

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Central precocious puberty in girls: Diagnostic study and auxological response to triptorelin treatment<sup>☆</sup>



Teodoro Durá-Travé <sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Miriam Ortega Pérez <sup>a</sup>, Lotfi Ahmed-Mohamed <sup>b</sup>, Paula Moreno-González <sup>b</sup>, María Jesús Chueca Guindulain <sup>b,c</sup>, Sara Berrade-Zubiri <sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Pediatría, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Unidad de Endocrinología Pediátrica, Servicio de Pediatría, Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Navarra (IdisNA), Pamplona, Spain

Received 2 August 2018; accepted 16 December 2018

Available online 14 September 2019

## KEYWORDS

Auxological characteristics; Central precocious puberty; Triptorelin; Gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogues

## Abstract

**Introduction:** There are several controversies regarding the diagnostic tests and management of central precocious puberty (CPP). The aim of this study is to present the experience acquired in a group of girls with CPP treated with triptorelin, and to analyze the auxological characteristics and diagnostic tests.

**Material and methods:** An observational, retrospective study in a group of 60 girls with CPP was conducted between January 2010 and December 2017. Sociodemographic, auxological and hormonal data were recorded at diagnosis, and pelvic ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging of the head were performed. Girls were treated with triptorelin and monitored after treatment discontinuation until menarche.

**Results:** At treatment start, chronological age and bone age were  $7.7 \pm 0.7$  and  $9.7 \pm 0.8$  years respectively, and growth velocity was  $8.3 \pm 1.6$  cm/year. Target height was  $161.1 \pm 5.8$  cm. Peak LH level after stimulation was  $16.6 \pm 12.1$  IU/l. Ovarian volumes were greater than 3 mL in 35% of cases. MRI of the head was pathological in seven girls (11.7%). At treatment completion, chronological age and bone age were  $10.3 \pm 1.1$  and  $11.2 \pm 0.8$  years respectively, and growth velocity was  $4.7 \pm 1.4$  cm/year. At the age of menarche ( $11.9 \pm 0.9$  years), height was  $157.5 \pm 5.7$  cm.

**Conclusions:** Treatment of CPP with triptorelin appears to be beneficial. The possibility to block pubertal development and slow skeletal maturation allows patients to reach their target height. However, individualized auxological monitoring would be mandatory.

© 2019 SEEN and SED. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Durá-Travé T, Ortega Pérez M, Ahmed-Mohamed L, Moreno-González P, Chueca Guindulain MJ, Berrade-Zubiri S. Pubertad precoz central en niñas: estudio diagnóstico y respuesta auxológica al tratamiento con triptorelina. Endocrinol Diabetes Nutr. 2019;66:410–416.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [tduratra@cfnavarra.es](mailto:tduratra@cfnavarra.es) (T. Durá-Travé).

**PALABRAS CLAVE**

Estudio auxológico;  
Pubertad precoz  
central;  
Triptorelina;  
Análogos de hormona  
liberadora de  
gonadotropinas

**Pubertad precoz central en niñas: estudio diagnóstico y respuesta auxológica al tratamiento con triptorelina****Resumen**

**Introducción:** Existen diversas controversias respecto a las pruebas diagnósticas y tratamiento de la pubertad precoz central (PPC). El objetivo de este estudio es exponer las experiencias adquiridas en un grupo de niñas con PPC tratadas con triptorelina, analizándose las características auxológicas y pruebas diagnósticas.

**Materiales y métodos:** Estudio observacional retrospectivo en un grupo de 60 niñas con PPC atendidas entre 2010 y 2017. Al diagnóstico se registraron datos sociodemográficos, auxológicos y hormonales, realizándose ecografía pélvica y resonancia craneal. Fueron tratadas con triptorelina, y tras su retirada fueron seguidas hasta la menarquía.

**Resultados:** Al iniciar el tratamiento, la edad cronológica y edad ósea eran de  $7,7 \pm 0,7$  y  $9,7 \pm 0,8$  años, respectivamente (media  $\pm$  DE), con una velocidad de crecimiento de  $8,3 \pm 1,6$  cm/año. La talla diana era de  $161,1 \pm 5,8$  cm. El pico de LH tras estimulación era de  $16,6 \pm 12,1$  UI/l. El volumen ovárico era superior a 3 cc en el 35% de los casos. La resonancia magnética craneal fue patológica en 7 casos (11,7%). Al final del tratamiento, la edad cronológica y la edad ósea eran de  $10,3 \pm 1,1$  y  $11,2 \pm 0,8$  años, respectivamente, con una velocidad de crecimiento de  $4,7 \pm 1,4$  cm/año. A la edad de la menarquia ( $11,9 \pm 0,9$  años), la talla era de  $157,5 \pm 5,7$  cm.

**Conclusiones:** El tratamiento de la PPC con triptorelina parece resultar beneficioso. La posibilidad de bloquear el desarrollo puberal y ralentizar la maduración ósea permiten que las pacientes alcancen su talla diana. No obstante, sería preceptiva una monitorización auxológica personalizada.

© 2019 SEEN y SED. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

## Introduction

Precocious puberty is classically defined as the progressive onset and development of sexual characteristics before 8 years of age in girls and 9 years of age in boys, and is associated with an advancement of bone age and an accelerated growth rate.<sup>1-3</sup>

Despite advances in the study of central precocious puberty (CPP), there is still controversy regarding the suitability of the complementary tests required for establishing its diagnosis and, especially, for starting and discontinuing treatment. Although luteinizing hormone (LH) measurement after stimulation is accepted as the reference diagnostic test, advances in immunoassay techniques have led different authors to consider the usefulness of basal LH as a screening method.<sup>4-9</sup> With regard to imaging tests, although pelvic ultrasound is regarded as essential, there is no agreement concerning the anatomical variable offering the best diagnostic performance.<sup>10-12</sup> The routine prescription of neuroimaging studies in girls with central precocious puberty has been a subject of considerable debate.<sup>3,13,14</sup> In addition, the lack of randomized clinical trials with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs (aLHRH) in the treatment of CPP makes scientific evidence in this field largely dependent upon descriptive studies (large series, meta-analyses, etc.).<sup>3,15-18</sup>

The purpose of this study was to report on the experience gained in a group of girls with CPP who were treated with triptorelin, and to analyze the results of the diagnostic tests and the time course of the clinical-auxological characteristics.

## Material and methods

A retrospective, longitudinal descriptive study was made, including all girls under 8 years of age diagnosed with CPP, with no exclusion of any case, who were seen in one of the outpatient clinics of the Pediatric Endocrinology Unit of Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra (Spain) during the period between January 2010 and December 2017.

On the first visit we compiled sociodemographic (gender, ethnicity and/or continent of origin, adoption status), familial (paternal/maternal height, maternal age at menarche) and clinical-auxological data (age at symptoms onset, weight and height, the body mass index [BMI], bone age and growth rate). Target height and predicted adult height were calculated. All patients underwent basal measurements of estradiol, LH and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), and FSH and LH measurements were made four hours after the intravenous administration of 500 µg of leuprorelin using an immunochemiluminescence technique. A peak LH cut-off value of 5 IU/l was regarded as diagnostic.<sup>4</sup> Imaging studies were also performed: pelvic ultrasound, where an ovarian volume of  $\geq 3$  cm<sup>3</sup> was considered indicative of activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis,<sup>10</sup> and brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Following the diagnosis, intramuscular triptorelin was prescribed as a monthly depot formula (80 mg/kg/dose), and evolutive follow-up was performed (semestrial controls), including the following variables: chronological age, triptorelin dose, weight and height, the BMI, bone age, growth rate and predicted adult height.

The patients were monitored periodically after treatment discontinuation until menarche occurred, the following variables being recorded: age at menarche, time from treatment completion to menarche, and height at the time of menarche.

Weight and height were recorded in underwear and barefoot. Weight was measured using an Año-Sayol scale with a reading range of 0–120 kg and a precision of 100 g, while height was measured using a Holtain wall stadiometer recording from 60 to 210 cm, with a precision of 0.1 cm. Bone age and predicted adult height were calculated using the RUS-TW2 method.

The Z-scores corresponding to weights, sizes, the BMI with respect to chronological age and growth rate were calculated using the Nutritional Application of the Spanish Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (available at <http://www.gastroinf.es/nutritional/>), using as reference the growth tables of Ferrández et al. (Centro Andrea Prader, Zaragoza, 2002).<sup>19</sup>

Results are reported as percentages (%) and mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The statistical analysis (descriptive statistics, Student *t*-test, analysis of variance [ANOVA] and Pearson's correlation test) was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 (Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was considered for  $p < 0.05$ .

In all cases, the parents and/or legal guardians were informed and gave their consent to participation in the study. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Complejo Hospitalario de Navarra, and abided with the ethical standards established in the Declaration of Helsinki of 1964 and its subsequent amendments.

## Results

The study sample consisted of 60 girls between 5.4 and 7.6 years of age. Breast buds were present in all of them, either bilaterally ( $n = 56$ ) or unilaterally ( $n = 4$ ). Pubic ( $n = 12$ ) or axillary ( $n = 6$ ) hair was much less prevalent.

Most of the patients (76.7%;  $n = 46$ ) were European (Caucasian), while the others were from different continents: 7 from Africa (11.7%), 6 from South America (10%) and one from Asia (1.6%). Seven of the girls (11.7%) were adopted.

**Table 1** shows the mean values corresponding to the familial and personal auxological variables recorded on the first visit. The predicted adult height significantly exceeded the target height ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 2** shows the mean baseline values corresponding to FSH, LH and estradiol, and the FSH and LH values and the LH/FSH ratio after the leuprorelin test. The LH/FSH ratio was over 0.6 after leuprorelin stimulation in 61.4% of the cases ( $n = 35$ ). There was no correlation between the baseline LH levels and peak LH surge or the LH/FSH ratio after stimulation.

Pelvic ultrasound revealed a maximum ovarian volume of less than 3 cm<sup>3</sup> in 65.5% of the patients ( $n = 39$ ), while the volume exceeded this figure in the remaining 35% ( $n = 21$ ).

The brain MRI study proved normal in 83.3% of the cases ( $n = 53$ ), while the remaining 7 cases showed a range of findings: pineal gland cyst (2 cases); arachnoid cyst at the midline of the posterior fossa (one case); right parasagittal

**Table 1** Mean values of the familial and personal auxological variables recorded on the first visit of the study.

Variables	$M \pm SD$
Paternal height (cm)	174.1 $\pm$ 7.8
Maternal height (cm)	160.9 $\pm$ 6.8
Maternal age at menarche (years)	11.7 $\pm$ 1.4
Target height (cm)	161.1 $\pm$ 5.8
Predicted adult height (cm)	167.0 $\pm$ 5.3
Chronological age (years)	7.4 $\pm$ 0.6
Bone age (years)	9.7 $\pm$ 0.8
CA-BA difference	2.2 $\pm$ 0.6
Height (cm)	131.7 $\pm$ 7.2
Height (Z-score)	0.84 $\pm$ 0.62
Weight (kg)	30.9 $\pm$ 5.7
Weight (Z-score)	0.51 $\pm$ 0.79
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	17.6 $\pm$ 2.1
BMI (Z-score)	0.28 $\pm$ 0.71

CA: chronological age; BA: bone age; BMI: body mass index;  $M \pm SD$ : mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

**Table 2** Mean FSH, LH and estradiol values at baseline and after stimulation with leuprorelin.

Measurement	$M \pm SD$
Baseline FSH (IU/l)	2.95 $\pm$ 1.21
Baseline LH (IU/l)	0.54 $\pm$ 0.33
Baseline estradiol (mg/dl)	28.24 $\pm$ 11.81
<i>Leuprorelin test</i>	
FSH peak (IU/l)	20.31 $\pm$ 8.24
LH peak (IU/l)	16.67 $\pm$ 10.16
LH/FSH ratio	0.9 $\pm$ 0.51

$M \pm SD$ : mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

arachnoid cyst at parietal level (one case); pseudonodular thickening of the pituitary stalk (one case); residual hydrocephalus after surgical resection of a cerebellar tumor (one case); and hydrocephalus secondary to perinatal disease (one case).

Treatment with intramuscular triptorelin in its monthly depot formula was prescribed in 96.7% of the patients ( $n = 58$ ), the mean starting dose being  $81.3 \pm 0.7$  µg/kg, with a mean duration of treatment of  $2.5 \pm 0.9$  years. Two patients were not treated due to family decision. One of them experienced menarche at 9.8 years of age (bone age: 13.4 years), and currently at 14.1 years of age her height is 147 cm (target height: 161.5 cm). The other patient experienced menarche at 10.7 years of age (bone age: 13.1 years), and currently at 13.4 years of age, her height is 160 cm (target height: 158.5 cm).

**Table 3** shows the mean values of the patient auxological variables recorded on the first visit and over the course of treatment with triptorelin. The difference between bone age and chronological age decreased significantly ( $p = 0.023$ ) during the course of treatment. Both the triptorelin doses and the predicted adult height remained constant throughout treatment. Although the absolute patient weight, height and BMI values increased significantly, there were no significant differences between the mean values corresponding to weight (Z-score), height (Z-score) and the BMI (Z-score) with

**Table 3** Mean values ( $M \pm SD$ ) of the auxological variables recorded from the start to the end of treatment with triptorelin.

Variables	Start	6 months	12 months	18 months	End	(p)
Age (years)	7.7 ± 0.7	8.6 ± 0.7	9.1 ± 0.8	9.7 ± 0.9	10.3 ± 1.1	0.001
TRIP (μg/kg)	81.3 ± 0.7	80.4 ± 0.6	81.0 ± 0.5	79.3 ± 0.7	81.3 ± 0.6	0.805
BA (years)	9.7 ± 0.8	10.3 ± 0.8	10.7 ± 0.9	10.9 ± 0.7	11.2 ± 0.8	0.001
BA-CA	1.9 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 0.69	1.2 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.7	0.023
PAH (cm)	167 ± 5.3	166.6 ± 5	166.1 ± 4.8	167 ± 4.4	167.9 ± 5.2	0.777
Weight (kg)	31.3 ± 5.7	33.5 ± 6.4	35.9 ± 6.3	37.3 ± 6.6	39.5 ± 5.6	0.001
Weight (Z-score)	0.63 ± 0.97	0.65 ± 0.97	0.72 ± 0.97	0.73 ± 0.99	0.77 ± 0.98	0.326
Height (cm)	133.1 ± 7.1	135.4 ± 7.1	138.3 ± 7.3	140.4 ± 7.17	143.5 ± 7	0.001
Height (Z-score)	1.12 ± 0.94	0.82 ± 0.9	0.79 ± 0.9	0.76 ± 0.92	0.87 ± 0.92	0.887
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	17.6 ± 2.1	18.1 ± 2.3	18.7 ± 2	19.1 ± 2.2	19.1 ± 2.1	0.01
BMI (Z-score)	0.29 ± 0.99	0.37 ± 0.95	0.41 ± 0.94	0.42 ± 0.99	0.44 ± 0.99	0.383
GR (cm/year)	8.3 ± 1.6	6.4 ± 1.9	6.0 ± 1.6	4.4 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 1.4	0.034
GR (Z-score)	2.25 ± 1.3	0.88 ± 1.51	0.69 ± 1.5	0.51 ± 1.48	0.4 ± 1.31	0.001

BA: bone age; BMI: body mass index;  $M \pm SD$ : mean ± standard deviation; PAH: predicted adult height; TRIP: triptorelin; GR: growth rate.

respect to chronological age. The growth rate decreased significantly ( $p=0.001$ ) during treatment.

The mean age at menarche was  $11.9 \pm 0.9$  years. The mean time which elapsed from the end of treatment to the onset of menarche was 18.3 months (range: 12–28 months). The mean height at menarche was  $157.5 \pm 4.8$  cm. The mean growth rate between treatment completion and menarche was  $9.3 \pm 3.1$  cm/year, and the mean height increment during this period was  $13.9 \pm 2.6$  cm.

## Discussion

The experience gained in this study indicates that the diagnosis of precocious puberty ultimately continues to be determined by stimulation testing with GnRH (or LHRH analogs), because the existing complementary tests (basal LH and/or gonadal ultrasound) lack the sensitivity and/or specificity needed to provide a firm diagnosis. The findings also suggest that treatment with triptorelin, by blocking pubertal development and slowing bone maturation, allows patients to reach their target height.

The genetic bases of precocious puberty are complex and still only partially clear. Over the last decade, mutations have been identified in different genes capable of expressing pathological sexual precocity. For example, different mutations have been reported in the MKRN3 gene and, less commonly, in the gene encoding for kisspeptin (KISS1) and its receptor (KISS1R), associated with central precocious puberty.<sup>20,21</sup> Furthermore, a number of chromosomal abnormalities (Williams-Beuren syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome, Prader-Willi syndrome, Rett syndrome, etc.) have been reported to be variably associated with central sexual precocity.<sup>22</sup> No molecular studies were made in our study, and in no case were dysmorphic features observed suggestive of syndromic and/or chromosomal alterations.

The measurement of LH after stimulation with GnRH (or LHRH analogs) constitutes the reference test for the diagnosis of central precocious puberty.<sup>3,5,16</sup> However, there is controversy regarding the LH peak cut-off point beyond which the activation of puberty should be considered.

Values ranging from 5 to 10 IU/l are currently accepted as the cut-off point, depending on the method used (as immunochemiluminescence was used in our study, the cut-off point was 5 IU/l).<sup>4</sup> In recent years, as a result of the increased sensitivity of the techniques that quantify basal gonadotropins, debate has arisen regarding the usefulness of baseline LH as a screening test. Different cut-off points have been proposed, conditioned to the immunoassay used, with values ranging from 0.2 to 1.5 IU/l. However, the variability of their sensitivity and specificity makes it difficult to differentiate between prepubertal patients and those with precocious activation of puberty, particularly in the early stages.<sup>4-9,23</sup> As a result, most authors do not consider the measurement of baseline LH alone as a diagnostic reference test.<sup>4,6-9</sup> Taking as reference the baseline LH cut-off points proposed by those authors that have used immunochemiluminescence-based testing (between 0.1 and 0.3 IU/l),<sup>4,7,9,23</sup> as in our laboratory, we observe that in 84.2% and 55.4% of the cases the baseline LH values were above 0.1 IU/l and 0.3 IU/l, respectively. This suggests the usefulness of baseline LH testing as a screening method, though without obviating the need for stimulation with GnRH. Finally, it should be noted that several authors have suggested assessment of the LH/FSH ratio after stimulation as an indicator of the start of puberty when the value exceeds 0.6 in girls. However, at present this ratio is considered to be of little diagnostic usefulness.<sup>24</sup> In fact, in this study it was only detected in 61.4% of the cases.

Pelvic ultrasound helps in assessing the estrogenic effects upon uterine and ovarian size and morphology, and is mandatory in all cases of precocious puberty. Agreement is lacking regarding the anatomical parameter affording the greatest diagnostic advantages. While some authors report uterine volume to be the variable with the greatest sensitivity/specificity,<sup>11</sup> others consider that an ovarian volume of over 3.15<sup>12</sup> or 3.35 cm<sup>3</sup><sup>10</sup> is indicative of activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis. In our study, only 35% of the patients had an ovarian volume that exceeded these values. However, it should be noted that the absence of ultrasound evidence of estrogenic impregnation does not rule out the suspicion of

activation of the hormonal axis, because activation is progressive and even oscillates in the first months of pubertal development.<sup>25</sup>

In males with central precocious puberty, brain MRI is mandatory because of the high prevalence of endocranial disease in these patients.<sup>3,16,26–28</sup> However, the prescription of this technique for girls is subject to controversy. While some authors question the need to include neuroimaging studies on a routine basis in girls with CPP, particularly among those over 6 years of age,<sup>13,14</sup> other investigators recommend the continuation of brain MRI scans in all cases, regardless of patient gender, because the clinical, auxological and hormonal characteristics of these subjects pose sensitivity/specificity difficulties as predictors in the diagnosis of CPP of organic origin.<sup>26,29</sup> All the patients enrolled in our study underwent brain MRI. Although two patients had known neurological disease (hydrocephalus), the neuroimaging studies identified structural anomalies in 5 other girls which have been associated with sexual precocity (with the exception of the case of pituitary stalk thickening).<sup>16</sup>

The impossibility of conducting randomized controlled studies makes it difficult to establish uniform criteria for both starting and discontinuing treatment with GnRH analogs in patients with CPP. However, cumulative experience suggests that the beneficial effects of treatment upon predicted adult height appear to be inversely related to patient age at onset of the pubertal brake, and that there certainly appears to be no improvement in final height when treatment is started beyond 8 years of chronological age in girls.<sup>3,18,26,30,31</sup> All of our patients showed signs of pubertal development before 8 years of chronological age, bilateral breast budding together with a significant increase in growth rate being the most relevant clinical signs. The advancement of bone maturation was 1.9 years above the chronological age. However, it should be noted that such bone acceleration might not yet be noticeable if consultation takes place early. In no case did the parents report emotional and/or behavioral disorders associated with precocious pubertal development, perhaps because of the promptness of the study and diagnosis, except in the two cases associated with hydrocephalus. However, this could be due to the comorbidities that may accompany the neurological disorders. Although the criteria for starting treatment with GnRH analogs usually include predicted final height as compared to target height, at the time of diagnosis the predicted height is usually seen (as in our study) to exceed the target height, conditioned - despite bone acceleration - by the significant increase in growth rate for the age of these patients.

It is known that not all patients require treatment to slow puberty and, in fact, one of the patients in our study who did not receive treatment already exceeded her target height at 13.4 years of age. Although there are no hormone measurements of usefulness in predicting accelerated pubertal development in these patients,<sup>32</sup> it has recently been suggested that the combined analysis of anti-Müllerian hormone and inhibin B could be used as a potential biomarker of maturation acceleration in early puberty.<sup>33</sup> However, in cases of associated organ damage, the indication of treatment with analogs is widely accepted, since these are generally cases of rapidly progressing puberty.<sup>18,31</sup>

Separate mention should be made regarding cases of adoption and/or ethnic groups different from our own. In effect, international adoption has been classified as a risk factor for pubertal precocity.<sup>3,18</sup> In addition to probably belonging to a different ethnic group, adopted girls usually show body weight and height recovery that could imply precocious and/or advanced pubertal development. Attention should also focus more on the autochthonous growth and development characteristics of their place of origin than on those of the adoptive population. In our study, 23.3% of the girls were of non-European ethnicities. However, given the lack of validated native documentation, they were managed according to the Spanish national reference tables.

All the patients included in this study were treated with intramuscular triptorelin in its monthly depot form because of its proven tolerability, efficacy and safety. In fact, we observed the suppression of the development and/or regression of the breast bud and a decrease in growth rate to prepubertal levels. However, the salient finding was the significant slowing of the bone maturation rate experienced by these patients, making it possible for the predicted adult height to remain constant throughout the treatment period. The duration and/or withdrawal of treatment is another controversial issue. A number of variables have been proposed for establishing the optimum timing of treatment withdrawal, such as chronological age, bone age, growth rate, and the relationship between the height reached and the target height.<sup>18,20</sup> In this regard, bone age, height reached, and particularly residual growth after treatment discontinuation are the main determining factors of adult height.<sup>17,34</sup> Different authors thus advise treatment discontinuation when the bone age reaches 11.5 years in girls (versus 12.5 years in boys), in order to allow them to develop a "new" pubertal spurt after treatment suspension. Although analysis of the cases was carried out on a retrospective basis and there was no prior and clear definition of the criteria for withdrawal, we sought to ensure that the bone age did not exceed 11.5 years. However, we acknowledge that these patients require individualized motorization. In this study, the mean bone age at the end of treatment was 11.2 years, and the mean time which elapsed from the end of treatment to menarche was 18 months. This period was very important, because it was when any potential residual growth would occur, allowing the patients to at least achieve their target height. In fact, our study showed a mean growth rate of 9.3 cm/year during this time interval, allowing for a mean height increase of 13.9 cm. As a curiosity not reflected in the reviewed literature, the mean age at menarche of the girls after treatment with triptorelin was virtually analogous to the mean age at menarche of their mothers. This, and the height reached, would appear to indicate that the treatment proved beneficial for the patients.

The limitations of the present study include its retrospective nature, as well as the lack of a control group and of information on the final and/or adult height of the patients. It should be noted, however, that the mean height of the patients at the time of menarche was very close to the target height, and obviously at this point their growth and development may not have been definitively completed. It also should be noted that the predicted adult height of

the patients at diagnosis and also their height at the end of the study was probably overestimated by clinical and auxological factors, though it was clear that these patients would eventually reach an adult height similar to their target height.

## Conclusions

Despite the lack of randomized clinical trials with LHRH analogs – in this case with triptorelin – in central precocious puberty, their effects appear to be beneficial, since by blocking pubertal development and slowing bone maturation, they allow the patients to reach their target height. However, in view of the controversy regarding the indications for starting and suspending treatment, individualized clinical-auxological monitoring should be mandatory.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Parent AS, Teilmann G, Juul A, Skakkebaek NE, Toppari J, Bourguignon JP. The timing of normal puberty and the age limits of sexual precocity: variations around the world, secular trends, and changes after migration. *Endocr Rev*. 2003;24:668–93.
2. Carel JC, Léger J. Precocious puberty. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:2366–77.
3. Soriano-Guillén L, Corripio R, Labarta JI, Cañete R, Castro-Feijóo L, Espino R, et al. Central precocious puberty in children living in Spain: incidence, prevalence, and influence of adoption and immigration. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;195:4305–13.
4. Brito VN, Spinola-Castro AM, Kochi C, Cristiane Kopacek C, Alves da Silva PC, Guerra-Junior G. Central precocious puberty: revisiting the diagnosis and therapeutic management. *Arch Endocrinol Metab*. 2016;60:2163–72.
5. Liang JT. Value of basal serum gonadotropin levels in the diagnosis of precocious puberty in girls. *Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi*. 2012;14:942–5.
6. Lee HS, Park HK, Ko JH, Kim YJ, Hwang JS. Utility of Basal luteinizing hormone levels for detecting central precocious puberty in girls. *Horm Metab Res*. 2012;44:851–4.
7. Pasternak Y, Friger M, Loewenthal N, Haim A, Hershkovitz E. The utility of basal serum LH in prediction of central precocious puberty in girls. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2012;166:295–9.
8. Lee DS, Ryoo NY, Lee SH, Kim S, Kim JH. Basal luteinizing hormone and follicular stimulating hormone: is it sufficient for the diagnosis of precocious puberty in girls? *Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2013;18:196–201.
9. Bizzarri C, Spadoni GL, Bottaro G, Montanari G, Giannone G, Cappa M, et al. The response to gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) stimulation test does not predict the progression to true precocious puberty in girls with onset of premature thelarche in the first three years of life. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2014;99:433–9.
10. Badouraki M, Christoforidis A, Economou I, Dimitriadis AS, Katsos G. Evaluation of pelvic ultrasonography in the diagnosis and differentiation of various forms of sexual precocity in girls. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;32:819–27.
11. Lee SG, Joo EY, Lee J, Jun Y, Kim M. The diagnostic value of pelvic ultrasound in girls with central precocious puberty. *Chonnam Med J*. 2016;52:70–4.
12. Wen X, Wen D, Zhang H, Zhang H, Yang Y. Observational study pelvic ultrasound a useful tool in the diagnosis and differentiation of precocious puberty in Chinese girls. *Medicine*. 2018;97:e0092.
13. Pedicelli S, Alessio P, Scire G, Cappa M, Cianfarani S. Routine screening by brain magnetic resonance imaging is not indicated in every girl with onset of puberty between the ages of 6 and 8 years. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2014;99:4455–61.
14. Cantas-Orsdemio S, Garb JL, Allen HF. Prevalence of cranial MRI findings in girls with central precocious puberty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;31:701–10.
15. Cisternino M, Arrigo T, Pasquino AM, Tinelli C, Antoniazzi F, Beduschi L, et al. Etiology and age incidence of precocious puberty in girls: a multicentric study. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2000;13 Suppl. 1:695–701.
16. Rohani F, Salehpur S, Saffari F. Etiology of precocious puberty, 10 years study in Endocrine Research Centre (Firouzgar), Tehran. *Iran J Reprod Med*. 2012;10:1–6.
17. Pasquino AM, Pucarelli I, Accardo F, Demiraj V, Segni M, di Nardo R. Long-term observation of 87 girls with idiopathic central precocious puberty treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs: impact on adult height, body mass index, bone mineral content, and reproductive function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;93:190–5.
18. Varimo T, Huttunen H, Miettinen PJ, Kariola L, Hietamäki J, Tarkkanen A. Precocious puberty or premature thelarche: analysis of a large patient series in a single tertiary center with special emphasis on 6- to 8-year-old girls. *Front Endocrinol*. 2017;8:213.
19. Ferrández A, Baguer L, Labarta JL, Labena C, Mayayo E, Puba B. Longitudinal pubertal growth according to age at pubertal study of normal Spanish children from birth to adulthood. *Pediatr Endocr Rev*. 2005;2:423–559.
20. Latronica AC, Brito VN, Carel JC. Causes, diagnosis, and treatment of central precocious puberty. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2016;4:265–74.
21. Macedo DB, Brito VN, Latronico AC. New causes of central precocious puberty: the role of genetic factors. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2014;100:1–8.
22. Argente J. Avances en el estudio molecular de la pubertad precoz. *Rev Esp Endocrinol Pediatr*. 2016;7 Suppl.:31–3.
23. Harrington J, Palmert MR, Hamilton J. Use of local data to enhance uptake of published recommendations: an example from the diagnostic evaluation of precocious puberty. *Arch Dis Child*. 2014;99:15–20.
24. Carretero F, Salinas-Vert I, Granada-Yvern ML, Murillo-Vallés M, Gómez-Gómez C, Puig-Domingo M, et al. The usefulness of the leuprolide stimulation test as a diagnostic method of idiopathic central precocious puberty in girls. *Horm Metab Res*. 2014;46:959–63.
25. Eksioglu AS, Yilmaz S, Cetinkaya S, Cinar G, Yildiz YT, Aycan Z. Value of pelvic sonography in the diagnosis of various forms of precocious puberty in girls. *J Clin Ultrasound*. 2013;41:84–93.
26. De Sanctis V, Corrias A, Rizzo V, Bertelloni S, Urso L, Galuzzi F, et al. Etiology of central precocious puberty in males: the results of the Italian Study Group for Physiopathology of Puberty. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2000;13 Suppl. 1:687–93.
27. Topor LS, Bowerman K, Machan JT, Gilbert CL, Kangaroo T, Shaw ND. Central precocious puberty in Boston boys: a 10-year single center experience. *PLOS ONE*. 2018;13:e0199019.
28. Lee J, Kim J, Yang A, Cho SY, Jin DK. Etiological trends in male central precocious puberty. *Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;23:75–80.
29. Mogensen SS, Akslaaede L, Mouritsen A, Sørensen K, Main KM, Gideon P, et al. Pathological and incidental findings on brain MRI

- in a single-center study of 229 consecutive girls with early or precocious puberty. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7, e29829.
30. Li P, Li Y, Yang C. Gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist treatment to increase final stature in children with precocious puberty: a meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2014;93:e260.
31. Guaraldi F, Beccuti G, Gori D, Ghizzoni L. Long-term outcomes of the treatment of central precocious puberty. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2016;174:R79–87.
32. Zung A, Burundukov E, Ulman M, Glaser T, Rosenberg M, Chen M, et al. The diagnostic value of first-voided urinary LH compared with GnRH-stimulated gonadotropins in differentiating slowly progressive from rapidly progressive precocious puberty in girls. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2014;170:749–58.
33. Chen T, Wu H, Xie R, Wang F, Chen X, Sun H, et al. Serum anti-Müllerian hormone and inhibin B as potential markers for progressive central precocious puberty in girls. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2017;30:362–6.
34. Soriano-Guillén L, Argente J. Central precocious puberty: epidemiology, etiology, diagnosis and treatment. *An Pediatr (Barc)*. 2011;74, 336.e1–13.