

References

1. González-del Castillo J, Domínguez-Bernal C, Gutiérrez-Martín MC, Núñez-Orantos MJ, Candel FJ, Martín-Sánchez FJ. Efecto de la inadecuación de la antibterapia en Urgencias sobre la eficiencia en la hospitalización. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2017;35:208–213.
2. Vilella AL, Seifert CF. Timing and appropriateness of initial antibiotic therapy in newly presenting septic patients. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2014;32:7–13.
3. Ferrer R, Martin-Lloches I, Phillips G, Osborn TM, Townsend S, Dellinger RP, et al. Empiric antibiotic treatment reduces mortality in severe sepsis and septic shock from the first hour: results from a guideline-based performance improvement program. *Crit Care Med.* 2014;42:1749–55.
4. Garnacho-Montero J, Gutiérrez-Pizarraya A, Escoresca-Ortega A, Fernández-Delgado E, López-Sánchez JM. Adequate antibiotic therapy prior to ICU admission in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock reduces hospital mortality. *Crit Care.* 2015;19:302.
5. Azkárate I, Choperena G, Salas E, Sebastián R, Lara G, Elósegui I, et al. Epidemiología y factores pronósticos de la sepsis grave/shock séptico. Seis años de evolución. *Med Intensiva.* 2016;40:18–25.
6. Vallés J, Palomar M, Alvarez-Lerma F, Rello J, Blanco A, Garnacho-Montero J, et al. GTEI/SEMICYUC Working Group on Bacteremia. Evolution over a 15-year period of clinical characteristics and outcomes of critically ill patients with community-acquired bacteremia. *Crit Care Med.* 2013;41:76–83.
7. Sánchez B, Ferrer R, Suárez D, Romay E, Piacentini E, Gomà G, et al., Edusepsis Study Group. Declining mortality due to severe sepsis and septic shock in Spanish intensive care units: a two-cohort study in 2005 and 2011. *Med Intensiva.* 2017;41:28–37.

Consequences of inappropriate empirical antibiotic therapy depending on the patient's clinical situation*



Las consecuencias de la terapia antibiótica empírica inapropiada en función de la situación clínica del paciente

Dear Editor,

We have carefully read the comments made by Gutiérrez-Pizarraya et al.¹ and we agree with the statements made in relation to the importance of the appropriateness of antibiotic treatment administered to critical patients in the emergency department. A great many scientific studies may be found in the literature which corroborate this statement.² What is notable here is that the studies published essentially focus on patients who present with septic shock or severe sepsis.² Since this issue has already been contrasted in the literature, our study design was different.

Our study is innovative³ in that it was conducted on patients who were not so seriously ill, which is a more realistic approximation of events in standard clinical practice in an emergency department (ED) where the infected patient is not usually critically ill. Our sample included patients who required hospitalisation, but did not necessarily present with a severe clinical condition. The number of patients included in our study with severe sepsis or septic shock is small, 54 (14.4%) patients, and among them inappropriate antibiotic treatment was administered to just 9 (16.7%).

This sample design justifies the results found in our study which show that in patient groups without severe conditions, inappropriate treatment will significantly increase hospital stay, leading to increased costs,⁴ but not to an increase in mortality.

DOI of refers to article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2017.05.005>

* Please cite this article as: González del Castillo J, Núñez Orantos MJ, Candel FJ, Martín-Sánchez FJ. Las consecuencias de la terapia antibiótica empírica inapropiada en función de la situación clínica del paciente. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2017;35:472–473.

8. Fernandez R, Clinical Management Working Group of the Societat Catalana de Medicina Intensiva i Crítica. Ocupación de los Servicios de Medicina Intensiva de Catalunya: un estudio analítico prospectivo de cohortes. *Med Intensiva.* 2015;39:537–42.
9. Sundén-Cullberg J, Rylance R, Svefors J, Norrby-Teglund A, Björk J, Inghammar M. Fever in the emergency department predicts survival of patients with severe sepsis and septic shock admitted to the ICU. *Crit Care Med.* 2017;45:591–9.
10. Miró O. El reto de la enfermedad infecciosa en los servicios de urgencias: a propósito de 3 estudios. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2017;35:205–7.

Antonio Gutiérrez-Pizarraya*, José Garnacho-Montero

Unidad Clínica de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: boticarios@gmail.com (A. Gutiérrez-Pizarraya).

2529-993X/

© 2017 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. All rights reserved.

When selecting our empirical prescription we have to mainly take into consideration the following 4 factors: probable microbial aetiology (depending on the infection model, local epidemiology and the patient profile), patterns of sensitivity and local resistance, pharmacokinetic characteristics and pharmacodynamics of the possible antimicrobial agents to be used and the consequences in prognostic terms of possible inappropriateness of the antibiotic on our patient.^{5–7}

Due to the fact that initial inappropriate anti-biotherapy conditions an increase in mortality in severe patients,² international guidelines recommend wide-spectrum antimicrobial agents for this patient profile.⁸ However, the consequences do not appear to be the same in non-critical patients. It is important to know this to develop appropriate anti-biotherapy policies, avoiding the unnecessary prescription of wide-spectrum antimicrobials, which could lead to an increase in the prevalence of resistant strains in our environment.⁷

To conclude, we agree that inappropriate treatment may condition an increase in mortality in the critically ill patient, but that this is not comparable with less serious situations where decision making about the choice of antibiotic treatment may not be of such clinical significance. The problem, on many occasions, is suitable stratification of the gravity of the patient's condition, particularly in populations which are increasingly attended by the ED, as is the case for immune-depressed patients undergoing biological treatments or elderly people where appropriate stratification is more complicated.^{9,6}

References

1. Gutiérrez-Pizarraya A, Garnacho-Montero J. Tratamiento antibiótico de los pacientes con sepsis en los Servicios de Urgencias: acertar desde el principio. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2017;35:461–2.
2. Marquet K, Liesenborgs A, Bergs J, Vleugels A, Claes N. Incidence and outcome of inappropriate in-hospital empiric antibiotics for severe infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care.* 2015;19:63.
3. González-Del Castillo J, Domínguez-Bernal C, Gutiérrez-Martín MC, Núñez-Orantos MJ, Candel FJ, Martín-Sánchez FJ. Effect of the inadequacy of antibiotic therapy in the Emergency Department on hospital stays. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2017;35:208–13.
4. Grau S. Main variables in the economic cost of treating an infection. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin.* 2017;35:S41–5.
5. Monclús Cols E, Capdevila Reniu A, Roedberg Ramos D, Pujol Fontrodona G, Ortega Romero M. Management of severe sepsis and septic shock in a tertiary care urban

- hospital emergency department: opportunities for improvement. *Emergencias*. 2016;28:229–34.
6. Gómez-Alonso B, Rodríguez-Álvarez C, Castro Hernández B, Arias Rodríguez A, Aguirre-Jaime A, Lecuona Fernández M. Hospital emergency health service care as a risk factor for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in residents of long-term care facilities. *Emergencias*. 2016;28:381–6.
7. Joint Document of the Spanish Society of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology (SEIMC) and the Spanish Society of Preventive Medicine, Public Health and Hygiene (SEMPSPH) for Combating Antimicrobial Resistance. Steering Committees of the Spanish Society of Preventive Medicine, Public Health and Hygiene (SEMPSPH) and of the Spanish Society of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology (SEIMC). *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin*. 2017;35:139–40.
8. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock. 2016. *Intensive Care Med*. 2017;43:304–77.
9. García-Villalba E, Cano-Sánchez A, Alcaraz-García A, Cinesi-Gómez C, Piñera-Salmerón P, Marín I, et al. Nomogram to predict a poor outcome in emergency patients with sepsis and at low risk of organ damage according to Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA). *Emergencias*. 2017;29:81–6.

Juan González del Castillo^{a,b,*}, María José Núñez Orantos^c, Francisco Javier Candel^{b,d}, Francisco Javier Martín-Sánchez^{a,b}

^a Servicio de Urgencias, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

^b Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

^c Servicio de Medicina Interna, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

^d Servicio de Microbiología Clínica, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jgonzalezcast@gmail.com (J. González del Castillo).

2529-993X/

© 2017 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica. All rights reserved.