal of Information Management, 53, 102118.
- Aboelmaged, M. (2018). The drivers of sustainable manufacturing practices in Egyptian SMEs and their impact on competitive capabilities: A PLS-SEM model. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 175, 207–221.
- Agarwal, R., & Prasad, J. (1998). A conceptual and operational definition of personal innovativeness in the domain of information technology. *Information systems* research, 9(2), 204–215.
- Aghion, P., Bloom, N., Blundell, R., Griffith, R., & Howitt, P. (2005). Competition and innovation: An inverted-U relationship. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(2), 701–728.
- Al-Jabri, I. M., & Sohail, M. S. (2012). Mobile banking adoption: Application of diffusion of innovation theory. *Journal of Electronic Commerce Research*, 13(4), 379–391.
- Association for Financial Professionals (n.d.). (2022) AFP Digital Payments Survey. Retrieved 5/03/23 from https://www.afponline.org/publications-data-tools/ reports/survey-research-economic-data/Details/epayments-survey.
- Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation of structural equation models. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 16(1), 74–94.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of management, 17(1), 99–120.
- Bellon, M., Dabla-Norris, E., Khalid, S., & Lima, F. (2022). Digitalization to improve tax compliance: Evidence from VAT e-Invoicing in Peru. *Journal of Public Economics*, 210, 104661.
- Bodoff, D., & Ho, S. Y. (2016). Partial least squares structural equation modeling approach for analyzing a model with a binary indicator as an endogenous variable. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 38(1), 23.
- Caniato, F., Gelsomino, L. M., Perego, A., & Ronchi, S. (2016). Does finance solve the supply chain financing problem? *Supply Chain Management*, 21(5), 534–549.
- Chau, P. Y., & Tam, K. (1997). Factors affecting the adoption of open systems: An exploratory study. MIS Quarterly, 21(1), 1–24.
- Crook, C. W., & Kumar, R. L. (1998). Electronic data interchange: a multi-industry investigation using grounded theory. Information and Management, 34(2), 75–89.
- Dadhich, M., & Hiran, K. K. (2022). Empirical investigation of extended TOE model on corporate environment sustainability and dimensions of operating performance of SMEs: A high order PLS-ANN approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 363, 132309.
- Dash, G., & Paul, J. (2021). CB-SEM vs PLS-SEM methods for research in social sciences and technology forecasting. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 173, 121092.
- Directorate General of Taxpayer Services Central Board of Excise and Customs. (2020). GST (Goods and Services Tax): Electronic way bill in GST.
- Edelmann, J., & Sintonen, S. (2006). Adoption of electronic invoicing in Finnish SMEs: Two complementary perspectives. *International Journal of Enterprise Network Management*, 1(1), 79–98.
- El-Haddadeh, R. (2019). Digital innovation dynamics influence on organisational adoption: the case of cloud computing services. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 22(4), 985–999.
- Fairchild, A. (2004). Using electronic invoicing to manage cash forecasting and working capital in the financial supply chain. *ECIS 2004 Proceedings*, 29.

- Frambach, R. T., & Schillewaert, N. (2002). Organisational innovation adoption: A multilevel framework of determinants and opportunities for future research. *Journal of business research*, 55(2), 163–176.
- Gambardella, A., & Torrisi, S. (2001). Nuovaindustria o nuovaeconomia L'impattodell'informaticasullaproduttivitàdeisettorimanifatturieri in Italia (New Industry or New Economy? The Impact of the Information Technology on the Productivity of the Italian Manufacturing Sectors). *Moneta e Credito*, 54, 213.
- George, B., & Paul, J. (2020). Digital transformation in business and society. New York, NY: Springer International Publishing.
- Ghobakhloo, M., Arias-Aranda, D., & Benitez-Amado, J. (2011). Adoption of e-commerce applications in SMEs. Industrial Management & Data Systems, 111(8), 1238–1269.
- Giotopoulos, I., Kontolaimou, A., Korra, E., & Tsakanikas, A. (2017). What drives ICT adoption by SMEs? Evidence from a large-scale survey in Greece. Journal of Business Research, 81, 60–69.
- Giunta, A., & Trivieri, F. (2007). Understanding the determinants of information technology adoption: evidence from Italian manufacturing firms. *Applied Economics*, 39 (10), 1325–1334.
- Goods and Services Tax Council. (n.d). Brief History of GST. Retrieved 5/03/2023 from http://gstcouncil.gov.in/brief-history-gst.
- Gounaris, S., & Koritos, C. D. (2012). Adoption of technologically based innovations: The neglected role of bounded rationality. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(5), 821–838.
- Gutierrez, A., Boukrami, E., & Lumsden, R. (2015). Technological, organisational and environmental factors influencing managers' decision to adopt cloud computing in the UK. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 28(6), 788–807.
- Hair, J. F., Jr., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E (2010). Multivariate data analysis: A global perspective (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 19(2), 139–152.
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of* the academy of marketing science, 40(3), 414–433.
- Hair, J. F., Jr, Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M (2016). A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). California: Sage.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modelling. *Journal of the Acad*emy of Marketing Science, 43(1), 115–135.
- Hugos, M. H. (2018). Essentials of supply chain management. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ivang, R., Rask, M., & Hinson, R. (2009). B2b inter-organisational digitalisation strategies: Towards an interaction-based approach. *Direct Marketing: An International Journal*, 3(4), 244-261.
- Jung, J., Chan-Olmsted, S., Park, B., & Kim, Y. (2012). Factors affecting e-book reader awareness, interest, and intention to use. New Media & Society, 14(2), 204–224.
- Karahanna, E., Ahuja, M., Srite, M., & Galvin, J. (2002). Individual differences and relative advantage: the case of GSS. *Decision Support Systems*, 32(4), 327–341.
- Keifer, S. (2011). E-invoicing: The catalyst for financial supply chain efficiencies. Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems, 5(1), 38–51.
- Kim, B. G., & Lee, S. (2008). Factors affecting the implementation of electronic data interchange in Korea. Computers in Human Behavior, 24(2), 263–283.
- Koch, B. (2017). E-invoicing/E-billing. Significant market transaction lies ahead.
- Korkman, O., Storbacka, K., & Harald, B. (2010). Practices as markets: Value co-creation in e-invoicing. Australasian Marketing Journal, 18(4), 236–247.
- Krysovatyy, A., Kurylo, O., Synyutka, N., & Pozniakova, O. (2021). VAT Electronic Invoicing System: The Case of Ukraine. 2021 11th International Conference on Advanced Computer Information Technologies (ACIT) (pp. 238–241). IEEE.
- Kuan, K. K., & Chau, P. Y. (2001). A perception-based model for EDI adoption in small businesses using a technology-organisation-environment framework. *Information and management*, 38(8), 507–521.
- Lin, H. F. (2014). Understanding the determinants of electronic supply chain management system adoption: Using the technology-organisation-environment framework. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 86, 80–92.
- Low, C., Chen, Y., & Wu, M. (2011). Understanding the determinants of cloud computing adoption. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 111(7), 1006–1023.
- Lumiaho, L., & Rämänen, J. (2011). Electronic invoicing in SMEs. International Conference of Design, User Experience, and Usability. Berlin: Springer.
- Main, J. (2021). Council post: How businesses can overhaul their B2B payments and fuel economic growth, Forbes. Forbes Magazine. Retrieved 5/03/2023 https:// www.forbes.com/sites/forbesfinancecouncil/2021/09/02/how-businesses-canoverhaul-their-b2b-payments-and-fuel-economic-growth/?sh=1c72b753ddea.
- Marak, Z. R., & Pillai, D. (2018). Factors, outcome, and the solutions of supply chain finance: Review and the future directions. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 12(1), 3.
- Marak, Z. R., Tiwari, A., & Tiwari, S. P. (2019). Adoption of 3D printing technology: An Innovation Diffusion Theory perspective. *International Journal of Innovation: IJI Journal*, 7(1), 87–103.
- Marak, Z. R., & Pillai, D (2021). Supply Chain Finance Factors: An Interpretive Structural Modeling Approach. Central European Management Journal, 29(1), 88–111.
- Martínez-Román, J. A., Gamero, J., Tamayo, J. A., & Delgado-González, L. (2020). Empirical analysis of organisational archetypes based on generation and adoption of knowledge and technologies. *Technovation*, 96, 102145.
- Mehra, A., Paul, J., & Kaurav, R. S. (2020). Determinants of mobile apps adoption among young adults: theoretical extension and analysis. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 1–29.
- Moore, G. C., & Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an instrument to measure the perceptions of adopting an information technology innovation. *Information systems research*, 2(3), 192–222.

- Moretto, A., & Caniato, F. (2021). Can Supply Chain Finance help mitigate the financial disruption brought by Covid-19? *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 27, (4) 100713.
- Nasiri, M., Ukko, J., Saunila, M., & Rantala, T. (2020). Managing the digital supply chain: The role of smart technologies. *Technovation*, 96, 102121.
- Newbert, S. L. (2008). Value, rareness, competitive advantage, and performance: a conceptual-level empirical investigation of the resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(7), 745–768.
- Ng, P. M., Lit, K. K., & Cheung, C. T. (2022). Remote work as a new normal? The technology-organization-environment (TOE) context. *Technology in Society*, 70, 102022.
- Nienhuis, J. J., Cortet, M., & Lycklama, D (2013). Real-time financing: Extending einvoicing to real-time SME financing. *Journal of Payments Strategy & Systems*, 7(3), 232–245.
- Nurmilaakso, J. M. (2008). Adoption of e-business functions and migration from EDIbased to XML-based e-business frameworks in supply chain integration. *International Journal of production economics*, 113(2), 721–733.
- Olaleye, S. A., Sanusi, I. T., Dada, O. A., & Agbo, F. J. (2023). A bibliometric review of global visibility, impact and adoption of electronic invoicing: The past and the future. *Heliyon.*
- Oliveira, T., Thomas, M., & Espadanal, M. (2014). Assessing the determinants of cloud computing adoption: An analysis of the manufacturing and services sectors. *Information and Management*, 51(5), 497–510.
- Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2010). Understanding e-business adoption across industries in European countries. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110(9), 1337– 1354.
- Oliveira, T., Martins, R., Sarker, S., Thomas, M., & Popovič, A. (2019). Understanding SaaS adoption: The moderating impact of the environment context. *International Journal* of Information Management, 49, 1–12.
- Pan, M. J., & Jang, W. Y. (2008). Determinants of the adoption of enterprise resource planning within the technology-organisation-environment framework: Taiwan's communications industry. *Journal of Computer information systems*, 48(3), 94–102.
- Paul, J., & Mas, E. (2020). Toward a 7-P framework for international marketing. Journal of Strategic Marketing, 28(8), 681–701.
- Paul, J., & Mas, E. (2016). The emergence of China and India in the global market. Journal of East-West Business, 22(1), 28–50.
- Penttinen, E, & Hyytiäinen, H (2008). The adoption of electronic invoicing in finnish private and public organizations. ECIS 2008 Proceedings.
- Penttinen, E., & Tuunainen, V. K. (2009). Assessing the effect of external pressure in inter-organizational is adoption–Case electronic invoicing. Workshop on E-business. Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer.
- Penttinen, E., Hallikainen, P., & Salomaki, T. (2009). Impacts of the implementation of electronic invoicing on buyer-seller relationships. 42nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. IEEE.
- Poel, K., Marneffe, W., & Vanlaer, W. (2016). Assessing the electronic invoicing potential for private sector firms in Belgium. *The International Journal of Digital Accounting Research*, 16, 1–34.
- Prasad, G. (2020), GST e-invoicing compulsory for medium-to-large companies. Retrieved 26/02/2020 from https://www.livemint.com/news/india/gst-e-invoic ing-compulsory-for-medium-to-large-companies-from-april-11574958048124. html.
- Premkumar, G., & Roberts, M. (1999). Adoption of new information technologies in rural small businesses. Omega, 27(4), 467–484.
- Qi, Y., & Che Azmi, A. (2021). Factors affecting electronic invoice adoption and tax compliance process efficiency. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 15 (1), 150–168.
- Ramdani, B., & Kawalek, P. (2007). SME adoption of enterprise systems in the Northwest of England. IFIP International Working Conference on Organizational Dynamics of Technology-Based Innovation. Boston, MA: Springer.
- Ramdani, B., Kawalek, P., & Lorenzo, O. (2009). Predicting SMEs' adoption of enterprise systems. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 22(1/2), 10–24.
- Rashid, M. A. (2001). E-commerce technology adoption framework by New Zealand small to medium size enterprises. Research Letters in the Information and Mathematical Sciences, 2, 63–70.
- Rogers, E. M. (2010). Diffusion of innovations. Simon and Schuster.
- Sandberg, K. W., Wahlberg, O., & Pan, Y. (2009). Acceptance of e-invoicing in SMEs. International Conference on Engineering Psychology and Cognitive Ergonomics. Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer.
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial least squares structural equation modelling. *Handbook of Market Research*, 26, 1–40.Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Smith, D., Reams, R., & Hair, J. F., Jr (2014). Partial least
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Smith, D., Reams, R., & Hair, J. F., Jr (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): A useful tool for family business researchers. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 5(1), 105–115.
- Şener, U., Gökalp, E., & Eren, P. E. (2016). Cloud-based enterprise information systems: determinants of adoption in the context of organisations. *International Conference* on Information and Software Technologies. Cham: Springer.

Schumpeter, J. A. (2013). Capitalism, socialism and democracy. Routledge.

- Skare, M., de Obesso, M. D. L. M., & Ribeiro-Navarrete, S. (2023). Digital transformation and European small and medium enterprises (SMEs): A comparative study using digital economy and society index data. *International Journal of Information Management*, 68, 102594.
- Srivastava, D. K., Kumar, V., Ekren, B. Y., Upadhyay, A., Tyagi, M., & Kumari, A. (2022). Adopting Industry 4.0 by leveraging organisational factors. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 176, 121439.
- Straub, D., Boudreau, M. C., & Gefen, D (2004). Validation guidelines for IS positivist research. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 13(1), 24.

- Straits Research (n.d.), B2B payments market size, share and forecast to 2030, B2B Payments Market. Straits Research. Retrieved 5/03/2023 from https://straitsresearch. com/report/b2b-paymentsmarket#:~:text=The%20global%20B2B%20payments% 20market,USD%201%2C029%20billion%20in%202021.
- Sun, S., Hall, D. J., & Cegielski, C. G. (2020). Organisational intention to adopt big data in the B2B context: An integrated view. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 86, 109– 121.
- Teo, H. H., Wei, K. K., & Benbasat, I. (2003). Predicting intention to adopt interorganizational linkages: An institutional perspective. *MIS Quarterly*, 19–49.
- Teo, H. H., Tan, B., & Wei, K. K. (1995). Innovation diffusion theory as a predictor of adoption intention for financial EDI. ICIS 1995 Proceedings.
- Teo, T. S., Lin, S., & Lai, K. H. (2009). Adopters and non-adopters of e-procurement in Singapore: An empirical study. Omega, 37(5), 972–987.
- To, M. L., & Ngai, E. W. (2006). Predicting the organisational adoption of B2C e-commerce: An empirical study. Industrial Management & Data Systems.
- Tornatzky, L. G., & Fleischer, M. (1990). The Process of Technological Innovation. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Töytäri, P., Turunen, T., Klein, M., Eloranta, V., Biehl, S., & Rajala, R. (2018). Aligning the mindset and capabilities within a business network for successful adoption of smart services. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 35(5), 763–779.
- Waarts, E., van Everdingen, Y., & van Hillegersberg, J. (2002). The dynamics of factors affecting the adoption of innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 19 (6), 412–423.
- Wang, Y. M., Wang, Y. S., & Yang, Y. F. (2010). Understanding the determinants of RFID adoption in the manufacturing industry. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 77(5), 803–815.
- Wang, Y. S., Li, H. T., Li, C. R., & Zhang, D. Z. (2016). Factors affecting hotels' adoption of mobile reservation systems: A technology-organisation-environment framework. *Tourism Management*, 53, 163–172.
- Wen, K. W., & Chen, Y. (2010). E-business value creation in Small and Medium Enterprises: a US study using the TOE framework. *International Journal of Electronic Busi*ness, 8(1), 80–100.
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), 171–180.
- Wong, K. K. K. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) techniques using SmartPLS. Marketing Bulletin, 24(1), 1–32.
- Wu, Y. U. N., Cegielski, C. G., Hazen, B. T., & Hall, D. J. (2013). Cloud computing in support of supply chain information system infrastructure: understanding when to go to the cloud. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 49(3), 25–41.
- Wuttke, D. A., Blome, C., & Henke, M. (2013). Focusing the financial flow of supply chains: An empirical investigation of financial supply chain management. *International journal of production economics*, 145(2), 773–789.
- Xu, S., Zhu, K., & Gibbs, J. (2004). Global technology, local adoption: A Cross-Country investigation of internet adoption by companies in the United States and China. *Electronic markets*, 14(1), 3–24.
- Zhu, K., Kraemer, K. L., & Dedrick, J. (2004). Information technology payoff in e-business environments: An international perspective on value creation of e-business in the financial services industry. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 21(1), 17– 54
- Zion Market Research. (2019). Global E-Invoicing Market Is Expected to Reach Around USD 20,529 Million By 2026. . Retrieved 22/08/2020 from https://www.zionmarke tresearch.com/news/e-invoicing-market.

Ashish Kumar Tiwari is a Doctoral Research Scholar at Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune, India. An Engineering and Management graduate, Ashish is the CEO of ConChem Labs LLP, India. More than two and a half decades in the industry, he has majorly been in the areas of engineering and business management. His research and academic interests are adoption of new technologies, interpersonal trust & transparency, and competency building.

Zericho R. Marak has completed his Doctorate from Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Pune, India and is currently serving as Assistant Professor at the Symbiosis Centre for Management Studies Nagpur. Earlier, Postgraduate in Commerce from North Eastern Hill University, India, Zericho was a recipient of Junior and Senior Research Fellowship from University Grants Commission (UGC) during his doctoral study. His areas of research interest include Supply Chain Finance, Small & Medium Enterprises Financing, working capital financing and technology adoption.

Justin Paul is the current Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Consumer studies. He serves as Associate Editor (International Business) with the European Management Journal, the Journal of Strategic Marketing and The Services Industries Journal; he has been a Guest Editor for the Journal of Business Research. His publication record includes top-tier international journals such as Journal of World Business, California Management Review, Journal of Business Research, International Business Review, International Marketing Review etc.; he is a well-known author of several best-selling books, incl. "Business Environment" (McGraw-Hill, 4th edition), "International Marketing" (Pearson, 2nd edition), and "Export-Import Management" (Oxford University Press, 2nd edition).

Abhijit Prakash Deshpande is the Director, Board of University Development, and Associate Professor, Symbiosis International (Deemed University), India. He is doctorate in Human Resource Management (Application of the Competency Modeling Approach). He has authored a book, written various book chapters, and research publications in many national and international journals. He was a professional sportsman, especially a cricketer at national level in India.